

## Canonical Correlation and Assortative Matching: A Remark

Arnaud Dupuy, Alfred Galichon

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Arnaud Dupuy, Alfred Galichon. Canonical Correlation and Assortative Matching: A Remark. Annals of Economics and Statistics, 2012, Forthcoming. hal-03568988

#### HAL Id: hal-03568988 https://sciencespo.hal.science/hal-03568988

Submitted on 12 Feb 2022

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



IZA DP No. 6942

## Canonical Correlation and Assortative Matching: A Remark

Arnaud Dupuy Alfred Galichon

October 2012

Forschungsinstitut zur Zukunft der Arbeit Institute for the Study of Labor

# Canonical Correlation and Assortative Matching: A Remark

#### **Arnaud Dupuy**

Reims Management School, Maastricht School of Management and IZA

#### **Alfred Galichon**

Sciences Po Paris

Discussion Paper No. 6942 October 2012

ΙΖΑ

P.O. Box 7240 53072 Bonn Germany

Phone: +49-228-3894-0 Fax: +49-228-3894-180 E-mail: iza@iza.org

Any opinions expressed here are those of the author(s) and not those of IZA. Research published in this series may include views on policy, but the institute itself takes no institutional policy positions. The IZA research network is committed to the IZA Guiding Principles of Research Integrity.

The Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA) in Bonn is a local and virtual international research center and a place of communication between science, politics and business. IZA is an independent nonprofit organization supported by Deutsche Post Foundation. The center is associated with the University of Bonn and offers a stimulating research environment through its international network, workshops and conferences, data service, project support, research visits and doctoral program. IZA engages in (i) original and internationally competitive research in all fields of labor economics, (ii) development of policy concepts, and (iii) dissemination of research results and concepts to the interested public.

IZA Discussion Papers often represent preliminary work and are circulated to encourage discussion. Citation of such a paper should account for its provisional character. A revised version may be available directly from the author.

#### **ABSTRACT**

## Canonical Correlation and Assortative Matching: A Remark\*

In the context of the Beckerian theory of marriage, when men and women match on a singledimensional index that is the weighted sum of their respective multivariate attributes, many papers in the literature have used linear canonical correlation, and related techniques, in order to estimate these weights. We argue that this estimation technique is inconsistent and suggest some solutions.

JEL Classification: C78, D61, C13

Keywords: matching, marriage, assignment, assortative matching, canonical correlation

Corresponding author:

Arnaud Dupuy Reims Management School (RMS) 59, rue Pierre Taittinger 51100 Reims France

E-mail: arnaud.dupuy@reims-ms.fr

-

The authors thank Bernard Salanié for helpful comments. Galichon acknowledges support from Chaire Axa "Insurance and Major Risks," and FiME, Laboratoire de Finance des Marchés de l'Energie (www.fime-lab.org). Dupuy warmly thanks the Maastricht School of Management where part of this research was performed.

Introduction. Since Becker's (1973) seminal contribution, the marriage market has been predominantly modeled as a matching market with transferable utility. Men and women are characterized by vectors of attributes denoted respectively  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_x}$  for men and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$  for women. These vectors may incorporate various dimensions such as education, wealth, health, physical attractiveness, etc. It is assumed that when a man with attributes x and a woman with attributes y form a pair, they generate a surplus equal to  $\Phi(x, y)$ . This surplus is shared endogenously between the two partners. Denoting P and Q the respective probability distributions of attributes of married men and women, it follows from the results of Shapley and Shubik (1972) that the stable matching will maximize

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\Phi\left(X,Y\right)\right]$$

with respect to all joint distributions of (X,Y) such that  $X \sim P$  and  $Y \sim Q$ . For convenience, we assume that these distributions are centered  $\int x dP(x) = \int y dQ(y) = 0$ .

Becker went further in the analysis by assuming that sorting occurs on single-dimensional ability indices for men and women, say  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$ , which are constructed linearly with respect to the original attributes

$$\bar{x} = \alpha' x$$
 and  $\bar{y} = \beta' y$ 

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{d_x}$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{d_y}$  are the weights according to which the various attributes enter the respective indices. Following Becker (1973), assume that the matching surplus of individuals of attributes x and y, denoted  $\Phi(x, y)$ , only depends on the indices  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  and takes the form

$$\Phi\left(x,y\right) = \phi\left(\alpha'x,\beta'y\right)$$

where  $\phi$  is supermodular, that is  $\partial_{\bar{x},\bar{y}}^2 \phi(\bar{x},\bar{y}) \geq 0$ . As a result, the optimal solution exhibits positive assortative matching, that is, the equilibrium distribution of the attributes across couples is represented by a joint random vector  $(X,Y) \sim \pi$  where  $\alpha'X$  and  $\beta'Y$  are comonotone: the man at percentile t in the distribution of  $\alpha'X$  is matched with the woman at percentile t in the distribution of  $\beta'Y$ . In other words, denoting  $F_Z$  the cumulative distribution function of Z, we can state as the main assumption of this note that:

**Assumption 1.** There are weights  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that the indices  $\alpha'X$  and  $\beta'Y$  are comonotone, that is

$$F_{\beta'Y}(\beta'Y) = F_{\alpha'X}(\alpha'X)$$
.

If the cumulative distribution function  $F_{\beta'Y}$  is invertible, one may then write

$$\beta' Y = T\left(\alpha' X\right)$$

where  $T(z) = F_{\beta'Y}^{-1} \circ F_{\alpha'X}(z)$  is a nondecreasing map; thus the ability index of a woman is a nondecreasing function of that of the man she is matched with.

Given this specification and the observation of  $(X,Y) \sim \pi$ , one would like to estimate  $(\alpha,\beta)$ . To this end, Becker (1973) suggested (p. 834) to use Canonical Correlation Analysis, a technique originally introduced by Hotelling (1936). This method consists in determining the weights  $\alpha_c$  and  $\beta_c$  that maximize the correlation between  $\alpha'X$  and  $\beta'Y$ . Formally, introducing the following notations

$$\Sigma_{XY} = \mathbb{E}_{\pi} [XY'], \ \Sigma_{X} = \mathbb{E}_{\pi} [XX'], \ \Sigma_{Y} = \mathbb{E}_{\pi} [YY'],$$

Canonical Correlation consists in defining  $\alpha_c$  and  $\beta_c$  as the maximizers of the correlation of  $\alpha' X$  and  $\beta' Y$  over all possible vectors of weights  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . The problem therefore consists in solving the following program

$$\max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{d_x}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{d_y}} \alpha' \Sigma_{XY} \beta$$

$$s.t. \ \alpha' \Sigma_X \alpha = 1 \text{ and } \beta' \Sigma_Y \beta = 1$$
(1)

whose value at optimum is in general less or equal than one.

In the applied literature,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are frequently estimated by multivariate Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression. It is worth remarking that this is closely related, but not quite identical to, Canonical Correlation. Consider the following OLS regression

$$Y_1 = \alpha' X - \beta'_{-1} Y_{-1} + \varepsilon$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is an error term,  $Y_1$  is the top element of Y, and  $Y_{-1}$  the vector of the remaining entries. Let  $\hat{\alpha}$  and  $\hat{\beta}_{-1}$  be the coefficients obtained from OLS. Introducing  $\hat{\beta} = \left(1 \ \hat{\beta}'_{-1}\right)'$ , it is easy to show that  $\left(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}\right)$  solves the program

$$\begin{split} \max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{d_x}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{d_y}} \alpha' \Sigma_{XY} \beta \\ s.t. \ \alpha' \Sigma_{X} \alpha = A \text{ and } \beta' \Sigma_{Y} \beta = B \text{ and } \beta_1 = 1. \end{split}$$

where  $A = \hat{\alpha}' \Sigma_X \hat{\alpha}$  and  $B = \hat{\beta}' \Sigma_Y \hat{\beta}$ . Without the constraint  $\beta_1 = 1$ , this would yield the same solutions (up to some rescaling of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ) as the solutions given by Canonical Correlation. In general, the solutions differ due to this constraint. Even though the OLS technique is better known and more immediately accessible to practitioners, it artificially breaks down symmetry between variables by singling out the role of  $Y_1$ . Note that in the case where Y is univariate  $(d_y = 1)$  the constraint  $\beta_1 = 1$  has no bite, and the two solutions coincide (again, up to rescaling).

Following Becker's original proposal, many papers have used Canonical Correlation or OLS techniques to estimate  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Notable examples of the application of Canonical Correlation on the marriage market are Suen and Lui (1999), Gautier et al. (2005) and Taubman (2006). Many papers have applied OLS techniques to study assortative mating when faced with multiple dimensions, see Kalmijn (1998) for a survey of this literature. A notable example of such applications of OLS is the extensive literature on the effect of a wife's education on her husband's earnings: see among others Benham (1974), Scully (1979), Wong (1986), Lam and Schoeni (1993, 1994), and Jepsen (2005).

The consistency problem. A crucial question is whether the Canonical Correlation method is consistent, namely whether  $(\alpha^c, \beta^c) = (\alpha, \beta)$ . It turns out that the answer is yes in the case of Gaussian marginal distributions P and Q, but no in more general cases as we shall now explain. We now state our result. The main statement, part (ii) of the theorem, is proven using a counterexample.

**Theorem 1** ((In-)Consistency of Canonical Correlation). The following holds:

(i) If P and Q are Gaussian distributions, then the Canonical Correlation is consistent in the sense that

$$(\alpha^c, \beta^c) = (\alpha, \beta).$$

(ii) In general, Canonical Correlation is not consistent.

*Proof.* (i) When  $P = N(0, \Sigma_X)$  and  $Q = N(0, \Sigma_Y)$ , with  $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$  two vectors of weights, then

$$\max_{X \sim P, Y \sim Q} \mathbb{E}\left[\alpha' X Y' \beta\right] = \sqrt{\alpha' \Sigma_X \alpha} \sqrt{\beta' \Sigma_Y \beta},$$

where the optimization is over the set of random vectors (X, Y) with fixed marginal distributions P and Q. Thus, for (X, Y) solution of the above problem, the correlation between  $\alpha'X$  and  $\beta'Y$  is one. Indeed, the optimal (X, Y) is such that

$$\beta' Y = \sqrt{\frac{\beta' \Sigma_Y \beta}{\alpha' \Sigma_X \alpha}} \alpha' X.$$

The result is immediate: for the optimal (X, Y), the correlation between  $\alpha' X$  and  $\beta' Y$  is one and since this is the maximal value of Program (1), it follows that  $(\alpha, \beta) = (\alpha_c, \beta_c)$ .

(ii) However, when P and Q fail to be Gaussian, the canonical correlation estimator  $(\alpha^c, \beta^c)$  differs from the true parameters  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in general, as seen in the following example.

Let P be the distribution of  $(X_1, X_2)$  where  $X_1$  takes value 1 with probability 1/2 and -1 with probability 1/2, and  $X_2$  is exponentially distributed with parameter 1 and independent of  $X_1$ . Let G be the c.d.f. of  $X_2$ , so that  $G(z) = 1 - \exp(-z)$ . Let  $Q = \mathcal{U}([0,1])$ . Set  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1/\sqrt{2}$ , so that  $\hat{X} = \frac{X_1 + X_2}{\sqrt{2}}$ . Hence the optimal coupling  $(\hat{X}, \hat{Y})$  is such that  $\hat{Y} = F_{\hat{X}}(\hat{X})$  where  $F_{\hat{X}}(.)$  is the c.d.f. of  $\hat{X}$ , which is expressed as

$$F_{\hat{X}}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( G\left(x\sqrt{2} + 1\right) + G\left(x\sqrt{2} - 1\right) \right).$$

Thus

$$\hat{Y} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \left( G(X_2) + G(X_2 - 2) \right) & \text{if } X_1 = -1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \left( G(X_2 + 2) + G(X_2) \right) & \text{if } X_1 = 1, \end{cases}$$

and a calculation shows that

$$cov\left(X_{1}, \hat{Y}\right) = \frac{\mathbb{E}G\left(X_{2}+2\right) - \mathbb{E}G\left(X_{2}-2\right)}{4}$$

and as  $\mathbb{E}G(X_2 + 2) = 1 - e^{-2}/2$  and  $\mathbb{E}G(X_2 - 2) = e^{-2}/2$ , we get

$$cov(X_1, \hat{Y}) = \frac{1}{4}(1 - e^{-2}).$$
 (2)

Similarly,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X_{2}\hat{Y}\right] = \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}\left[X_{2}G\left(X_{2} - 2\right)\right] + \frac{1}{4}\mathbb{E}\left[X_{2}G\left(X_{2} + 2\right)\right] + \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[X_{2}G\left(X_{2}\right)\right]$$

and using the fact that  $\mathbb{E}[X_2G(X_2-2)] = 7e^{-2}/4$ , that  $\mathbb{E}[X_2G(X_2+2)] = 1 - e^{-2}/4$ , and that  $\mathbb{E}[X_2G(X_2)] = 3/4$ , we get  $\mathbb{E}[X_2\hat{Y}] = (3e^{-2} + 5)/8$ , hence, as  $\mathbb{E}[X_2]\mathbb{E}[\hat{Y}] = 1/2$ , one gets

$$cov\left(X_2, \hat{Y}\right) = \frac{3e^{-2} + 1}{8}.$$
 (3)

Now the Canonical Correlation estimator  $(\alpha_1^c, \alpha_2^c)$  of  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$  solves in this setting

$$\max_{\hat{\alpha}_1, \hat{\alpha}_2} \hat{\alpha}_1 cov (X_1, Y) + \hat{\alpha}_2 cov (X_2, Y)$$

$$s.t. \hat{\alpha}_1^2 + \hat{\alpha}_2^2 = 1$$

which implies

$$\frac{\alpha_2^c}{\alpha_1^c} = \frac{cov\left(X_2, \hat{Y}\right)}{cov\left(X_1, \hat{Y}\right)}.$$

Using (2) and (3), this becomes

$$\frac{\alpha_2^c}{\alpha_1^c} = \frac{3+e^2}{2e^2-2} \neq \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} = 1.$$

Therefore the Canonical Correlation estimator is not consistent in this example.

Note that the example in part (ii) of the proof also shows that OLS is inconsistent. In this example the dimension of Y is one, so that OLS and Canonical Correlation yield the same estimators of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . The above example has nothing pathological and implies that estimators of  $(\alpha, \beta)$  based on Canonical Correlation face the risk of being biased as soon as the marginal distributions are not Gaussian.

Final remarks. The problem discussed in this paper obviously raises the question: how can we replace Canonical Correlation by a technique that is consistent? One first proposal is to look for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  that maximize Spearman's rank correlation between  $\alpha'X$  and  $\beta'Y$ . In other words, look for

$$\max_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{d_x}, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{d_y}} \mathbb{E} \left[ F_{\alpha'X} \left( \alpha'X \right) F_{\beta'Y} \left( \beta'Y \right) \right]$$
s.t.  $\alpha' \Sigma_X \alpha = 1$  and  $\beta' \Sigma \beta = 1$ .

where we recall that  $F_{\alpha'X}$  stands for the c.d.f. of  $\alpha'X$ . The value of this program cannot exceed 1/3 and, when the distributions of X and Y are continuous, it is equal to 1/3 when  $\alpha'X$  and  $\beta'Y$  are commonotone. However the objective function, which can be rewritten as

$$\int \Pr\left(\max\left(\alpha'\left(x-X\right),\beta'\left(y-Y\right)\right) \le 0\right) dF_X\left(x\right) dF_Y\left(y\right),$$

has no reason to be convex with respect to  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , so global optimization techniques may be needed. Also, this technique, just as Canonical Correlation, does not deal with any kind unobserved heterogeneity. To remedy this drawback, two solutions have very recently been proposed:

• First, if one is willing to assume that sorting occurs on a single index of attractiveness, one could apply the strategy developed by Chiappori et al. (2012). This strategy consists in estimating the conditional expectations  $\mathbb{E}\left[Y_k|X=x\right]$ , which, if the sorting actually occurs on a single-index, should be a deterministic function of  $\alpha'X$ . Hence the weight vector  $\alpha$  is identified up to a constant by the marginal rates of substitutions

$$\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_j} = \frac{\partial \mathbb{E}\left[Y_k | X = x\right] / \partial x_i}{\partial \mathbb{E}\left[Y_k | X = x\right] / \partial x_j}.$$

Moving outside of single-dimensional indices, Dupuy and Galichon (2012) have introduced a technique they call "saliency analysis", which allows to infer the number of dimensions on which sorting occurs, and estimate the corresponding (possibly multiple) indices of attractiveness that determine this sorting. Saliency analysis is based on the estimation and the singular value decomposition of the quadratic

surplus function of the matching. The idea is to estimate A in the quadratic specification for the surplus function

$$\Phi\left(x,y\right) = x'Ay$$

and, using a singular value decomposition to test whether the dimension of A is e.g. one, in which case  $A = \alpha \beta'$ . This provides a consistent estimation of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . We refer to Dupuy and Galichon (2012) for a detailed exposition of the procedure.

#### References

- [1] Becker, G. (1973). "A theory of marriage, part I," Journal of Political Economy, 81, pp. 813-846.
- [2] Benham, L. (1974). "Benefits of womens education within marriage," Journal of Political Economy, 82(2), pp. S57–S71.
- [3] Chiappori, P.-A., Oreffice, S. and Quintana-Domeque, C. (2012). "Fatter attraction: anthropometric and socioeconomic matching on the marriage market," to appear in the *Journal of Political Economy*.
- [4] Dupuy, A., and Galichon, A. (2012). "Personality traits and the marriage market," Working paper.
- [5] Gautier, P., Svarer, M. and Teulings, C. (2010). "Marriage and the city: Search frictions and sorting of singles," *Journal of Urban Economics* 67(2), pp. 206–218.
- [6] Hotelling, H. (1936). "Relations between two sets of variates," Biometrika 28, pp. 321–329.
- [7] Jepsen, L. (2005). "The relationship between wifes education and husbands earnings: Evidence from 1960–2000," Review of Economics of the Household 3, pp. 197–214.
- [8] Kalmijn, M. (1998). "Intermarriage and Homogamy: Causes, Patterns, Trends," Annual Review of Sociology 24, pp. 395-421.
- [9] Lam, D., and R. Schoeni (1993). "Effects of family background on earnings and returns to schoolings: Evidence from Brazil," *Journal of Political Economy* 101 (4), pp. 710–740.
- [10] Lam, D., and R. Schoeni (1994). "Family ties and labour markets in the United States and Brazil," Journal of Human Resources 29, pp. 1235–1258.
- [11] Scully, G. (1979). "Mullahs, Muslims and marital sorting," Journal of Political Economy 87, pp. 1139– 1143.
- [12] Shapley, L., and M. Shubik (1972). "The Assignment Game I: The Core," *International Journal of Game Theory* 1, pp. 111–130.
- [13] Suen, W., and H.-K. Lui (1999). "A direct test of efficient marriage market hypothesis," Economic Inquiry 37 (I), pp. 29–46.
- [14] Taubman, O. (2006). "Couple similarity for driving style," Transportation Research Part F 9, pp. 185– 193.
- [15] Wong, Y.-C. (1986). "Entrepreneurship, Marriage, and Earnings," Review of Economics and Statistics 31 1-23, 693-99.