

Trade and the End of Antiquity

Johannes Boehm, Thomas Chaney

▶ To cite this version:

Johannes Boehm, Thomas Chaney. Trade and the End of Antiquity. 2024. hal-04900745

HAL Id: hal-04900745 https://sciencespo.hal.science/hal-04900745v1

Preprint submitted on 20 Jan 2025

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

TRADE AND THE END OF ANTIQUITY^{*}

Johannes Boehm[†] Geneva Graduate Institute Thomas Chaney^{\ddagger} USC

November 2024

Abstract

What caused the end of antiquity, the shift of economic activity away from the Mediterranean towards northern Europe and the Middle East? To answer this question, we assemble a database of hundreds of thousands of ancient coins from the 4th to the 10th century, estimate a dynamic model of trade and money where coins gradually diffuse along trade routes, and recover regional real consumption time series. Our estimates suggest that technical progress, increased minting, and to a lesser degree the fall in trade flows over the newly formed border between Islam and Christianity contributed to the relative growth of Muslim Spain and the Frankish lands of northern Europe and the decline of the Roman-Byzantine world. Our estimates are consistent with the increased urbanization of western and northern Europe relative to the eastern Mediterranean from the 8th to the 10th century.

JEL Classification: F1, O1, N73.

Keywords: Gravity Equation, International Trade, Ancient Coins, Diffusion.

^{*}We thank Marek Jankowiak, Reka Juhasz, Ezra Oberfield, Kevin O'Rourke, Pseudoerasmus, and numerous seminar participants for helpful comments. Malo Cadudal-Illy, Jinpei Tuchel, and in particular Ye Sun provided excellent research assistance. Boehm gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Banque de France/Sciences Po partnership, and Chaney from the European Research Council (ERC grant 884847). All remaining mistakes are our own.

[†]johannes.boehm@graduateinstitute.ch.

[‡]thomas.chaney@gmail.com.

Introduction

The transition from classical antiquity to the medieval period marks one of humanity's most profound transformations, altering the intellectual, economic, and political fabric of Europe, the Mediterranean, and the Middle East. This sweeping metamorphosis reshaped entire economic systems and political structures, transforming a world organized around Mediterranean commerce and urban networks into one dominated by new centers of power. We present new data and empirically document the changing economic geography during Late Antiquity, and we decompose it into its constituent components —trade openness, technological change, and seigniorage revenues. This quantitative decomposition sheds new light on the nature and extent of economic change as the Roman civilization in the Mediterranean declines and political and economic power shifts to northwestern Europe and to the Middle East.

What caused the end of antiquity has been a central question for centuries (see for instance Montesquieu, 1734; Voltaire, 1756; Gibbon, 1789). Most contemporary historians believe that the conquest of Rome by Germanic invaders in the fifth century did not lead to an immediate end of Roman institutions and commerce, as local institutions remained largely in place (Pirenne, 1927, 1939; Findlay and O'Rourke, 2009; McCormick, 2001). The archaeological evidence points to a shift in the economic activity to the north-west of Europe and away from the Mediterranean between the fifth and the eighth century. The timing, extent, and reasons remain debated, but when Charlemagne was crowned as Emperor at the end of the eighth century, the political and economic power in Europe has moved from the Mediterranean to the Frankish lands of northwestern Europe. Famously, historian Henri Pirenne proposes that the expansion of the Arab Caliphate along the southern Mediterranean coast and into the Iberian peninsula disrupted commerce and political ties in the Mediterranean, and turned the emerging Carolingian Empire into a northern European power ("without Mohammed, Charlemagne would have been inconceivable," Pirenne, 1939, p.234). The evidence for these disruptions brought forward by Pirenne is mainly related to the disappearance of certain luxury goods north of the Mediterranean.¹

¹Pirenne's argument is the near *absence* of mentions of silk and spices in historical texts written north of the Mediterranean, and the disuse of gold for coinage and papyrus for writings. These fragments of evidence, along with new archaeological findings, have been extensively studied and discussed by historians since Pirenne. See Lopez (1943), Ashtor (1970), Hodges and Whitehouse (1983), and, in particular, McCormick (2001)'s monumental work that synthesizes the existing literary and archaeological evidence on changes around the Mediterranean, including patterns of change

In this paper, we study the changing economic geography during Late Antiquity using the tools of modern quantitative trade models and novel data on the circulation of ancient coins. Our evidence suggests that Mediterranean trade was disrupted by the emergence of the Arab Caliphate. This had a large negative impact on the heartlands of the Byzantine empire, initially very open to trade. But this trade disruption played only a minor role in northwestern Europe, which was not very open to trade. Instead, the growth of northwestern Europe is almost entirely fueled by improved technology and a mild increase in seigniorage-financed consumption. The relative decline of the Mediterranean world is shaped by a combination of all three forces.

We make three main contributions. Our first contribution is to assemble a large database of coin finds from hoards deposited between AD 325 and AD 950, with observations from hundreds of thousands of coins found across Europe, North Africa, and the Middle East. Coins offer rich quantitative information in a data-scarce setting,² as numismatists and archaeologists have deciphered, catalogued, and classified ancient coinage for over 200 years. We collect information about where and when coins were minted and buried, and present three stylized facts: (*i*) bilateral coin flows are disrupted by distance and political borders just like trade flows are, (*ii*) unlike traded goods, coins are in use over many years and, as a result, coins that are older at the time of deposit tend to have travelled farther, and (*iii*) the geography of coin flows across the Mediterranean changes abruptly around the time of the Arab conquests.

Our second contribution is to build and estimate a dynamic model of trade where agents use coins for transactions. Within each period, trade is governed by comparative advantages as in Eaton and Kortum (2002), and coins flow in opposite direction to trade. After being minted, the same coin can then be saved as a store of value and re-used for subsequent transactions. We first show that with saving, coin flows within a period inherit the same gravity structure as trade flows, up to a single multiplicative constant. We then characterize the full dynamics of coin flows, as coins are minted, saved, used for multiple transactions, and gradually percolate through the trade network.³ Those results allow us to estimate the parameters governing coin

in the flows of communications, objects, and travellers. The synthesis of Wickham (2006) interprets the evidence through the lens of social structures.

²Due to the fact that no comprehensive data on production, consumption, trade, or demographics exists for the first millennium AD, historians of this period rely to a large extent on literary sources.

³This dynamic model allows us to identify trade flows even with sparse coin data. We leverage the fact that a coin used for multiple transactions contains information on trade over multiple periods.

creation (minting) and trade in goods (trade and production costs).⁴ Our estimates for minting output are in line with known historical evidence, and our estimate for the travel time elasticity of trade is similar to that for Roman trade in ceramics (Flückiger et al., 2022). Our estimates reveal a large cost associated with crossing the newly erected border between Islamic and non-Islamic regions.

Our third contribution is to reconstruct time series for real consumption per capita for each 20-year period from the 4th to the 10th century for each region, partitioned into three economically meaningful terms: trade openness (as in Eaton and Kortum, 2002; Arkolakis et al., 2012), technology, and seigniorage-financed trade deficits (similar to Dekle et al., 2007). We are able to recover real consumption using solely data on coins because coin flows contain information on (nominal) trade flows, which contain information on (relative) prices. Our estimates suggest that real consumption in the heartlands of the Byzantine empire collapsed in part due to the fall in trade flows in and out of regions newly conquered by the Arabs. But outside of Byzantium, fluctuations in trade openness contributed relatively little to changes in real consumption, simply because ancient regions were not open enough for trade to play a large role.⁵ We attribute instead most of the variations in real consumption to changes in technology and seigniorage-financed trade deficits. For instance, western and northern Europe including Islamic Spain witness a spectacular rise in real consumption fueled by technical progress and a commensurate increase in minting output. Finally, in the absence of virtually any systematic evidence on ancient production, consumption, or trade, we show that our estimates on real consumption changes from pre- to post-AD 700 are remarkably consistent with measures of European urbanization post-AD 700.

Related literature. Our paper relates to the literature on the role of market access in shaping economic outcomes across space, specifically in historical settings. Fogel

⁴As recognized by numismatists, whether a coin hoard is created (deliberately or accidentally buried), found by archaeologists, and documented by numismatists, depends on a series of endogenous events. To purge our estimation from those endogenous events we use only information on the *shares* of different coins within a hoard.

⁵Our estimates show a surprisingly large degree of trade openness in the first millennium AD; on average, regions import 20% of their consumption in AD 460-620, and 16% in AD 700-900. Yet unless the trade elasticity were very low (we use $\theta = 4$ from Simonovska and Waugh, 2014), a fall in import shares from 20 to 16% has only a small impact on consumption. We also note that our evidence comes solely from coins, so corresponds solely to monetized exchanges. Any non-monetized (barter) transaction is missing. To the extent that non-monetized exchanges are more likely to be local, we possibly over-estimate trade openness.

(1964), Donaldson and Hornbeck (2016) and Hornbeck and Rotemberg (forthcoming) evaluate the impact of the US railroad in the 19th century on economic growth, Donaldson (2018) the impact of railroads in colonial India on relative welfare, and Nagy (2023) the impact of the westward expansion of the US on growth; Pascali (2017) evaluates the impact of steamships on maritime trade and relative development; Redding and Sturm (2008) study the impact of the iron curtain on comparative development in Germany, and Ahlfeldt et al. (2015) the impact of the Berlin wall on the urban structure of Berlin; Juhász (2018) studies the impact of the trade disruption brought by the Napoleonic blocade on industrial development; Flückiger et al. (2022) study the impact of the Roman transportation network on trade in ceramics (terra sigillata) from the 1st century BC to the 3rd century AD, while Michaels and Rauch (2018) study changes in the transportation and urban networks after the fall of the Western Roman Empire; Barjamovic et al. (2019) use shipment records from Assyrian merchant archives in Bronze Age Anatolia to estimate the location of ancient lost cities and their size. Within this literature, our work is closest to papers which use a structural approach (in particular Donaldson and Hornbeck, 2016; Hornbeck and Rotemberg, forthcoming; Donaldson, 2018; Nagy, 2023; Redding and Sturm, 2008; Ahlfeldt et al., 2015; Barjamovic et al., 2019). In contrast to this literature, we do not observe prices, trade costs, or even trade flows. Instead, we use a dynamic model of trade and money to recover trade flows from panel data on the movement of coins. Liu and Tsyvinski (2024) feature a related mechanism where shocks gradually percolate through an input-output network. Finally our paper speaks to a literature in economic history on the changes in Late Antiquity and early medieval times. This literature frequently uses numismatic evidence, although mostly in a descriptive manner. Two notable exceptions are Noonan (1980)'s study of Islamic coin finds of different vintages and origins in Eastern Europe and Scandinavia, which stops short of using a formal econometric model; and Persson and Sharp (2015) who discuss Pirenne's thesis and economic integration in Europe through the lens of a gravity model.⁶

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Section 1 lays out the historical context and describes our data and three stylized facts on ancient coin flows. Section 2 presents our model of trade and money and describes our estimation strategy. Section 3 discusses our estimates for trade costs, real consumption, and urbanization.

⁶Shatzmiller (2018) qualitatively applies the gravity model to medieval trade in the Middle East.

1 Historical context, data, and stylized facts

1.1 Historical context

We study the economic and political developments in the Mediterranean between the 4^{th} and the 10^{th} century AD. At the start of this period the Mediterranean was still entirely under control of the Roman Empire, albeit at times with multiple emperors and conflict between them, and under mounting pressure from Germanic invasions. The death of the eastern emperor Theodosius I in 395 divided the Roman Empire into a western and an eastern half. The fifth century saw increased Germanic incursions in the east and west, culminating with the Ostrogothic king Odoacer deposing the last West Roman emperor Romulus Augustulus in 476 and ending the Western Roman Empire. Italy was ruled by the Ostrogoths until the 550s, then by the Lombards; Spain was taken over by the Visigoths, France by the Merovingian dynasty of the Franks, and North Africa, Sicily, and Sardinia by the Vandals. The Eastern Roman (Byzantine) Empire at times reconquered parts of the former Western Roman territory, but in the sixth century became increasingly under pressure from the Sasanian Empire in the east. The Byzantine-Sasanian wars of 602–628 depleted the resources of both empires, leaving a vacuum that was filled by the emerging Arab caliphate.

Figure 1 shows the rapid Arab expansion, starting in 622. By 634 the Arabs controlled the entire Arabian Peninsula. The Levant followed in the late 630's and Egypt in the 640's. By the end of the Rashidun Caliphate in 661, the Arabs controlled a territory from Tripoli in the west to Balkh in the east. The expansion continued under the Umayyad dynasty. In 698 the Arab army razed Carthage and by 709 they had fully conquered the Maghreb. In 711 they crossed the Strait of Gibraltar and defeated the Visigoths at the Battle of Guadalete. In 732 they were stopped by Charles Martel at Tours, and driven back across the Pyrenees. When the Abbasid family overthrew the ruling Umayyads in 750, the Umayyads retained control of most of Iberia (al-Andalus). While the Arab conquest ended Sasanian rule in the east, advances into Byzantine territory in Anatolia did not lead to sustained shifts in the land border. Meanwhile the Arabs strengthened their naval capabilities, ended the Byzantine naval control of the western Mediterranean and contested its control of the east. Arab sea raids on Mediterranean cities became frequent.

Two other notable and overlapping events have been linked to economic and political changes: the Plague of Justinian (541-549), which, according to contemporary



Figure 1: The Arab Conquests, AD 623-750

literary sources led to large declines in population, and the "Late Antique Little Ice Age" temperature anomaly (536–560), likely due to volcanic eruptions, which caused temperatures in the northern hemisphere to drop by about one degree Celsius (Peregrine, 2020). The size and quantitative relevance of these two events is heavily debated among historians. We will think of both these events as potentially affecting population and productivity levels, which our estimation strategy accounts for.

1.2 Data

We construct a large dataset on the flows of coins around the Mediterranean between AD 325 and AD 950.⁷ For the period from AD 325 to AD 725 we mostly rely on data from the *Framing the Late Antique and Early Medieval Economy* project (FLAME, 2023b),⁸ a large-scale effort by historians and numismatists to record harmonized information on the location, dating, and composition of coin finds up to the year 725. FLAME covers hoards⁹ from the Mediterranean and beyond, contributed by

⁷See appendix D for extensive information on the coin data assembly, harmonization and cleaning. ⁸https://coinage.princeton.edu/

⁹FLAME also includes finds from excavations and single finds. Unless explicitly mentioned, we will treat all records in the same way and just use the word "hoard" to describe deposits of any size.

specialists working on the coinage of their geographical and temporal expertise. We use the most recent release of FLAME (January 2023) which covers 9,831 coin hoards. We remove hoards that fall outside our area of interest, continental western Europe up to the modern-day German-Polish border and including Bohemia, southern Europe up to the line between Vienna and Odessa, and North Africa and the Middle East up to the maximum extent and area of influence of the Umayyad Caliphate (stretching from the Maghreb in the West to the Indus in the east, and up to Bulgar in the north).¹⁰ We also remove all hoards that only consist of incompletely described coins (no mint location or mint date information).

We supplement FLAME's data, in particular for the period after AD 725, with hand-coded records of 106,371 coins from 830 finds, which we assemble using hoard catalogues from the numismatic literature, similarly to the source documents that underlie FLAME. These additional records include the time period of the Caliphate, so that we can assess the impacts of these changes on the patterns of exchange in the Mediterranean. Together these data cover the vast majority of published information on coin finds in our geographic and temporal scope.

The structure of the coin hoard data is ideally suited for an analysis of dynamic bilateral spatial flows. Each unit of observation —a coin within a hoard— contains the following attributes: (i) the location where the coin has been minted, (ii) a year interval when the coin was minted, (iii) the identifier and the location of the hoard that the coin is part of, (iv) a year interval when the hoard —and therefore the coin— was deposited. These pieces of information are typically recorded by the author of the original numismatic or archaeological publication. Figure 2 shows an example. Mints are usually inferred from mint marks on the coins.¹¹ The mint date is often indicated on the coin. When it is not, it can be approximated from the ruler (or dynasty or empire) under whose authority the coin was issued and other information, like the mint mark. Finally, we follow the common approach of historians to estimate the date of deposit of each hoard using the *terminus post quem*, or *tpq* for short, the date of the youngest object in the hoard that can be dated. In our case that is typically

¹⁰See figure 6 below for a map of our area of study. We exclude the Viking lands, and therefore do not speak to the discussion on the potential role of the Vikings (and the inflow of Islamic silver through trade via eastern and northern Europe) in the changing economic geography during Late Antiquity (Bolin, 1953). Recent archaeometric studies indicate that Carolingian silver is largely not of Arab origin (Sarah et al., 2008; Kershaw et al., 2024), suggesting a limited role for silver inflows via the Viking route in affecting Carolingian mint output.

¹¹Mint marks have been in use since ancient Greek times to monitor coin weight and metal content.

No.	MINT	DATE	DIAM.	WEIGHŢ	NUMB.
51	الأندلس	114	29.	2.93	4
52	n	115	29.5	2.92	1
53	V1	116	26.5	2.92	3

Figure 2: Coin hoard data, an example from al 'Ush (1972)

the most recent end year of the time intervals of the coins in the hoard.

In coding the mint location and date we typically follow the coding of the author of the original publication which catalogues the hoard.¹² In some cases this information is imprecise: the author of the publication may not have been able to inspect the coin or inspected only a fragment. We conduct robustness checks to investigate whether our findings are driven by endogenous selection.

We have data on 5,625 hoards and 494,229 coins that fall into our geographic boundary and have a tpq between 325 and 950. After removing from FLAME large hoards found in the 19th century or earlier for which not much besides rough coin counts is known, 270,500 coins are complete with a mint and minting year interval; on average 86% of coins in a hoard are complete. We define the age of coins at time of deposit as the difference between the midpoint of the coin's minting interval and the tpq of the hoard. Figure 3a shows the temporal distributions of the number of hoards by tpq, and figure 3b shows the distribution of coin ages within a hoard.¹³

Coins are deposited on average 47 years after they are struck. Appendix tables C.1 and C.2 contain additional summary statistics on coins and hoards respectively.

Discussion. The interpretation of coin flows as relating to trade, despite having a long tradition among numismatists and historians,¹⁴ deserves some discussion.

Notes: The figure shows an excerpt of an original publication from which we assemble hoard data: al 'Ush (1972) gives the content of the Damascus silver hoard in tabular form. From left to right, for the first row: the record number (51), the mint (al-Andalus), the date (year 114 of the Hijri calendar), diameter (29mm), weight (2.93g), and the number of coins with these attributes (4). The issuing dynasty (Umayyad) is given in the table headings and the denomination and material (silver *dirham*) is stated in the text.

¹²Sometimes these interpretations are critically evaluated and corrected by subsequent scholars. Appendix D lists the extensive sources we use for each of the hand-coded hoards.

¹³To further validate that our data reflect coin circulation during Late Antiquity, appendix C.1 compares the coin age distribution with the one in twelve Byzantine hoards that Banaji (2016) labels as circulation hoards, i.e. that are known to have originated from coins that were in circulation.

¹⁴See, in particular, the discussions by Grierson (1959) and, more recently, Naismith (2014).



Figure 3: Number of hoards over time and ages of coins within hoards

The Roman and subsequently Byzantine empires were generally fairly monetized economies, with coinage taking a pre-eminent role and credit being very limited (Morrisson, 2002).¹⁵ The situation was similar in the caliphate (Bessard, 2020) and in the Carolingian empire (Coupland, 2014). The fact that coins were light, durable, and —because they were made from precious metal, which could be easily reminted—accepted within and across borders made them particularly suitable for long-distance trade.¹⁶ This is particularly the case for gold coins, traded throughout the Mediterranean and valued for their weight in gold (Banaji, 2016).¹⁷ Of course coins did not travel solely because of commerce; theft, gift-exchange, dowry, tribute, plunder and ransom also contribute to coin flows. We subsume those alternative motives for exchanges within a model of trade driven by comparative advantages.¹⁸

A potential source of bias comes from the fact that our data do not cover the

Notes: Panel (a) shows the number of hoards per 20-year period. Panel (b) displays the (annual) density of coins of different ages. Coin age is defined as the difference between the midpoint of the coin's minting interval and the tpq of the hoard it is found in.

¹⁵A possible exception was the eighth century, where Byzantine mint output collapsed.

¹⁶Examples of of coins used in foreign empires abound. Bates (1991) discusses how Byzantine coins kept circulating (and being minted) in Egypt after the Arab takeover in 641. Tribute and ransom payments between the Arabs and Byzantines often included domestic currency. McCormick (2001), chapter 12, discusses the circulation of Arab and Byzantine coins in the west.

¹⁷We conduct robustness checks of our main results restricting the sample to gold coins.

¹⁸Other data-driven approaches used by economic historians to measure economic activity include urbanization rates, the flows of consumption goods, notably ceramics (Wickham, 2006, Flückiger et al., 2022), communication flows and movements of people (McCormick, 2001), pollen grain measurements (Izdebski et al., 2016), and ice core readings (McConnell et al., 2018). Coin flows bring several econometric advantages and are more directly related to comprehensive patterns of exchange than communications or ceramics flows. We nonetheless estimate similar distance elasticities from gravity regressions on coin and ceramic flows (see appendix C.2 and figure C.2).

universe of coin flows, but only hoards that have been created (i.e. the coins were deliberately or accidentally deposited, which may depend on warfare, natural disasters, and property rights protection), found (which may depend on modern-day institutions, such as whether metal detecting is allowed, and on modern-day market prices for historical coins), and documented by experts (which may depend on the local presence of experts, the 'novelty' of the hoards' contents, and the demand for research on these topics).¹⁹ Our model-based estimation in section 2 corrects those biases by using shares of coins within hoards, and differs from the more descriptive methods employed by historians.

Finally, in contrast to standard trade data, we do not observe flows at each point in time, but only when and where a coin was minted, and when and where a coin is deposited into a hoard. Our structural model in section 2 identifies the parameters governing trade flows from data on coin stocks, and reconstructs the possibly numerous successive trips a coin took throughout its life.

1.3 Three stylized facts on ancient coins

Distance and political borders disrupt trade. The bilateral structure of our dataset, with a mint-origin and hoard-destination for each coin, allows us to explore the geography of coin flows in reduced form. We aggregate hoard (h) and mint (m) locations to $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ cells across all periods, and model coin flows between cells as a function of distance and a political border dummy,

$$\operatorname{count}_{mhp} = \exp\left(a_{mp} + a_h + b_1 \log \operatorname{distance}_{mh} + b_2 \operatorname{PoliticalBorder}_{hp} + u_{mhp}\right).$$
(1)

We estimate this model by PPML using data on all triplets (m, h, p) for mint cell m in political block b and hoard cell h. The political border dummy is one if the region where the center of the hoard cell h is located in has never and to no extent been under the political control of p.²⁰

Table 1 shows the results. Distance and crossing a political border are both negatively correlated with coin flows.²¹ Columns 3 and 4 show almost identical results

¹⁹FLAME (2023a) discusses potential sources of biases, which also apply to our combined data.

²⁰See appendix B for our division of the combined Arab and Mediterranean world into 13 regions. ²¹The distance and border effects are robust to using only the intensive margin of coin flows, see appendix table C.3. An alternative explanation for the border effect could be that coins are redistributed within a political entity before entering circulation. Appendix table C.4 shows similar

	Dep	endent vari	able: # Coir	Dep. var.: $Value_{mdh}$		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Log Distance	-1.137^{***} (0.12)	-1.002^{***} (0.13)	-1.135^{***} (0.10)	-0.951^{***} (0.076)	-1.144^{***} (0.075)	-0.989^{***} (0.068)
Political border		-1.945^{***} (0.62)		-2.073^{***} (0.47)		-1.516^{***} (0.27)
Hoard Cell FE Mint \times Empire Cell FE	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes
Sample			Gold only	Gold only	Gold and Silver	Gold and Silver
Estimator	PPML	PPML	PPML	PPML	PPML	PPML
Pseudo- R^2 Observations	$0.767 \\ 217748$	$0.778 \\ 217748$	$0.808 \\ 57287$	$0.824 \\ 57287$	$0.800 \\ 146767$	$0.810 \\ 146767$

Table 1: Distance and Border Effects in Coin Flows

Standard errors in parentheses, clustered at mint cell \times empire and hoard cell level.

* p < 0.10,** p < 0.05,*** p < 0.01

Notes: This table presents various specifications of equation (1). The dependent variable is the number of coins in a hoard cell h from a mint cell m issued by a political entity p in columns 1-4, and the value of those coins in columns 5-6. Hoard and mint cells are $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$. Political entities here are categorized into fourteen divisions. Columns 1-2 use data on all coins, columns 3-4 gold only, and columns 5-6 value-weighted gold and silver.

when restricting the sample to gold coins, which were universally valued throughout the Mediterranean for their metal content and were therefore particularly favored for long-distance trade. Despite accounting for only 7% of the coins in our sample, distance and border effects for gold coins are remarkably similar. Column 5 and 6 also show almost identical results when using silver and gold coins and weighing them by their relative value.²²

While purely descriptive, those results suggest that coin flows contain information related to trade costs (e.g. distance and border effects).²³ Our model in section 2

²³To investigate the informativeness of coins for trade flows, appendix figure C.2 compares the distance elasticities for coin flows and for flows of Roman Terra Sigillata ceramics, the only tradable

results for specifications with hoard \times empire fixed effects, suggesting that this is unlikely.

²²We calculate the equivalent gold weight of silver coins in two steps. First, we code the reference weights of coins of different denominations in our data, noting that coins are often clipped, broken, debased, or abraded (Manas and Velde, 2021). Second, we convert this reference weight into a gold-equivalent weight assuming a constant conversion ratio of 12g of silver for 1g of gold, a rough approximation given the fluctuations of the gold-silver ratio between 1:10 and 1:16 (Bolin, 1953). Gold represents 80% of the resulting value in our data. Since the price of copper/bronze fluctuates heavily during Late Antiquity (see Banaji, 2016, Ch. 5) and copper denominations frequently traded at values different from their intrinsic metal content, we only use silver and gold coins. We also note that FLAME does not record coin weights, resulting in approximate calculations.

isolates features of the geography of coin flows that are driven by trade.

Older coins travel further. Coins are found, on average, in hoards 800 kilometers from their mint. But within hoards, older coins are also coins that have on average travelled farther. Table 2 shows results from a regression of log distance between a coin's mint and hoard place on the log coin age —the difference between minting and the hoard's tpq—with hoard fixed effects to isolate within-hoard variation.

	Dependent variable: Log Distance between Mint and Hoard					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
Log Age of Coin	Age of Coin 0.146^{***} 0.083 (0.044) (0.043)		$\begin{array}{ccc} 0.0749^{**} & 0.160^{***} \\ (0.031) & (0.043) \end{array}$		0.0485^{**} (0.020)	
Sample				No non-hoards	No non-hoards	
Hoard FE Mint \times 50-year-interval FE	Yes	Yes Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Mint \times 25-year-interval FE			Yes		Yes	
R^2 Observations	$0.762 \\ 287243$	$0.863 \\ 287029$	$0.869 \\ 286873$	$0.775 \\ 250156$	$0.898 \\ 249830$	

Table 2: Coin age and distance travelled

Standard errors in parentheses, clustered at the hoard level.

* p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01

Notes: The dependent variable is the log distance between the mint location and the location of the hoard. The independent variable is the log age of the coin at the date of the tpq of the hoard (where the age is defined as the difference between the midpoint of the minting interval and the maximum of the endpoints of the minting intervals). In the rare cases where this age is zero (the youngest coin in the hoard is dated to a precise year) we set the log age to zero. Mints are identified as all Nomisma or FLAME-recorded entities that have been geocoded to the same $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$ cell. Columns 4 and 5 exclude FLAME finds that are tagged as not being hoards.

The coefficient on coin age is positive and significant, even when including mint \times mint time fixed effects to control for the average distance traveled and age of coins of a particular mint and issue. This suggests that older coins have been used on average for more transactions, each taking them further away from their mint origin. Our model in section 2 is designed to disentangle the many transactions of older coins from the few transactions of younger coins.

good from Antiquity on which substantial amounts of data are available (Flückiger et al., 2022). We find similar but slightly higher distance elasticities for Terra Sigillata, possibly due to coins being more durable and hence being more frequently re-used in exchange (see section 2.2).

The geography of coin flows changes sharply around the Arab conquests.

Finally we show descriptives of the movements of coins during Late Antiquity.



(a) Before the Arab conquests: AD 450-630



(b) After the Arab conquests: AD 713-900

Figure 4: Changes in coin flows in the ancient world

Notes: The figure shows coin flows, indicated by a straight line, between mints and find spots. The sample consists of all coin groups where both the lower end of the mint interval and the tpq of the hoard lie between AD 450 and AD 630 (panel a) and AD 713 and AD 900 (panel b). Hoards from outside the shaded area are excluded.

Figure 4 illustrates the changes in the flow of coins across the Mediterranean before and after the Arab conquests. Panel 4a shows flows from AD 450 to AD 630.

Constantinople, Thessalonica, Rome, Ravenna, and Carthage are important mints whose coins flow across the entire Mediterranean. Coins from Carthage cross the sea into Europe, and coins from Rome and Constantinople cross into Africa and the Middle East. Panel 4b shows that the patterns of coin flows are starkly different after 713, by which time the Arabs had conquered the eastern Mediterranean coast (up to and including Antioch), the southern Mediterranean coast, and most of the Iberian peninsula. Most coins now flow east to west within the Islamic Caliphate, within the Arab heartlands of Syria, Mesopotamia, and Egypt, and within the Frankish lands of northern Europe. The coin flows emanating from the remaining Byzantine mints in Constantinople, Syracuse, and Italy are much smaller than in the earlier period.²⁴ Besides a few coins from the mints of Ifriqiya and al-Abbasiyya that end up in the hoards of Ilanz and Steckborn (McCormick, 2001), there are almost no north-south flows across the Mediterranean. Flows that cross the border between Christianity and Islam primarily do so in the the West across the Pyrenees (Parvérie, 2014). Appendix C.4, figure C.3, and table C.5 present additional evidence that coin flows across the Mediterranean dropped after the Arab conquests, and that Islamic coins crossing the sea replace Roman coins. Despite the fact that in some historical sources the Arabs called the Mediterranean the "Sea of the Romans" (Bahr al- $R\bar{u}m(\bar{i})$), after the Arab conquests the Mediterranean became, at least when it comes to coin flows, an Arab-dominated sea.²⁵

While only descriptive, figure 4 suggests that the Arab conquest coincided with a substantial change in the economic geography of the ancient world. The structural model in the next section is designed to quantify those changes.

²⁴The changes in the magnitude and location of Byzantine coin production has been the topic of a large literature. Kazhdan (1954) was the first to argue for a decline of Byzantine cities in the 8th and 9th century based on archaeological evidence. Several authors (including Kazhdan, Zavagno, 2022, and Pennas, 1996) relate these changes to Arab military pressure. Grierson (1973) notes that the eastern mints of Nicomedia, Cyzicus, Thessalonica, Cyprus, as well as Catania, were closed in 629-630, before the Arab conquests, and production was relocated to Constantinople. Nevertheless, a number of provincial mints, including Syracuse, Ravenna, and Rome, remained active until at least the mid-8th century (in the case of Sycracuse until 878 when it fell to the Aghlabids).

²⁵Paraphrasing Pirenne (1939). We take the Arab conquests as a proximate cause for these changes, and do not attempt to explain why the Arabs were successful. The commonly held view is that the Byzantine-Sasanian war of 602–628 exhausted the forces of both empires and paved the way for Arab military success (Foss, 1975).

2 Model and estimation

We now introduce a quantitative model of trade, money, and the diffusion of coins. This model forms the basis for the estimation of trade costs, mint outputs, and technology, from which we quantify changes in the ancient economic geography.

Our approach relies on two key assumptions. Firstly, we assume that coins are used for transactions. This assumption is necessary for identification: if coins were not used to clear gross bilateral trades, then data on coins would not contain information on bilateral trade flows. The use of coinage was widespread: as historians have noted (e.g. Mayhew, 2019), the presence of many hundreds of thousands —if not millions—of coins in present-day collections (only a small fraction of which can be associated to a hoard and included in our sample) indicates that great quantities of coinage must have circulated at the time.²⁶ The period we study does not yet feature the widespread use of financial contracts for trade. Finally, our finding that coin movements exhibit similar empirical patterns as trade flows also supports this assumption.

Our second key assumption is that coins are fungible. This assumption is natural with coins being valued for their precious metal content, and is supported by historical evidence on the wide circulation of foreign coins and the discovery of precise tools for measuring the weight and fineness of foreign coins (Bates, 1991; McCormick, 2001).²⁷

2.1 Model

Set up. There are N locations. Time is discrete. Each time period is decomposed into three sub-periods: beginning, middle, and end.

At the end of period t - 1, location n sets aside $S_n[t]$ coins for consumption and saving in period t. At the beginning of period t, an exogenous fraction $\lambda_n[t]$ of the coins in this stock $S_n[t]$ ceases to circulate, either lost or melted into fresh new coins.²⁸ In addition, some locations own a mint which exogenously generates fresh new coins if it is active in period t, $M_n[t] \ge 0$. Assuming that minting is exogenous means that we model the *benefit* of minting (seigniorage) but not its *cost*. In the middle of period t, $L_n[t]$ identical workers save a fraction $s_n[t]$ of their coins, and spend the rest on

 $^{^{26}}$ Earlier writers, including Polanyi, were yet unaware of the vast amount of coins that would be unearthed from the 1980's onwards through the use of metal detectors.

²⁷Gandal and Sussman (1997) discuss medieval weight and fineness measurement technologies.

²⁸We think of λ primarily as coins melted into bullion for their precious metal, possibly as a seigniorage tax to be re-minted. Only a small fraction is literally lost and becomes part of our data.

consumption expenditures, $X_n[t]$. Importantly, expenditures contain spending on all goods, possibly including capital goods. What we label saving, $s_n[t]$, solely captures saving into nominal financial assets (coins), not investment into physical capital.

Workers face the following budget constraint,

$$X_{n}[t] = (1 - s_{n}[t]) \left((1 - \lambda_{n}[t]) S_{n}[t] + M_{n}[t] \right), \text{ with } s_{n}[t] \ge 0,$$
(2)

where we assume workers cannot borrow $(s_n [t] \ge 0)$. In this 'coin-in-advance' economy, consumption is financed by available coins, and not by promised future income.²⁹

At the end of period t, workers earn a competitive wage $w_n[t]$ selling goods in exchange for coins. The stock of coins set aside evolves recursively,

$$S_n[t+1] = (1 - \lambda_n[t]) S_n[t] + M_n[t] + w_n[t] L_n[t] - X_n[t].$$
(3)

Trade. Within each period trade is modeled as in Eaton and Kortum (2002). Consumers in *n* spend a fraction $\pi_{ni}[t]$ of their expenditures on imports from *i*, $X_{ni}[t]$,

$$\pi_{ni}[t] = \frac{X_{ni}[t]}{X_{n}[t]} = \frac{T_{i}[t] (w_{i}[t] d_{ni}[t])^{-\theta}}{\sum_{k} T_{k}[t] (w_{k}[t] d_{nk}[t])^{-\theta}}.$$
(4)

Trade shares depends on relative technology, $T_i[t]$, relative factor prices, wages $w_i[t]$, relative bilateral trade costs, $d_{ni}[t]$, and the trade elasticity $\theta > 0$.

Inter-temporal allocations. Workers have log-utility over real consumption,

$$U_{n}[t] = \mathbb{E}_{t}\left[\sum_{\tau \ge t} \beta^{\tau-t} \ln\left(\frac{X_{n}[\tau]}{p_{n}[\tau]}\right)\right], \text{ with } p_{n}[t] = \gamma\left(\sum_{k} T_{k}[t] \left(w_{k}[t] d_{nk}[t]\right)^{-\theta}\right)^{-1/\theta}.$$

 β is the discount rate, $p_n[t]$ the ideal price index in n at t, and γ the Euler constant (Eaton and Kortum, 2002). Each location chooses a sequence of coins stocks to maximize utility given wages subject to the no borrowing constraint (2), the budget constraint (3), the optimal within-period allocation across imports (4), and a

²⁹If this assumption were relaxed, labor income (in coins) would buy expenditures in other locations, become income and be used for expenditures again, etc, all within a period. Data on coin stocks would contain no information on trade flows. Similarly, if coins were used only to clear bilateral imbalances, data on coins would contain information on net trade flows, not on gross flows.

transversality condition which prevents holding coins forever,

$$\max_{\{S_n[\tau]\}_{\tau \ge t}} \mathbb{E}_t \left[\sum_{\tau \ge t} \beta^{\tau-t} \ln \left(\frac{(1-\lambda_n[\tau]) S_n[\tau] + M_n[\tau] + w_n[\tau] L_n[\tau] - S_n[\tau+1]}{\gamma \left(\sum_k T_k[\tau] (w_k[\tau] d_{nk}[\tau])^{-\theta} \right)^{-1/\theta}} \right) \right]$$
(5)
s.t. $S_n[\tau+1] \ge w_n[\tau] L_n[\tau], \forall (\tau \ge t), \text{ and } \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \beta^{\tau} \frac{S_n[\tau+1]}{X_n[\tau]} = 0.$

Saving $(s_n[\tau] > 0 \Leftrightarrow S_n[\tau+1] > w_n[\tau] L_n[\tau])$ is used for consumption smoothing.

Equilibrium. Wages are determined by market clearing, given the trade shares, $\pi_{ni}[t]$ from equation (4), and the coin stock policy function, $S_n[t]$ from equation (5),

$$w_{i}[t] L_{i}[t] = \sum_{n} \pi_{ni}[t] \left((1 - \lambda_{n}[t]) S_{n}[t] + M_{n}[t] + w_{n}[t] L_{n}[t] - S_{n}[t+1] \right), \forall (i,t).$$
(6)

In a useful benchmark where agents save little to none, $s_n[t] \approx 0$, expenditures on the right hand side simplify into $X_n[t] \approx (1 - \lambda_n[t]) w_n[t-1] L_n[t-1] + M_n[t]$.

Steady state equilibrium. We use the following steady state when simulating counterfactual equilibria in section 3. All aggregate variables are constant, in particular $w_n[t] L_n[t] = w_n L_n$ and $M_n[t] = M_n$, $\forall (n, t)$. If agents correctly anticipate they are in a steady state, there is no consumption smoothing motive for saving, $s_n = 0$ and $X_n = (1 - \lambda_n) w_n L_n + M_n$. If agents incorrectly anticipate shocks and save for precautionary motives, the same equality between expenditure and income (inclusive of minting) holds to a first order, $X_n = \frac{1-s_n}{1-s_n+\lambda_n s_n} ((1 - \lambda_n) w_n L_n + M_n)$ with $\frac{1-s_n}{1-s_n+\lambda_n s_n} \approx 1.^{30}$ Equilibrium wages jointly clear markets given the trade equilibrium,

$$w_{i}L_{i} = \sum_{n} \pi_{ni} \Big((1 - \lambda_{n}) w_{n}L_{n} + M_{n} \Big), \text{ and } \pi_{ni} = \frac{T_{i} (w_{i}d_{ni})^{-\theta}}{\sum_{k} T_{k} (w_{k}d_{nk})^{-\theta}},$$
(7)

and the aggregate stock of coins in circulation is constant, $\sum_n M_n = \sum_n \lambda_n w_n L_n$.³¹

³⁰We estimate $\lambda = 1.7\%$ p.a. (section 2.3). Scheidel (2015) calculates s = 1.5% for Roman times.

³¹We do not impose any constraint on using arbitrarily small coin denominations: if aggregate minting increases (decreases), nominal wages will increase (decrease), leading to inflation (deflation).

2.2 Dynamic accumulation in coin hoards

Our aim is to match the quantities in our model to our data on ancient coins, which contain information on mint/hoard locations and dates. To do so, we explicitly follow the movements of individual coins as they travel through the trade network.

We denote by $S_{mi}[t,\tau]$ the number of coins minted in location m at time t which are part of the coin stock of location i at time τ , with $S_i[\tau] = \sum_{m=1}^N \sum_{t \leq \tau} S_{mi}[t,\tau]$. Coins start their 'coin life' when they are minted, so $S_{mm}[t,t] = M_m[t]$. Subsequently, they circulate across locations as they are used for transactions or saved,

$$S_{mi}[t,\tau+1] = \sum_{n=1}^{N} (1 - s_n[\tau]) (1 - \lambda_n[\tau]) S_{mn}[t,\tau] \pi_{ni}[\tau] + s_i[\tau] (1 - \lambda_i[\tau]) S_{mi}[t,\tau]$$
(8)

At time τ , each location n has a stock of coins set aside. A fraction $(1 - s_n[\tau])$ is spent on goods (not saved). Of those coins, $(1 - \lambda_n[\tau]) S_{mn}[t,\tau]$ were minted in location m at time t. Consumers in n send a fraction $\pi_{ni}[\tau]$ of those coins to i to pay for imported goods. We assume that coins are fungible so buyers draw coins at random, and $(1 - s_n[\tau]) (1 - \lambda_n[\tau]) S_{mn}[t,\tau] \pi_{ni}[\tau]$ coins minted in location m at time t move from n to i at time τ in expectation. Summing across all (coin) origins we derive the first term (sum) in equation (8). In addition, a fraction $s_i[\tau]$ of coins is saved locally and remains in region i, the second term in equation (8). We can express the dynamic evolution of the composition of coin stocks in a compact matrix form, $\mathbf{S}[t,t] = \mathbf{M}[t]$ and $\mathbf{S}[t,\tau+1] = \mathbf{S}[t,\tau] (\mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}[\tau]) \tilde{\mathbf{\Pi}}[\tau]$, and solve it forward,

$$\mathbf{S}[t,T] = \boldsymbol{M}[t] \left(\prod_{\tau=t}^{T-1} \left(\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}[\tau] \right) \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}[\tau] \right) \forall T \ge t, \text{ with } \tilde{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}[\tau] \equiv \left(\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{s}[\tau] \right) \boldsymbol{\Pi}[\tau] + \boldsymbol{s}[\tau].$$
(9)

 $\mathbf{S}[t,T]$ is the square $N \times N$ matrix of coin stocks with $(n,i)^{th}$ element $S_{ni}[t,T]$. $\mathbf{M}[t]$ is a diagonal $N \times N$ matrix of minting with n^{th} element $M_n[\tau]$. \mathbf{I} is the $N \times N$ identity matrix and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}[\tau]$ is a diagonal $N \times N$ matrix of coin loss with n^{th} element $\lambda_n[\tau]$. $\mathbf{\tilde{\Pi}}[\tau]$

Note that this model is analogous to Dekle et al. (2007), with trade deficits and surpluses. The trade deficit of location n, equal to the net creation of coins, is $D_n \equiv X_n - w_n L_n = M_n - \lambda_n w_n L_n$. Any non-mint location runs a trade surplus $(D_n < 0)$, and a mint location runs a trade deficit if minting is large enough, with at least one mint location running a trade deficit each period.

is the square $N \times N$ matrix, which governs bilateral coin flows. This 'augmented' trade matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{\Pi}}[\tau]$ is a function of $\boldsymbol{s}[\tau]$, the diagonal $N \times N$ matrix of net saving rates with n^{th} element $s_n[\tau]$, and $\mathbf{\Pi}[\tau]$, the trade matrix with $(n, i)^{th}$ element $\pi_{ni}[\tau]$.

Equation (9) forms the basis of our estimation. Before describing our estimation strategy, we isolate two original features of our model, which helps gain intuition on how we can extract information about trade from coins and clarifies the distinctions between data on coins and data on trade.

Coins as a medium of exchange versus a store of value. The stock of coins **S** in equation (9) diffuses across locations not according to the trade matrix Π , but to the 'augmented' trade matrix $\tilde{\Pi}$. Both matrices have almost the same structure, with one distinction: coins, unlike goods, have an additional tendency to stay locally, because they are also used as a store of value for saving. To make this distinction explicit, we decompose the 'augmented' trade share $\tilde{\pi}_{ni}$ into three multiplicative terms: a buyer term, $\tilde{\alpha}_n$, a seller term, $\tilde{\beta}_i$, and a bilateral term $\tilde{\delta}_{ni}$,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\pi}_{ni}\left[\tau\right] &= \tilde{\alpha}_{n}\left[\tau\right]\tilde{\beta}_{i}\left[\tau\right]\tilde{\delta}_{ni}\left[\tau\right], \tag{10} \\ \tilde{\alpha}_{n}\left[\tau\right] &= \frac{1}{\sum_{k}\tilde{\beta}_{k}\left[\tau\right]\tilde{\delta}_{nk}\left[\tau\right]}, \\ \tilde{\beta}_{i}\left[\tau\right] &= T_{i}\left[\tau\right]\left(w_{i}\left[\tau\right]\right)^{-\theta}, \\ \tilde{\delta}_{ni}\left[\tau\right] &= \frac{\left(d_{ni}\left[\tau\right]\right)^{-\theta}}{\left(d_{nn}\left[\tau\right]\right)^{-\theta}} \times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = i, \\ \left(1 - \frac{s_{n}\left[\tau\right]}{\pi_{nn}\left[\tau\right]}\right) & \text{if } n \neq i. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

The classical Eaton and Kortum (2002) trade matrix $\Pi[\tau]$ has almost the exact same structure: $\pi_{ni}[\tau] = \alpha_n[\tau] \beta_i[\tau] \delta_{ni}[\tau]$, where $\alpha_n[\tau] = 1/\sum_k \beta_k[\tau] \delta_{nk}[\tau]$ and $\beta_i[\tau] = T_i[\tau] (w_i[\tau])^{-\theta}$ are buyer and seller terms, and $\delta_{ni}[\tau] = (d_{ni}[\tau])^{-\theta} / (d_{nn}[\tau])^{-\theta}$ is a bilateral term. In the absence of saving, $s_n = 0$, both matrices are identical. But if $s_n > 0$ the home bias for coins flows is magnified compared to the home bias in trade flows, i.e. the gap between the (high) within-location flows versus the (low) between-location flows increases for coins relative to trade.

Equation (10) shows that coin and trade flows have the same gravity structure up to a constant, so that coin flows and the saving rate are sufficient to recover trade flows. In practice, this distinction is of little consequence, as the saving rate into coins was likely very low: Scheidel (2020) calculates a 1.5% savings rate for Roman times. Coin flows versus trade flows. The second key distinction between coin and trade flows is that coins do not travel just once: they may be used for multiple transactions throughout their stochastic lifespan. This is made explicit by the product of 'augmented' trade matrices in equation (9). Our structural estimation unpacks the different elements of the product of those matrices, leveraging the overlapping yet distinct information contained in young versus old coins —which have traveled through a few versus many iterations of the 'augmented' trade matrix.

A naive estimation that would wrongly ignore the dynamic nature of coin flows, simply combine all coins of different ages, and run a gravity regression on coin shares would not identify the parameters of the 'augmented' trade matrix. This can be most easily seen in a stationary version of our model, though the result extends to nonstationary cases. In a stationary equilibrium with no net saving, $\boldsymbol{s}[\tau] = 0, \forall \tau$, the trade matrix and 'augmented' trade matrix are identical and time invariant, $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Pi}} = \boldsymbol{\Pi}$, and the dynamics of coin stocks in equation (9) simplify into

$$\mathbf{S}[t, t+a] = \mathbf{S}[a] = \boldsymbol{M}\left((\boldsymbol{I}-\boldsymbol{\lambda})\boldsymbol{\Pi}\right)^{a}, \forall (t,a), \qquad (11)$$

such that only age, a, matters. Combining coins of different ages, we get

$$\sum_{a=0}^{A} \mathbf{S}[a] = \boldsymbol{M} \left(\sum_{a=0}^{A} \left((\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \boldsymbol{\Pi} \right)^{a} \right) \underset{A \to +\infty}{=} \boldsymbol{M} \left(\boldsymbol{I} - (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \boldsymbol{\Pi} \right)^{-1}.$$
 (12)

The share of coins from different mint origins in different destinations depends not on the trade matrix Π , but on the Leontief inverse of the trade matrix discounted by $(I - \lambda)$: $(I - (I - \lambda) \Pi)^{-1}$. The reason is simple: newly minted coins percolate through the trade network, just as value added shocks percolate through the inputoutput network in the work of Wassily Leontief (Leontief, 1941, 1944). The intensity with which coins flow from one location to another depends on bilateral trade shares, just as the intensity with which one upstream sector affects the production of a downstream sectors depends on bilateral input shares. The same coin will travel multiple times through the trade network (until hit by a Poisson death shock λ), just as value added travels multiple times through the input-output network. However, unlike in conventional static models of input-output linkages, coins take time to percolate through the system, similar to the dynamic model of Liu and Tsyvinski (2024).

Figure 5 illustrates the potential bias from wrongly interpreting coin flows as



Figure 5: Flows of coins of different ages

Notes: This figure presents a numerical illustration of the flow of coins of different ages as a function of trade costs. We use equations (11) and (12), and the trade model (4) to simulate an economy with 50 locations around a regular polygon, with same technology $T_n = T$, $\forall n$, a trade elasticity $\theta = 4$, and an annual coin loss rate $\lambda = 0.017$. Locations are symmetric so wages are equalized and trade shares simplify to $\pi_{ni} = (d_{ni})^{-\theta} / \sum_k (d_{nk})^{-\theta}$. We parameterize $(d_{ni})^{-\theta} = (TravelTimes_{ni})^{-\zeta}$ with $\zeta = 3.03$ (see our structural estimation in table 3). Log travel times are on the x-axis; and log flows of coins of different ages on the y-axis ($\ln S_{ni} [t, t + a]$) for age a). To ease comparisons, we normalize the largest log flows and smallest log travel times to zero, so all curves start at (0,0). 'Naively' treating the flows of coins of all ages combined as trade flows, i.e. treating the Leontief inverse ($I - (I - \lambda) \Pi$)⁻¹ as if it were the trade matrix Π , gives a misspecified travel times elasticity of 1.15, substantially below the true $\zeta = 3.03$.

trade flows. Within the first period of their life, coin flows mirror trade flows (Π in figure 5). The same trade elasticity θ governs both coin and trade flows, $S_{ni}[t, t+1] \propto \pi_{ni} \propto (d_{ni})^{-\theta}$. In the second period of their life, coins have traveled twice through the trade network (Π^2 in figure 5). The negative impact of trade costs over short distances weakens as coins have started diffusing within nearby destinations. The trade elasticity falls below θ . As coins age, their flows gradually escape the negative effect of trade costs; coins diffuse through the trade network and converge towards a uniform distribution.³² The trade elasticity falls towards zero (see the flattening slopes of $\Pi, \Pi^2, \cdots \Pi^{100}$ in figure 5). A naive estimation using coins of all ages combined, i.e. wrongly interpreting the Leontief inverse ($I - (I - \lambda) \Pi$)⁻¹ as if it were the trade matrix Π , would infer incorrect parameters. In our numerical example, if we assume

 $^{^{32}}$ Our model of gradual diffusion of coins through a trade network is intimately related to the model of diffusion of information through a trade network in Chaney (2018).

that trade costs depend on travel times, $(d_{ni})^{-\theta} = (TravelTimes_{ni})^{-\zeta}$, with a true elasticity $\zeta = 3.03$, we would wrongly estimate a travel times elasticity of 1.15. This corresponds approximately to the discrepancy between our reduced-form estimate combining coins of all ages (1.14 in table 1) and our upcoming structural estimate (3.03 in column 2 of table 3).³³ Appendix C.5 and figure C.4 show reduced-form evidence suggestive of this phenomenon: across separate gravity regressions (as in table 1) for different vintages of coins, the elasticity in absolute value falls towards zero as we move from younger to older coins.

2.3 Mapping the model to the data

Definition of time periods. We aggregate mint and hoard dates (tpq) to 20-year intervals, and show robustness for shorter (10-year) and longer (30-year) intervals.

Definition of locations. We partition the world into N = 13 regions. In the Islamic world they correspond to aggregates of provinces of the Umayyad caliphate (Cornu, 1983). In the Roman world they are based partly on Roman provincial borders, and partly on 9th-century political borders (see appendix B).

Assumption: constant loss rate. With a constant loss rate λ , any collection of coins minted at time t will gradually disappear from the monetary system. At time t + 1 only a fraction $(1 - \lambda)$ remains, at time t + 2 a fraction $(1 - \lambda)^2$, etc. The same exponential decay holds for any starting date t, and it holds for the random sample found by archaeologists. We aggregate all the coins in our dataset, and express the density of coins of age a as $f(a) \propto (1 - \lambda)^a$, corresponding to figure 3b. Taking logs, we estimate λ by OLS,

$$\ln f(a) = constant + \ln (1 - \lambda) \times a + \varepsilon(a).$$
(13)

We estimate a coin loss rate of 1.7% per year, or 30% over 20 years, $\hat{\lambda}_{20-year} = 0.3$.³⁴

³³Figure 5 is not a structural exercise, but a stylized example with symmetric locations around a regular polygon. It is meant to build intuition, not to resemble the real ancient world.

³⁴See appendix C.6 and table C.6 for formal estimation results. Our estimates of an annual coin loss rate of 1.7% are remarkably similar to those estimated by numismatists. For Roman times, the most frequently cited estimates are by Crawford and Hopkins, of 2% per annum (Hopkins, 1980). Patterson (1972) reports estimates of 2% for Roman times (150 AD), and 1% for Islamic times (800 AD). These numbers are based on estimates of mint output that involve collecting information on

Parameterization of trade costs. We assume that bilateral trade costs scaled by the trade elasticity θ are a function of (directed) bilateral travel times, and a possible ad-valorem proportional penalty incurred when crossing political or religious borders,

$$\ln\left(\left(d_{ni}\left[t\right]\right)^{-\theta}\right) = \gamma_0 - \zeta \ln\left(TravelTime_{ni}\right) - \kappa_1 PoliticalBorder_{ni}\left[t\right] - \kappa_2 ReligiousBorder_{ni}\left[t\right], \forall (n \neq i, t).$$
(14)

We normalize $d_{nn}[t] = 1, \forall n, t$, as in Eaton and Kortum (2002). The constant γ_0 adjusts travel time units, and governs the home bias in trade.³⁵ From our 'augmented' trade model, which describes coin flows driven both by transactions (trade) and saving, we derive the bilateral determinants of coin flows, $\tilde{\delta}_{ni}[t]$ in equation (10),

$$\ln\left(\tilde{\delta}_{ni}\left[t\right]\right) = \tilde{\gamma}_{0} - \zeta \ln\left(TravelTime_{ni}\right) - \kappa_{1}PoliticalBorder_{ni}\left[t\right] - \kappa_{2}ReligiousBorder_{ni}\left[t\right], \forall (n \neq i, t), \quad (15)$$

and $\tilde{\delta}_{nn}[t] = 1, \forall (n, t)$. The bilateral determinants of external trade flows, $(d_{ni}[t])^{-\theta}$, and coin flows, $\tilde{\delta}_{ni}[t]$, only differ by a multiplicative scalar, $e^{\tilde{\gamma}_0 - \gamma_0}$, due to saving. Given within region coin flows, $\tilde{\pi}_{nn}[t]$, this scalar maps into the saving rates,

$$s_n[t] = \tilde{\pi}_{nn}[t] \left(1 - e^{\tilde{\gamma}_0 - \gamma_0} \right).$$
(16)

 $\tilde{\pi}_{nn}[t]$ controls the home bias in coins, and $(1 - e^{\tilde{\gamma}_0 - \gamma_0})$ adjusts for the discrepancy (due to saving $s_n[t]$) between the home bias in coins (governed by $\tilde{\gamma}_0$) and the home bias in trade (governed by γ_0). In the absence of direct evidence on ancient trade, we cannot directly estimate γ_0 . Instead, we choose γ_0 to match an average ancient saving rate into nominal assets of 1.5% (Scheidel, 2020). This estimated savings rate for Roman times is likely an upper bound for Late Antiquity, where property rights were weaker and conflict was more widespread.

the number of dies that were used to mint coins, and multiplying die counts by estimates of the average number of coins that were struck with one die. The comprehensive die studies that are required for this procedure are, however, only available for a small subset of mints and time periods.

³⁵Our parameterization for trade costs is potentially inconsistent with the assumption of arbitrage trade because we compute optimal travel routes once and for all, without taking into account the additional costs associated with potentially multiple border crossings. In practice, this does not happen: we manually verify that the estimated costs in equation (14) cannot be lowered by taking a longer route avoiding unnecessary border crossings.

Travel times. To compute (optimal) travel times given the transportation network and technology we use two geo-spatial models constructed by historians to provide quantitative estimates of (shortest) distances, trade routes, and trade costs. The first is Orbis (Scheidel, 2015), a directed graph of cities and trade routes of the Roman world (i.e. from Britannia in the north-west to Egypt, Palestine, and Syria in the south-east) along with a calibrated model of trade costs, in monetary units and units of time, along the edges to allow for the calculation of shortest paths. The second is al-Turayyā (Romanov and Seydi, 2022), a digitalization of the Atlas of the Islamic World of Cornu (1983), which, similarly, contains the coordinates of cities and trading posts connected by trade routes, but without estimates of travel times. We combine the nodes of al-Turayyā and Orbis and extend Scheidel (2015)'s methodology from Orbis to calculate travel times for the Islamic world (see appendix B for details).



Figure 6: The combined geospatial model

Figure 6 shows the combined graph. We validate the resulting travel times by comparing them to those reported by the 10th-century Arab geographer Al-Muqaddasī (985) (see appendix C.7 and figure C.5). For each region, we calculate the weighted average of mint locations (with the shares of each location in total coin output as

Notes: The figure shows the combined geospatial models from Orbis and al-<u>T</u>urayyā, along with our thirteen regions. Edges in blue (red) indicate faster (slower) travel speeds.

weights) and project it to the closest vertex on the graph. The shortest travel time between the central vertices in n and i is our time-invariant measure of $TravelTime_{ni}$.

Political and religious borders. We construct political border and religious border dummies by coding the start and end years of the presence of political entities across regions. We set $PoliticalBorder_{ni}[t]$ to one if the set of political entities that occupy at least some part of the regions n and i for some part of the 20-year time interval is disjoint. $ReligiousBorder_{ni}[t]$ captures the border between the emerging religion of Islam and the rest of the world. We use two alternative specifications. The first specification is a dummy equal to one if all political entities in region n are Islamic and none in i are, or vice versa. The second specification —our baseline— distinguishes the eastern, western, and Mediterranean borders of Islam. The eastern land border is between the Byzantine heartlands and the Caliphate regions east of Egypt, the western land border is between al-Andalus and Aquitaine or Francia/Germania, and the Mediterranean maritime border is between all other region pairs.

Coin hoard data generating process. We assume that our hoard dataset H is a random sample from the stocks of coins in each region and period. We group all coin hoards within a region and period, with $H_h[T]$ the total amount of coins found in region h and buried at time T (tpq), which we decompose into coin types, with $H_{m,h}[t,T]$ the amount of coins minted in m at time t within that hoard. Our random sampling assumption means that the expected share of different coin types within a hoard equals the share of different coin types within a coin stock in our model (9),

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{H_{m,h}\left[t,T\right]}{H_{h}\left[T\right]}\right] = \frac{S_{m,h}\left[t,T\right]}{S_{h}\left[T\right]}.$$
(17)

As we discuss in section 1, we recognize that the probability that a coin ends up in our dataset may vary systematically between regions and periods, depending on whether coins were lost and deposited in the ground, found by archaeologists, and documented by experts. By using only information on the composition of coins *within* hoards, we condition on those events being realized (lost, found, documented), and we purge any variation in the probability of those events.³⁶

³⁶Our assumption of random sampling from the distribution of coin stocks would be violated if, for example, hoards with certain types of coins were more likely to be described in the literature. This concern is mitigated by the fact that many of the regions we study are covered by catalogues

Coin accounting. We use two different accounting methods for the amounts of coins $H_h[T]$ and $H_h[t,T]$: either the count of coins combining all denominations together, or the value of gold and silver coins accounting for weight, denomination, and metal content as in section 1 (see footnote 22 for details on computing relative gold and silver values), discarding undetermined coins and bronze coins for which relative values fluctuate too much and are poorly documented. Each method has advantages and drawbacks. Using counts minimizes the amount of data we discard, but ignores relative values. Using values is closer to our assumption that coins are fungible, but forces us to discard information on 75% of the coins in our dataset. We use counts as our baseline, and present robustness using values.

2.4 Estimation

We estimate the structural parameters of our model by maximum likelihood. Given our assumption in equation (17) that hoards contain random samples of coin stocks, the probability of observing $(\cdots, H_{m,h}[t,T], \cdots)_{m,t}$ coins minted in different regions (m's) at different times (t's) among the total of $H_h[T]$ coins within a hoard buried in region h at time (tpq) T is multinomial, and a function of coin stocks,

$$\Pr(\dots, H_{m,h}[t,T],\dots) = \frac{H_h[T]!}{\prod_{m',t'} H_{m',h}[t',T]!} \prod_{m,t} \left(\frac{S_{m,h}[t,T]}{S_h[T]}\right)^{H_{m,h}[t,T]}$$

It depends on the model parameters through the predicted shares of coin types, $S_{m,h}[t,T]/S_h[T]$. They are governed by the coin flow recursive equation (9), the coin flow static equation (10), which depend on the coin loss rate (13), and the bilateral determinants of coin flows (15). We collect all parameters in the vector Θ : timevarying minting output $M_n[t]$ from (9), time-varying seller terms $\tilde{\beta}_n[t]$ from (10), and the parameters governing saving and trade costs, $\tilde{\gamma}_0$, ζ , κ_1 , and κ_2 from (15),

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta} = \left((\cdots, M_n [t], \cdots)_{n \neq n_0, t \neq t_0}, (\cdots, \tilde{\beta}_n [t], \cdots)_{n \neq n_0, t}, \tilde{\gamma}_0, \zeta, \kappa_1, \kappa_2 \right).$$

As we target coin shares within hoards, we can never recover the total number of coins minted over 320-950. We normalize mint output $M_{n_0}[t_0] = 100$ for an arbitrary region n_0 (northern Italy) and period t_0 (320-340). Similarly, only *relative* seller terms

of coin finds that seek to exhaustively describe all finds on a territory.

matter for coin flow shares, so we normalize $\hat{\beta}_{n_0}[t] = 100, \forall t$, for region n_0 .

To estimate Θ , we maximize the likelihood of observing our coin hoards sample,

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}} = \arg\max_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}} \sum_{h,T} \sum_{m,t} H_{m,h} \left[t,T\right] \left(\ln S_{mh} \left[t,T\right] \left(\boldsymbol{\Theta}\right) - \ln \sum_{m',t'} S_{m'h} \left[t',T\right] \left(\boldsymbol{\Theta}\right)\right).$$
(18)

Given those structural estimates, we recover the parameter γ_0 governing bilateral trade costs (possibly distinct from the parameter $\tilde{\gamma}_0$ governing bilateral coin flows in the presence of saving), using equation (16) and an average net ancient saving rate into coins of 1.5% (Scheidel, 2020),

$$\gamma_0 \ s.t. \ \left(1 - e^{\tilde{\gamma}_0 - \gamma_0}\right) \mathbb{E}_{n,t} \left[\tilde{\pi}_{nn} \left[t\right]\right] = 0.015.$$
 (19)

With those estimates, we can compute all equilibrium variables.

As we explain in section 2.2, coins flow in opposite direction to trade, Discussion. and therefore contain information on the determinants of trade. If we had perfect information on continuously minted coins, we could restrict our data to age 1 coins to recover trade flows, discarding any information on older coins. Unfortunately, our data is sparse and minting sporadic, so that we need to combine information on overlapping generations of coins. For instance, if no coins were minted in period t, we would not observe age 1 coins flowing at t and could not learn about trade at t. Instead, we can use older coins, e.g. coins minted at t-1 still in circulation at t-1 and t. Our coin diffusion model (9) shows how the distribution of coins in two periods contain information on two iterations of the coin flow matrix. Coins minted at t-1 and hoarded at t-1 inform us on the t-1 coin flow matrix $\Pi[t-1]$: $\mathbf{S}[t-1,t-1] = \mathbf{M}[t-1](1-\lambda)\tilde{\mathbf{\Pi}}[t-1].$ Coins minted at t-1 and hoarded at tinform us jointly on the t-1 and t coin flow matrices $\tilde{\mathbf{\Pi}}[t-1]$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{\Pi}}[t]$: $\mathbf{S}[t-1,t] =$ $\boldsymbol{M}[t-1](1-\lambda)^2 \,\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}[t-1]\,\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}[t]$. Once $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}[t-1]$ is known from hoards at $t-1, \,\tilde{\boldsymbol{\Pi}}[t]$ can be recovered from hoards at t. Our gravity model for coin flows (10) then allows us to recover trade matrices, $\mathbf{\Pi}[t-1]$ and $\mathbf{\Pi}[t]$, from coin flow matrices, $\mathbf{\Pi}[t-1]$ and $\Pi[t]$. Our estimation applies this logic for all overlapping coin generations.

Note also that the time-varying trade matrices are identified from data on coin hoards without any parametric assumptions. However, given the sparsity of our coin hoard data, we only estimate the parameters governing the trade cost function (14).

3 Trade and the end of antiquity

3.1 Parameter estimates

Ancient trade costs. Table 3 shows the estimates of the parameters governing ancient trade costs. We consider two specifications for the religious border effect: either a single parameter governing the cost of border crossing from Islamic to non-Islamic regions (column 1), or different costs of crossing the religious border overland in the east (in and out of Byzantium), in the west (in and out of al-Andalus), or over the Mediterranean in and out of its non-Islamic northern shore (column 2, our baseline). We discuss the robustness of our estimates to alternative coin accounting methods and time aggregations in section 3.3 (and appendix table C.9).

In our simpler specification (column 1), the travel time elasticity of trade, $\zeta = 2.98$ (s.e. 0.02), is somewhat larger but close to the 2.05-2.89 range of estimates from Flückiger et al. (2022) using bilateral trade in terra sigillata in ancient Rome and optimal travel times along the Roman transportation network, and to the 1.9 distance elasticity from Barjamovic et al. (2019) using merchant records in Bronze Age Anatolia. This similarity to estimates using actual (though partial) ancient trade data is reassuring, as we do not use any direct information on trade, but only indirect information from coins. Interestingly, ζ is also larger than the 1.14 reduced-form elasticity estimated in table 1 using the same data on coin hoards. The reason is that in table 1 we naively combine coins of all ages, ignoring the fact that older coins have a tendency to travel longer distances. Our structural model (9) corrects this mis-specification (see section 2.2). This travel time elasticity is robust to alternative specifications for the religion border effect, 3.03 versus 2.98 in column 2 versus 1.

In our simpler specification (column 1), the political (κ_1) and religious (κ_2) border effects are large, but of the same magnitude as estimates for modern border effects. Arbitrarily assuming a trade elasticity $\theta = 4$ (Simonovska and Waugh, 2014), those correspond to an 8% ad-valorem tax for crossing a political border ($d_{across}/d_{within} = e^{\kappa_1/\theta} = 1.08$), and a 175% tax for crossing a religious border ($d_{across}/d_{within} = e^{\kappa_2/\theta} =$ 2.75), surprisingly not very different from the estimated 49% cost of crossing the modern US-Canada border (Anderson and van Wincoop, 2003).³⁷

³⁷Anderson and van Wincoop (2003) estimate that trade is $\exp(1.59) \approx 5$ times larger within the US or Canada than between them. For an elasticity $\theta = 4$ it corresponds to $d_{across}/d_{within} = e^{1.59/4} = 1.49$, a 49% ad-valorem border tax.

	Log Tra	Log Trade Costs		
	(1)	(2)		
Log Travel Time	2.98	3.03		
	(0.02)	(0.02)		
Political Border	0.3	0.49		
	(0.02)	(0.02)		
Religious Border	4.05			
	(0.11)			
Religious Border: East		1.97		
		(0.12)		
Religious Border: West		4.59		
		(0.22)		
Religious Border: Mediterranean		5.2		
		(0.18)		
Sample	All	All		
Coin Accounting	Number	Number		
Estimator	MLE	MLE		
Observations	4,413	4,413		

Table 3: Determinants of ancient trade costs

Notes: The table shows estimates for the coefficients in various specifications of the trade cost function, equation (14). "Political Border" is one if the sets of political entities that occupy at least some part of the regions during the 20-year time period are completely disjoint, and zero otherwise. "Religious Border" is one if all political entities in one region are Islamic and all are non-Islamic in the other region, and zero otherwise. "Religious Border: East" is one iff the religious border dummy is one and the regions are al-Andalus and Aquitaine or Francia/Germania, or vice versa. "Religious Border: West" is one iff the religious border dummy is one and the regions are the Byzantine Heartlands and one of the Caliphate regions east of Egypt, or vice versa. "Religious Border: Mediterranean" is one for all other region pairs where the religious border dummy is one. "Observations" denotes the number of observations (m, h, t, T) in the log-likelihood equation (18) where $H_{m,h}[t, T] > 0$. See appendix table C.9 for the robustness of our estimates to alternative coin accounting methods.

Changing the specification of the religious border effect to our baseline, distinguishing the eastern and western land borders from the Mediterranean maritime border (column 2) does not affect our estimate of the political border effect, which remains relatively small (0.3 versus 0.49 in column 1 versus 2). It does however reveal different estimated penalties associated with crossing from Islamic to non-Islamic regions. The religious border effect is strongest for crossing the Mediterranean ($\kappa_2^{Med.} = 5.20$, s.e. 0.22) and for the western border from al-Andalus ($\kappa_2^{West} = 4.59$, s.e. 0.22), and lowest for the eastern border into Byzantium ($\kappa_2^{Med.} = 1.97$, s.e. 0.12).

Our structural estimation confirms the reduced form evidence in section 1. The



Figure 7: Estimated mint output, AD 320-900

Notes: The figure shows the estimated coin output $M_n[t]$ by time t (horizontal axis) and region n, using specification in column 2 of Table 3, and broken down by the political entities that locations are — at that time — primarily associated with. The units are relative to northern Italy in 320-340, which we normalize to have a mint output of 100.

border in and out of Islamic regions becomes costlier to cross *after* the birth of Islam.

Minting output. Figure 7 shows estimates of mint output by region and time interval. Our estimates line up with several patterns described in the numismatic literature: (i) the decline of mint output in the western Mediterranean following the demise of the West Roman Empire in the late 5th century; (ii) the large decline of Byzantine mint output in the 'Byzantine dark ages' of the 8th century; (iii) the gradual increase in Arab and Carolingian mint output starting from the late 7th century. It is important to note we are not merely counting coins; we *estimate* minting output from the relative shares of coins from different mints found across hoards, thereby absorbing variation arising for instance from differential hoarding patterns or hoard finding rates across space and time.

3.2 Real consumption in the ancient world

Our full set of estimates allows us to recover all equilibrium variables in our model.

From the parameters of the trade cost function $(\gamma_0, \kappa_1, \kappa_2)$, data on the determinants of trade (travel times, political and religious borders as in column 2 of ta-

ble 3), and seller terms $(\tilde{\beta}_n[t])$, we recover trade shares $(\pi_{ni}[t])$. Using the goods market clearing condition in equation (6), estimated trade shares, the coin loss rate (λ) , and estimates of minting output $(M_n[t])$, we recover aggregate regional income $(w_n[t]L_n[t])$. Finally, in the absence of any direct evidence on population, technology, or wages, we assume a simple Malthusian benchmark, $L_n[t] = T_n[t]$, we arbitrarily set the trade elasticity θ to 4 (Simonovska and Waugh, 2014), and we recover population $(L_n[t])$ and technology $(T_n[t])$ from the seller terms $(\tilde{\beta}_n[t])$. We explain step by step how to recover all equilibrium variables from our estimates in appendix A.

We can then fully characterize real consumption per capita in any equilibrium, realized or counterfactual, partitioned into three economically meaningful components,

$$\underbrace{\frac{X_n[t]/p_n[t]}{L_n[t]}}_{\text{Real Consumption}} = \gamma^{-1} \underbrace{(\pi_{nn}[t])^{-1/\theta}}_{\text{Openness}} \underbrace{(T_n[t])^{1/\theta}}_{\text{Technology}} \underbrace{\left(1 + \frac{M_n[t] - \lambda w_n[t]L_n[t]}{w_n[t]L_n[t]}\right)}_{\text{Trade Deficit}}.$$
 (20)

A better technology $(T_n^{1/\theta})$ transforms labor into goods more efficiently and improves real consumption. As in Eaton and Kortum (2002) and Arkolakis et al. (2012), trade openness $(\pi_{nn}^{-1/\theta})$ further increases real consumption, leveraging the gains from trade. As in Dekle et al. (2007), trade deficits financed by net coin creation $(X_n/(w_nL_n) =$ $1 + (M_n - \lambda w_nL_n)/(w_nL_n))$ allow a region to consume more than it produces.³⁸

While 'openness' and 'trade deficit' are unit-free ratios, technology depends on arbitrary units. We choose those units such that average real consumption is normalized to one each period. This means that each period, a region with a real consumption per capita above (below) one is wealthier (poorer) than the rest of the world. Our model informs us on cross-sectional *differences* in real consumption between regions. This is true despite the fact that we only have information on nominal variables (coins); bilateral trade flows reveal real differences in factor prices. But as any other trade model, our model offers no guidance on the absolute levels of real consumption.

The case of Byzantium and northern Europe. Figure 8 presents the time series of estimated real consumption per capita and its components, for each 20-year period

³⁸The first two components of the decomposition of consumption in equation (20) are the same as in equation (15) on page 1756 in Eaton and Kortum (2002). The last term is the same as in the (all important) unnumbered equation on page 354 in Dekle et al. (2007), where they label trade deficits as D_n . In our model, trade deficits are financed by minting output in excess of coin losses, $D_n = M_n - \lambda w_n L_n$, so that so $1 + D_n/Y_n = 1 + (M_n - \lambda w_n L_n)/(w_n L_n)$.



Figure 8: Byzantine Heartlands versus Francia & Germania, AD 380-880

Notes: This figure shows time-series of (log) real consumption per capita (panel a) from AD 380 to AD 900 for the Byzantine Heartlands and for Francia and Germania, and its partition into 'openness' (panel b), 'technology' (panel c), and 'trade deficits' (panel d) as in equation (20). See appendix A for details on the computation of each term, using the dynamic model in equations (4), (6), (10), and the parameter estimates from (18)-(19) under the specification in column 2 of table 3. Units for technology are chosen such that average real consumption per capita equals one for each period. 95% confidence intervals (shaded areas) are computed from re-estimating our model on 100 bootstrapped samples from our coin hoard data. Time series for all 13 regions are displayed in appendix figure C.6.

over AD 380-880, for Byzantium and for Francia and Germania. We highlight those two regions because they undergo some of the most striking reversals of fortune.³⁹

The heartlands of the Byzantine empire are initially the wealthiest region of the ancient western world, with a real consumption per capita four times larger than the rest of the world. Our estimates suggest that Byzantium is also the region that benefits the most from trade: it imports as much as 60% of its consumption. This reliance on foreign imports is in large part financed by seigniorage from a very large minting output. Around the time of the Arab conquests to its east and south, Byzantium is

 $^{^{39}}$ See appendix figure C.6 for the time series of all 13 regions.

hit by a triple shock; minting collapses until the 'Byzantine dark ages' of the eighth century so that it must increasingly rely on exports to acquire foreign coins, trade drops sharply because of the higher trade barriers into Islamic regions, and technology collapses. Byzantine real consumption is halved following the Arab conquests.

In contrast, the northwest European Frankish lands of Francia and Germania grow from one of the poorest to the wealthiest region of the ancient world. Given their peripheral position, they trade little, so that variations in trade openness have only a marginal impact on real consumption. Our estimates suggest instead that the growth in real consumption is fueled almost entirely by technological improvements, and to a lesser extent by the very large increase in minting output which allows them to acquire foreign goods with Carolingian silver coins starting around AD 700.

The time series in figure 8 (and appendix figure C.6) showcase the promise of recovering economically meaningful information from data on ancient coins, but they also reveal that estimates can be imprecise for individual 20-year periods. For instance, there is a large spike in the width of confidence intervals for the period AD 560-80, driven by granularities in southern Italian coin hoards, which affect the normalization of real consumption for all regions. We turn next to a less granular but also less noisy description of consumption changes between the first and second halves of our sample, by aggregating our parameter estimates within each half.

Ancient real consumption before and after the Arab conquests. To explore the changes in real consumption from before to after the rise of Islam, we split our sample between AD 460-620, just after the fall of Rome but before the birth of Islam, and AD 700-900, after the Arabs have conquered a territory that stretches from the Indus to the Atlantic. For each period, we average our estimated parameters and solve a full stationary equilibrium using equations (4), (7), (10). We then use equation (20) to compute changes in real consumption per capita and its components for each region and period. The results are presented in table 4. We focus our discussion on a few important regions, and explore the robustness of our results to alternative coin accounting methods and time aggregations in section 3.3 (and appendix table C.8).

Byzantine heartlands: the heartlands of the Byzantine empire suffer from the most dramatic drop in relative real consumption, caused by a fall in trade, a large drop in technology, and a collapse in minting output. The fall in Byzantine trade is worth emphasizing; imports fall from 59% to 21% of consumption. While ancient trade

	Consumption $\Delta \log \left(\frac{X_n/p_n}{p_n} \right)$		Openness $\Delta \log \left(\pi^{-1/\theta} \right)$		Technology $\Delta \log \left(T^{1/\theta} \right)$		Trade Deficits $\Delta \log \left(1 + \frac{M_n - \lambda w_n L_n}{2}\right)$	
	(1)	$(2)^{L_n}$	(3)	$(4)^{nn}$	(5)	(1n) (6)	(7)	$\binom{w_n L_n}{(8)}$
al-Andalus	0.53	(0.08)	-0.04	(0.01)	0.65	(0.10)	-0.08	(0.05)
Aquitaine and Basque Country	1.27	(0.13)	-0.05	(0.02)	1.25	(0.14)	0.07	(0.10)
Francia and Germania	1.99	(0.14)	-0.07	(0.02)	1.94	(0.16)	0.12	(0.04)
Northern Italy and Balkans	-0.36	(0.09)	-0.05	(0.02)	-0.30	(0.09)	-0.02	(0.06)
Southern Italy	-0.48	(0.24)	-0.01	(0.10)	-0.62	(0.22)	0.15	(0.31)
Byzantine Heartlands	-1.56	(0.22)	-0.16	(0.06)	-0.74	(0.13)	-0.66	(0.25)
al-Sham (Greater Syria)	-0.28	(0.10)	-0.03	(0.01)	-0.11	(0.12)	-0.14	(0.04)
Northern Syria and Caucasus	-0.04	(0.15)	-0.01	(0.03)	-0.02	(0.22)	-0.01	(0.12)
al-Iraq, al-Jibal, Khuzistan, Kirman	0.02	(0.08)	0.01	(0.01)	-0.00	(0.09)	0.02	(0.04)
Eastern Caliphate	0.05	(0.17)	-0.00	(0.01)	0.05	(0.18)	-0.00	(0.03)
Jazirat al-arab and al-Yaman	1.12	(0.28)	-0.02	(0.04)	0.98	(0.37)	0.15	(0.23)
Misr (Egypt)	-0.05	(0.37)	0.22	(0.13)	-1.08	(0.29)	0.82	(0.51)
al-Maghrib	0.29	(0.19)	0.10	(0.06)	-0.32	(0.17)	0.51	(0.24)

Table 4: Real consumption in the ancient world from AD 460-620 to AD 700-900

Notes: This table shows (log) changes between AD 460-620 and AD 700-900 in real consumption per capita $({}^{(X_n/p_n)}/L_n, \text{ column 1})$, partitionned into (log) changes in openness $(\pi_{nn}^{-1/\theta}, \text{ column 3})$, technology $(T_n^{1/\theta}, \text{ column 5})$, and trade deficits $(1 + (M_n - \lambda w_n L_n)/(w_n L_n), \text{ column 7})$, as in equation (20). We solve steady state equilibria for the AD 460-620 and AD 700-900 periods separately, using equations (4), (7), (10), and parameter estimates from (18)-(19) using the specification in column 2 of table 3 averaged for each period (see details in appendix A). Units for technology are chosen such that average real consumption per capita equals one for each period. Standard errors in parentheses are computed from re-estimating our model on 100 bootstrapped samples from our coin data. See appendix table C.7 for details on levels, and appendix table C.8 for robustness checks.

decreases in most regions following the Arab conquests, this decrease is milder than for Byzantium, with imports falling on average from 20% to 16% of consumption. This fall is concentrated in regions north of the Mediterranean.

Western and northern Europe: both non-Islamic (Aquitaine and the Basque country, and the Frankish lands of Francia and Germania) and Islamic (al-Andalus) western Europe experience the most spectacular relative rise in real consumption. Initially among the poorest regions they grow to become among the wealthiest over a few centuries. This growth is almost entirely fueled by improvements in technology. Regional minting grows substantially, but just enough to keep up with the large increase in aggregate income. Even though trade openness falls due to the newly formed religious border over the Pyrenees and the Mediterranean (imports as a share of consumption fall from around 22% to around 3%), this has only a small impact on real consumption because those regions are not very open to trade before the Arab conquests.

Egypt (Misr): the Arab conquest of Egypt has little impact on real consumption. Although Egypt is partially cut off from trade across the Mediterranean by the Arab
conquest, its proximity to central regions on the east of the Caliphate compensates this loss. Interestingly, our estimates suggest that Egypt goes from running a trade surplus under Roman rule, to running a trade deficit under Islamic rule, possibly due to an increased minting of Islamic coins.

al-Sham (Greater Syria): as a province of the Byzantine empire, Syria is one of the wealthiest regions before the rise of Islam. Similarly to Egypt, the loss of trade access to Byzantium and Europe is almost fully compensated by its privileged access to the eastern heart of the Caliphate. Its consumption declines mildly, mostly because of a loss in seigniorage revenue.

Arabian peninsula (Jazirat al-Arab and al-Yaman): the birthplace of Islam, the Arabian peninsula, initially the poorest region of the ancient world, experiences a sustained growth in real consumption second only to northwestern Europe, primarily driven by improved technology and a larger minting output.

Counterfactual changes. We then leverage our fully specified structural model to explore the causal impact of specific shocks to real consumption changes. They are causal in the sense that we simulate counterfactual equilibria, changing only one set of parameters at a time and keeping all other parameters fixed.

The results are presented in table 5. Column 1 shows the levels of (log) real consumption per capita in the initial AD 460-620 equilibrium. We then compute (log) changes in real consumption between this initial equilibrium and various counterfactual equilibria. In column 3 we turn on the religious border to its 700-900 AD level, keeping all other parameters unchanged. In column 5, we change technology to its 700-900 AD level. In column 7, we change minting output to its AD 700-900 level.

The increase in trade costs associated with crossing the border in and out of Islam has an asymmetric impact on real consumption (column 1). Non-Islamic regions see a large fall in trade, which contributes to substantial reductions in real consumption. The most severely hit region is Byzantium (45% drop in real consumption), the region that benefits the most from access to trade before the Arab conquests. In a counterfactual equilibrium where Byzantine trade to the south and east is severed by the religious border, Byzantium suffers not just from a large drop in trade openness, but also from a sharp reduction in the contribution of trade deficits to real consumption; the massive Byzantine minting output in AD 460-620 can no longer buy foreign imports, and contributes instead to inflation within Byzantium. Other northwestern

	Log consumption		Counterfactual log consumption change if:					
	All parameters AD $460-620$ (1) (2)		Religious border AD 700-900 (3) (4)		Technology AD 700-900 (5) (6)		Minting AD 700-900 (7) (8)	
al-Andalus	-0.66	(0.03)	0.07	(0.01)	0.53	(0.05)	1.15	(0.18)
Aquitaine and Basque Country	-0.97	(0.08)	-0.16	(0.04)	1.01	(0.09)	4.15	(0.35)
Francia and Germania	-1.53	(0.08)	-0.09	(0.02)	1.78	(0.11)	6.70	(0.54)
Northern Italy and Balkans	0.10	(0.03)	-0.21	(0.02)	-0.33	(0.05)	-0.26	(0.02)
Southern Italy	-0.20	(0.02)	-0.08	(0.01)	-0.47	(0.11)	-0.01	(0.01)
Byzantine Heartlands	1.17	(0.03)	-0.61	(0.03)	-0.64	(0.06)	-1.34	(0.05)
al-Sham (Greater Syria)	0.35	(0.02)	0.04	(0.00)	-0.13	(0.06)	-0.17	(0.03)
Northern Syria and Caucasus	-0.49	(0.11)	0.06	(0.02)	0.01	(0.18)	0.05	(0.19)
al-Iraq, al-Jibal, Khuzistan, Kirman	0.20	(0.06)	0.02	(0.00)	-0.01	(0.07)	0.05	(0.05)
Eastern Caliphate	-0.48	(0.05)	0.01	(0.00)	0.10	(0.16)	0.05	(0.21)
Jazirat al-arab and al-Yaman	-1.50	(0.22)	0.13	(0.04)	1.05	(0.37)	2.03	(0.50)
Misr (Egypt)	0.38	(0.04)	0.03	(0.00)	-0.86	(0.15)	-0.10	(0.01)
al-Maghrib	0.19	(0.02)	-0.00	(0.01)	-0.32	(0.10)	0.07	(0.11)

Table 5: Counterfactual changes in real consumption per capita after AD 700

Notes: This table shows levels of (log) real consumption per capita in AD 460-620 (column 1), and (log) changes in real consumption per capita in counterfactual equilibria where we set the religious border to its AD 700-900 level while keeping all other parameters to their AD 460-620 levels (column 3), technology to its AD 700-900 level (column 5), or minting to its AD 700-900 level (column 7). For column 1, we solve for a steady state equilibrium using the AD 460-620 average of parameters estimated from (18)-(19) using the specification in column 2 of table 3, and use equations (4), (7), (10), and (20) to recover real consumption. For columns 2-4, we set parameters to their counterfactual levels (technology T', population L', trade costs d', and minting M') and solve for endogenous wages as a fixed point (Alvarez and Lucas, 2007) using the trade equilibrium and market clearing conditions in equation (7) (see appendix A for details). Standard errors, in parentheses in even columns, are computed from re-estimating our model on 100 bootstrapped samples from our coin data.

European regions also experience a sharp reduction in consumption (10-15% drop), as those relatively poor regions benefit from trading with more developed regions south and east of the Mediterranean before the Arab conquests. In contrast, Islamic regions are almost unaffected by the reduced access to trade.

Changes in technology (column 5) and minting (column 7) induce more heterogeneous changes. Western and northern Europe (including Islamic Spain) would have benefited from large technological improvements. Given their relatively small initial size, a counterfactual increase in minting to post-Arab conquests levels would also have allowed those regions to finance large trade deficits, contributing to large gains in real consumption. Byzantine real consumption would have dropped if technology moved to its post Arab conquests level for all regions. Interestingly, this is not just because Byzantine technology itself deteriorates; under the pre-Arab conquests trade costs, Byzantium loses export market shares to competitors from northwestern European and Middle Eastern regions which benefit from improved technology. On the other hand, the collapse of minting output during the 'Byzantine dark ages' would have prevented Byzantium from acquiring foreign wares using seigniorage-financed trade deficits, and would have severely hurt real consumption.

3.3 Robustness

We explore the robustness of our estimates and model-based computation of real consumption to alternative coin accounting methods and time aggregations, using the value of gold and silver coins instead of the count of all coins in our baseline, and aggregating our data to shorter (10-year) and longer (30-year) time intervals instead of our 20-year baseline. The results are presented in appendix tables C.8 and C.9.

Our estimates for real consumption changes from AD 460-620 to 700-900 in appendix table C.8 are broadly similar when using gold and silver coin values instead of coin counts, and using alternative definitions of time periods (columns 2-4 versus 1 in appendix table C.8). Estimates for European regions are more stable than for African and Middle Eastern regions. For instance, we estimate a large consumption increase for Egypt and a large decline for the Arabian peninsula when using gold and silver values, compared to a stable consumption for Egypt and an increase in consumption for the Arabian peninsula when using counts of coins aggregated into 10-, 20, and 30-year intervals. Across all specifications, the reversal of fortune between the Byzantine heartlands and western and northern Europe are of similar magnitudes. This stability of our estimates holds despite the fact that the parameters of the trade cost function change across specifications in appendix table C.9. The travel time elasticity is lower in absolute value when using value-weighted coin accounting compared to simple counts. It is also lower when we use longer time interval aggregation (10-to 20- to 30-year), as expected from the discussion in section 2.2.

3.4 Urbanization and trade in ancient Europe

We conclude by confronting our estimates for changes in real consumption to realized changes in urbanization in Europe. While our model does not feature any explicit notion of urbanization, we conjecture that a higher real consumption per capita allows to sustain a larger urban population. This exercise is illustrative, meant to verify that



(b) Urban Population Growth in European Regions, AD 700-900

Figure 9: Real consumption and urbanization

Notes: Panel (a) shows the logged relative real consumption change from the pre-conquest period to the post-conquest period, column 1 of Table 4. Panel (b) shows the percentage growth of the urban population post-conquest, between AD 700 and AD 900. City size data from Buringh (2021), except for Byzantine Anatolia, which is not covered. We construct measures of urban decline in Anatolia (calculations available upon request) based on the shrinking surface area of cities described in Brandes (1989). The resulting figure of a 10% decline in urban population over this time interval seems to be a conservative estimate in light of the fact that many coastal cities saw large amounts of destruction and depopulation as a consequence of Arab raids.

our estimates for real consumption derived solely from information on coin flows are consistent with independent evidence on economic growth.

Figure 9 shows our estimates for changes in real consumption per capita (top panel) together with urban population growth north of the Mediterranean over AD 700-900, aggregated from city size data (bottom panel).⁴⁰ Comparing both maps suggests that our estimates for real consumption are qualitatively in line with independent evidence on urbanization. Our estimated drop in real consumption in the

⁴⁰While ancient city size estimates are naturally imprecise, figure 9b is in line with the consensus view of increased urbanization in northwestern Europe and stagnation in the eastern Mediterranean.

heartlands of the Byzantine empire, and substantial increase in western and northern Europe are consistent with urban population declines in Asia Minor and Cyprus, low urban growth in Greece, Thracia, and Dacia, medium urban growth in the Balkans, Italy, and Aquitaine, and strong urban growth in Iberia and Francia/Germania.

Conclusion

In this paper we study the patterns of change in economic geography around the Mediterranean during Late Antiquity through the lens of coin flows. We propose a dynamic model of trade where agents use coins to make transactions, so that coins gradually diffuse over space and time in proportion to trade flows. We estimate this model using numismatic data on ancient coins. We are then able to use these parameters to recover granular time series for relative real consumption per capita from the fourth to the tenth century. Our estimates for changes in real consumption are consistent with observed measures of relative urbanization across European regions.

Our evidence from coin finds indicate that trade flows declined following the Arab conquests. This can be explained by a newly formed trade barrier between the emerging Arab Caliphate and the Christian West. These changes in trade patterns are in line with the claims of a trade disruption in the Mediterranean by Pirenne (1939). Pirenne, however, believed that these disruptions in trade flows also led to a vast reduction in economic activity and exchange within the Frankish lands. While our estimates reveal that Francia and Germania did experience a 15% drop in trade as a share of consumption, this cannot have had any meaningful impact on the Frankish economy because pre-conquest trade shares were low. Our estimates suggest instead that the Frankish economy enjoyed net gains from running trade deficits financed by seigniorage revenues, and strong increases in relative productivity, which far outweigh any reductions in foreign market access. In contrast the Byzantine Empire experienced the largest declines in economic activity in the seventh century, being faced with a triple shock of a lowered access to trade, reductions in relative productivity, and a drop in seigniorage revenues. Any view that attributes most of the changing economic geography of Late Antiquity to the Arab conquests would need to establish their role in driving the changes in relative productivity and in mint output.

References

- AHLFELDT, G. M., S. J. REDDING, D. M. STURM, AND N. WOLF (2015): "The Economics of Density: Evidence From the Berlin Wall," *Econometrica*, 83, 2127– 2189.
- AL-MUQADDASĪ (985): Aḥsan al-taqāsīm fi ma°arfat al-aqalīm (The Best Divisions for Knowledge of the Regions), Garnet Publishing (transl. B.A. Collins, 1994).
- AL 'USH, A.-F. (1972): The silver hoard of Damascus: Sasanian, Arab-Sasanian, Khuwarizmian, and Umayyad kept in the National Museum of Damascus, Damascus: Direction General of Antiquities and Museums.
- ALVAREZ, F. AND R. E. LUCAS (2007): "General equilibrium analysis of the Eaton– Kortum model of international trade," *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 54, 1726– 1768.
- ANDERSON, J. E. AND E. VAN WINCOOP (2003): "Gravity with Gravitas: A Solution to the Border Puzzle," *American Economic Review*, 93, 170–192.
- ARKOLAKIS, C., A. COSTINOT, AND A. RODRÍGUEZ-CLARE (2012): "New Trade Models, Same Old Gains?" *American Economic Review*, 102, 94–130.
- ASHTOR, E. (1970): "Quelques observations d'un orientaliste sur la thèse de Pirenne," Journal of the Economic and Social History of the Orient, 13, 166–194.
- BANAJI, J. (2016): *Exploring the Economy of Late Antiquity: Selected Essays*, Cambridge University Press.
- BARJAMOVIC, G., T. CHANEY, K. COŞAR, AND A. HORTAÇSU (2019): "Trade, merchants, and the lost cities of the bronze age," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 134, 1455–1503.
- BATES, M. (1991): "Coins and money in the Arabic Papyri," Documents de l'Islam Medieval, 43–643.
- BESSARD, F. (2020): "Money Supply and Currency," in *Caliphs and Merchants: Cities and Economies of Power in the Near East (700-950)*, Oxford University Press.
- BOLIN, S. (1953): "Mohammed, Charlemagne and Ruric," Scandinavian Economic History Review, 1, 5–39.
- BRANDES, W. (1989): Die Städte Kleinasiens im 7. und 8. Jahrhundert, De Gruyter.

- BURINGH, E. (2021): "The population of European cities from 700 to 2000: Social and economic history," *Research Data Journal for the Humanities and Social Sciences*, 6, 1–18.
- CHANEY, T. (2018): "The Gravity Equation in International Trade: An Explanation," *Journal of Political Economy*, 126, 150–177.
- CORNU, G. (1983): Atlas du monde Arabo-Islamique à l'époque classique: IX.-X. siècles, Brill.
- COUPLAND, S. (2014): "The use of coin in the Carolingian Empire in the ninth century," *Early Medieval Monetary History: Studies in Memory of Mark Blackburn, Farnham*, 257–93.
- DEKLE, R., J. EATON, AND S. KORTUM (2007): "Unbalanced Trade," American Economic Review, Papers and Proceedings, 97, 351–355.
- DONALDSON, D. (2018): "Railroads of the Raj: Estimating the impact of transportation infrastructure," *American Economic Review*, 108, 899–934.
- DONALDSON, D. AND R. HORNBECK (2016): "Railroads and American economic growth: A "market access" approach," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 131, 799–858.
- EATON, J. AND S. KORTUM (2002): "Technology, Geography, and Trade," *Econo*metrica, 70, 1741–1779.
- FINDLAY, R. AND K. H. O'ROURKE (2009): Power and Plenty: Trade, War, and the World Economy in the Second Millennium, Princeton University Press.
- FLAME (2023a): "Biases in FLAME's data," https://coinage.princeton.edu/ biases-in-flames-data/.
- (2023b): "Framing the Late Antique and early Medieval Economy," https://coinage.princeton.edu/, accessed: 2023-07-01.
- FLÜCKIGER, M., E. HORNUNG, M. LARCH, M. LUDWIG, AND A. MEES (2022): "Roman transport network connectivity and economic integration," *The Review of Economic Studies*, 89, 774–810.
- FOGEL, R. W. (1964): *Railroads and American economic growth*, Johns Hopkins Press Baltimore.
- Foss, C. (1975): "The Persians in Asia Minor and the end of Antiquity," The English Historical Review, 90, 721–747.

- GANDAL, N. AND N. SUSSMAN (1997): "Asymmetric information and commodity money: tickling the tolerance in medieval France," *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking*, 440–457.
- GIBBON, E. (1789): The history of the decline and fall of the Roman Empire, vol. I-VI, London: Strahan & Cadell.
- GRIERSON, P. (1959): "Commerce in the Dark Ages: a critique of the evidence," Transactions of the Royal Historical Society, 9, 123–140.
- —— (1973): Catalogue of the Byzantine Coins in the Dumbarton Oaks Collection and in the Whittemore Collection, 3: Leo III to Nicephorus III, 717-1081, Dumbarton Oaks.
- HODGES, R. AND D. WHITEHOUSE (1983): Mohammed, Charlemagne & the origins of Europe: archaeology and the Pirenne thesis, Cornell University Press.
- HOPKINS, K. (1980): "Taxes and trade in the Roman Empire (200 BC-AD 400)," The Journal of Roman Studies, 70, 101–125.
- HORNBECK, R. AND M. ROTEMBERG (forthcoming): "Growth Off the Rails: Aggregate Productivity Growth in Distorted Economies," *Journal of Political Economy*.
- IZDEBSKI, A., G. KOLOCH, T. SŁOCZYŃSKI, AND M. TYCNER (2016): "On the use of palynological data in economic history: new methods and an application to agricultural output in Central Europe, 0–2000 AD," *Explorations in Economic History*, 59, 17–39.
- JUHÁSZ, R. (2018): "Temporary protection and technology adoption: Evidence from the napoleonic blockade," *American Economic Review*, 108, 3339–3376.
- KAZHDAN, A. (1954): "Vizantijskie goroda v VII-IX vv," Sovetskaja arkheologija, 21, 164–188.
- KERSHAW, J., S. W. MERKEL, P. D'IMPORZANO, AND R. NAISMITH (2024): "Byzantine plate and Frankish mines: the provenance of silver in north-west European coinage during the Long Eighth Century (c. 660–820)," Antiquity, 98, 502–517.
- LEONTIEF, W. W. (1941): The Structure of the American Economy, 1919–1929, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- (1944): "Output, Employment, Consumption, and Investment," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 58, 290–314.
- LIU, E. AND A. TSYVINSKI (2024): "A Dynamic Model of Input–Output Networks," *The Review of Economic Studies.*

- LOPEZ, R. S. (1943): "Mohammed and Charlemagne: a revision," Speculum, 18, 14–38.
- MANAS, A. AND F. R. VELDE (2021): "Coin wear: a power law for small shocks," *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 574, 125948.
- MAYHEW, N. (2019): "Money and the Economy," in *Money and Coinage in the Middle Ages*, ed. by R. Naismith, Brill, 203–230.
- MCCONNELL, J. R., A. I. WILSON, A. STOHL, M. M. ARIENZO, N. J. CHELL-MAN, S. ECKHARDT, E. M. THOMPSON, A. M. POLLARD, AND J. P. STEF-FENSEN (2018): "Lead pollution recorded in Greenland ice indicates European emissions tracked plagues, wars, and imperial expansion during antiquity," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115, 5726–5731.
- MCCORMICK, M. (2001): Origins of the European economy: communications and commerce AD 300-900, Cambridge University Press.
- MICHAELS, G. AND F. RAUCH (2018): "Resetting the Urban Network: 117–2012," *The Economic Journal*, 128, 378–412.
- MONTESQUIEU, C. (1734): Considérations sur les causes de la grandeur des Romains et de leur décadence.
- MORRISSON, C. (2002): "Byzantine money: its production and circulation," *The Economic History of Byzantium*, 3, 909–966.
- NAGY, D. K. (2023): "Hinterlands, City Formation and Growth: Evidence from the U.S. Westward Expansion," *The Review of Economic Studies*, 90, 3238–3281.
- NAISMITH, R. (2014): "The Social Significance of Monetization in the Early Middle Ages*," *Past & Present*, 223, 3–39.
- NOONAN, T. S. (1980): "When and how dirhams first reached Russia: a numismatic critique of the Pirenne theory," *Cahiers du monde russe et soviétique*, 401–469.
- PARVÉRIE, M. (2014): "Corpus des monnaies arabo-musulmanes des VIII et IX siècles decouvertes dans le Sud de la France," *Revista Numismática OMNI*, 79–100.
- PASCALI, L. (2017): "The wind of change: Maritime technology, trade, and economic development," *American Economic Review*, 107, 2821–2854.
- PATTERSON, C. C. (1972): "Silver stocks and losses in ancient and medieval times," *The Economic History Review*, 25, 205–235.
- PENNAS, V. (1996): "Life in Byzantine Cities of Peloponnesos: The Numismatic Evidence (8th-12th Century)," in *Mneme Martin Jessop Price*, Hellenic Numismatic Society.

- PEREGRINE, P. N. (2020): "Climate and social change at the start of the Late Antique Little Ice Age," *The Holocene*, 30, 1643–1648.
- PERSSON, K. G. AND P. SHARP (2015): An economic history of Europe, Cambridge University Press.
- PIRENNE, H. (1927): Medieval Cities: Their Origins and the Revival of Trade, Princeton University Press.

(1939): Mohammed and Charlemagne, London: G. Allen & Unwin.

- REDDING, S. J. AND D. M. STURM (2008): "The Costs of Remoteness: Evidence from German Division and Reunification," *American Economic Review*, 98, 1766– 97.
- ROMANOV, M. AND M. SEYDI (2022): "al-Thurayyā," https://althurayya.github.io/ [Accessed: (1 July 2023)].
- SARAH, G., M. BOMPAIRE, M. MCCORMICK, A. ROVELLI, AND C. GUERROT (2008): "Analyses élémentaires de monnaies de Charlemagne et Louis le Pieux du Cabinet des Médailles: l'Italie carolingienne et Venise," *Revue numismatique*, 164, 355–406.
- SCHEIDEL, W. (2015): "ORBIS: The Stanford geospatial network model of the Roman world," Tech. rep.

—— (2020): "Roman wealth and wealth inequality in comparative perspective," *Journal of Roman Archaeology*, 33, 341–353.

- SHATZMILLER, M. (2018): "At the origins of Middle Eastern trade, 700-1000 AD: An application of the gravity theory of trade," in *Economic integration and social change in the Islamic world system*, ed. by H. Kennedy and F. Bessard, 800–1000.
- SIMONOVSKA, I. AND M. E. WAUGH (2014): "The elasticity of trade: Estimates and evidence," *Journal of International Economics*, 92, 34–50.
- VOLTAIRE (1756): Essai sur les mœurs et l'esprit des nations, Geneva: Frères Cramer.
- WICKHAM, C. (2006): Framing the early middle ages: Europe and the Mediterranean, 400-800, Oxford University Press.
- ZAVAGNO, L. (2022): "A Lost World That Never Died," *Dumbarton Oaks Papers*, 76, 281–310.

Supplemental Appendix for Trade and the End of Antiquity by Johannes Boehm and Thomas Chaney

Α	Tecl	nnical appendix	1
В	Dat B.1 B.2 B.3	a description Area of interest	4 4 4 4
С	D.4	litional Empirical Results	6
	C.1	Comparison to circulation hoards in Banaji (2016)	6
	C.2	Comparison to the flows of West Roman Terra Sigillata.	7
	C.3	Within-empire coin redistribution	7
	C.4	Arab conquests and the Mediterranean	7
	C.5	Coin flows and coin ages	8
	C.6	Estimation of the coin loss rate λ	9
	C.7	Validating the geospatial model	9
	C.8	Alternative accounting method and period lengths	10
	C.9	Tables and figures	12
D	Coi	n hoard data (NOT FOR PUBLICATION)	24
	D.1	Hand-collected data	24
	D.2	FLAME	27
	D.3	Locating mints	28
	D.4	Political entities and the geography of hoards and mints	28
	D.5	Tables and references	32

A Technical appendix

Recovering real consumption. We explain here how to recover equilibrium variables from our structural estimation. Throughout, we approximate the saving rate to zero (Scheidel, 2020, computes a low saving rate in the Roman period of 1.5% p.a.), and arbitrarily set the trade elasticity to $\theta = 4$ (Simonovska and Waugh, 2014).

Step 1: We estimate the following parameters from (13) and (18)-(19): the coin loss rate λ , seller terms $\tilde{\beta}_i[t]$, bilateral trade costs $d_{ni}^{-\theta}[t]$, and minting outputs $M_i[t]$.

It is important to stress two important normalizations. First, minting is identified only up to a (single) scaling constant, so we normalize $M_{n_0}[t_o] = 1$ for reference region n_0 and period t_0 . Second, the seller terms, $\tilde{\beta}_i[t]$, enter the numerator and denominator of trade shares each period. They are therefore only identified up to a scaling factor each period, so that we are free to normalize them each period.

Step 2: We use parameters and equations (4) and (10) to recover trade shares,

$$\pi_{ni}[t] = \frac{\beta_i[t]\delta_{ni}[t]}{\underbrace{\sum_k \tilde{\beta}_k[t]\delta_{nk}[t]}_{\text{step 1}}}, \forall (n, i, t).$$

Those are *estimated* trade shares, as we do not observe actual trade. As in Eaton et al. (2013), they are *predicted* trade shares, strictly between 0 and 1, even if realized trade shares may equal 0 or 1. They are invariant to any normalization of $\tilde{\beta}_i[t]$.

Step 3: We solve for aggregate nominal incomes using the dynamic market clearing conditions. Markets clear dynamically so we need to make an assumption for period $t_0 - 1$ before our sample starts. Absent any guidance, we simply assume that aggregate incomes in $t_0 - 1$ are the same as in t_0 .

(a) We solve for incomes in period t_0 from the system of linear equations (7),

$$(w_i[t_0]L_i[t_0]) = \sum_n \underbrace{\pi_{ni}[t_0]}_{\text{step 2}} \left(\underbrace{(1-\lambda)}_{\text{step 1}} (w_n[t_0]L_n[t_0]) + \underbrace{M_n[t_0]}_{\text{step 1}} \right), \forall i.$$

(b) We then solve recursively for incomes in subsequent periods from equation (6),

$$(w_i[t+1]L_i[t+1]) = \sum_n \underbrace{\pi_{ni}[t+1]}_{\text{step 2}} \Big(\underbrace{(1-\lambda)}_{\text{step 1}} \underbrace{(w_n[t]L_n[t])}_{\text{step 3}} + \underbrace{M_n[t+1]}_{\text{step 1}} \Big), \forall i, t > t_0$$

Note that our estimates for nominal incomes inherit our minting normalization.

Step 4: Effective labor supply (technology-augmented) is recovered by combining aggregate income and seller terms using equation (10),

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\beta}_i &= T_i[t] \left(w_i[t] \right)^{-\theta}, \\ L_i[t] \left(T_i[t] \right)^{1/\theta} &= \underbrace{w_i[t] L_i[t]}_{\text{step 3}} \left(\underbrace{\tilde{\beta}_i[t]}_{\text{step 1}} \right)^{1/\theta}. \end{split}$$

Our estimation does not allow us to identify *absolute* levels of effective labor supply, only *relative* levels within period; $L_i T_i^{1/\theta}$ inherits the $\tilde{\beta}_i$ and M_i normalizations.

Step 5: Assuming $L_i[t] = T_i[t]$, we separate technology from labor supply,

$$\left(T_{i}[t]\right)^{1/\theta} = \left(\underbrace{L_{i}[t]\left(T_{i}[t]\right)^{1/\theta}}_{\text{step 4}}\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\theta}}.$$

.

Step 6: We finally recover real consumption, both aggregate and per capita, using the normalization $d_{nn} = 1$ as in Eaton and Kortum (2002),

$$\frac{X_n}{p_n} = \frac{(1-\lambda)w_nL_n + M_n}{\gamma\left(\sum_k T_k (w_k d_{nk})^{-\theta}\right)^{-1/\theta}} = \gamma^{-1} \left(\frac{T_n (w_n)^{-\theta}}{\sum_k T_k (w_k d_{nk})^{-\theta}}\right)^{-1/\theta} \frac{(1-\lambda)w_nL_n + M_n}{\left(T_n (w_n)^{-\theta}\right)^{-1/\theta}}$$
$$= \gamma^{-1} \underbrace{(\pi_{nn})^{-1/\theta}}_{\text{step 2}} \underbrace{(L_n T_n^{1/\theta})}_{\text{step 4}} \underbrace{\left(1 + \frac{M_n - \lambda w_n L_n}{w_n L_n}\right)}_{\text{steps 1 and 3}}, \text{ and}$$
$$\frac{X_n/p_n}{L_n} = \gamma^{-1} \underbrace{(\pi_{nn})^{-1/\theta}}_{\text{Openness}} \underbrace{(T_n)^{1/\theta}}_{\text{step 5}} \underbrace{\left(1 + \frac{M_n - \lambda w_n L_n}{w_n L_n}\right)}_{\text{steps 1 and 3}}.$$

Our normalizations for the seller terms and for minting do not affect the 'openness' and 'trade deficit' terms, both unit-free ratios. They do however affect our measure of technology (step 4), so real consumption is only defined in *relative* terms within each period.¹ We choose units for technology such that $\mathbb{E}_t \left[(X_n[t]/p_n[t])/L_n[t] \right] = 1, \forall t$.

Given the inherent sparsity of our ancient coin hoard data, our time series estimates for seller terms and minting are noisy. In order to smooth out some of this noise, we use a simple moving average. Formally, for any period $t \in [380, 880]$,² we use $\frac{1}{5} \sum_{\tau=t-2}^{t+2} \tilde{\beta}_i[\tau]$ instead of $\tilde{\beta}_i[t]$, and $\frac{1}{5} \sum_{\tau=t-2}^{t+2} M_i[\tau]$ instead of $M_i[t]$.

To compute steady state equilibria, we average the estimates (18)-(19) over an entire period —AD 460-620 or AD 700-900— and we follow the procedure above using only step 3(a) but not in 3(b) —steady state but not dynamic equilibrium.

Computing real consumption across counterfactuals. We compute counterfactual steady state equilibria from the trade equilibrium and market clearing. For any choice of population L', technology T', trade costs d', and minting M', we solve for equilibrium wages w' using an iterative algorithm as in Alvarez and Lucas (2007): for any (n)th starting guess $w^{(n)}$ for wages, we impose the trade equilibrium (4),

$$\pi_{ni}^{(n)} = \frac{T_i'(w_i^{(n)})^{-\theta}(d'_{ni})^{-\theta}}{\sum_k T_k'(w_k^{(n)})^{-\theta}(d'_{nk})^{-\theta}},$$

and update our guess for wages to $w_i^{(n+1)}$ by solving the *linear* system (7)

$$w_i^{(n+1)}L'_i = \sum_n \pi_{ni}^{(n)} \left((1-\lambda)w_n^{(n+1)}L'_n + M'_n \right).$$

We iterate this mapping until convergence to find equilibrium wages w'. We can then readily compute counterfactual real consumption using equation (20).

¹If we rescale $\tilde{\beta}_n[t], \forall n$, by an arbitrary constant $(\kappa[t])^{\theta(1+\theta)}$, then we must rescale technology and population by $(\kappa[t])^{\theta}$, aggregate consumption by $(\kappa[t])^{1+\theta}$, and real consumption per capita by $\kappa[t]$.

 $^{^{2}}$ To construct our moving averages we omit the first few and last periods, imprecisely estimated because there are too few overlapping generations of coins at the bounds of our sample period.

B Data description

B.1 Area of interest

We define the boundaries of our region of interest in Europe by taking the union of the AD 200 Roman provincial boundaries with the 814 boundaries of the Frankish empire and the area of the West Slavs. The resulting border is roughly the modernday German-Polish border, plus Bohemia, but follows otherwise roughly the AD 200 Roman Empire boundary. We obtain shapefiles from the *Digital Atlas of the Roman and Medieval Civilizations* (DARMC) hosted at Harvard Unversity (McCormick et al., 2007). In the Arab world, the border is delineated roughly by the convex hull of the spatial extent of administrative district boundaries of the extent of the Umayyad caliphate, as given in al-Turayyā (Romanov and Seydi, 2022).

B.2 Coin Data

See Appendix D for a detailed description of the construction of the coin data. Tables C.1 and C.2 shows summary statistics for coins and hoards, respectively.

For the structural analysis, we use the same sample of coins as for the reducedform analysis in section 1, with the following exceptions: (i) we exclude coins where the mint date interval exceeds 150 years; (ii) we exclude non-hoard coin finds from excavations (because the tpq for these finds is meaningless).

B.3 Regions

We define the regions of the ancient world based on political delineations from the 814 political boundaries map of the *Digital Atlas of the Roman and Medieval Civilizations*, and the district boundaries of the caliphate in al-Turayyā. The latter originally contains just district affiliation for each city; we construct regions by assigning space to the district affiliation of its nearest city (Voronoi tessellation).

al-Andalus. Iberian Peninsula, maximum extent of the Umayyad Caliphate 661–750 (DARMC), minus the areas of the Carolingian Kingdom of Aquitaine under Louis in ca. 814 (DARMC).

Aquitaine and Basque Country. Territory of the Iberian Peninsula that is not part of the above definition of *al-Andalus* (i.e. Basque lands), plus the area of the Carolingian Kingdom of Aquitaine under Louis in ca. 814 (DARMC).

Francia and Germania. Kingdom of Charlemagne, ca. 814, plus the area of East Frankish influence (West Slavs), and Brittany (all from DARMC's 814 layer).

Northern Italy and Balkans. Kingdom of Pippin (including Corsica), Papal States, Avar and Croat area, as well as modern-day Bosnia and the Byzantine areas on the Balkans and in modern-day Italy (all from DARMC's 814 layer).

Southern Italy. All regions of modern-day Italy that are not part of *Northern Italy and the Balkans* above, including Sardinia, the Duchy of Benevento, and Sicily.

Byzantine Heartlands. Byzantine territory in modern-day Greece, Turkey (according to DARMC's 814 map), and Cyprus.

al-Sham (Greater Syria). Area of al-Sham (Greater Syria), in al-Turayyā.

Northern Syria and Caucasus. Areas of Aqur (al-Jazirat), al-Rihab (Caucasus), al-Khazar from al-Turayyā.

al-Iraq, al-Jibal, Khuzistan, Kirman. Areas from al-Iraq, al-Jibal, Khuzistan, Kirman, and Fars, from al-Turayyā.

Eastern Caliphate. Areas of al-Mafazat, al-Daylam, Hurasan, Sijistan, al-Sind, and Ma-wara-l-nahr (Transoxiana), all from al-<u>T</u>urayyā.

Jazirat al-Arab and al-Yaman. Areas of Jazirat al-Arab, al-Yaman, and most of the Badiyyat al-Arab, all from al-<u>T</u>urayyā.

Misr (Egypt). Area of Misr, and the area of Barqat (Lybia) east of al-Uqaylah, both from al-Turayyā.

al-Maghrib. Areas of al-Maghrib and the area of Barqat (Lybia) west of al-Uqaylah, both from al-Turayyā.

B.4 Constructing the geospatial model

We build our geospatial model by combining two geospatial models constructed by historians to model travel distances and routes. The first one, ORBIS (Scheidel, 2015), is a geospatial model of the Roman world and spans roughly the maximum extent of Roman conquests. The second, al-Turayyā (Romanov and Seydi, 2022) is a digitization of Cornu (1983)'s atlas of the Islamic word in the 9th and 10th century. Both geospatial models take the form of undirected graphs; in the case of ORBIS this is augmented by measures of travel costs on each edge. ORBIS also contains sea routes; for the Arab world we augment al-Turayyā with a number of known sea routes. For al-Turayyā we also construct bilateral travel distances by applying the methodology from ORBIS, taking into account the topography of the terrain, and wind directions and speeds for sea routes. Details are available from the authors. We validate these choices by comparing the shortest paths that the model generates to known routes in the Arab world, and by comparing travel times to the ones reported by 10th century Arab geographer Al-Muqaddasī (985) in section C.7 below.

C Additional Empirical Results

C.1 Comparison to circulation hoards in **Banaji** (2016).

To support the argument that the coins in our hoards are broadly reflective of coin circulation during Late Antiquity, we compare the age distribution of the hoards in our data with a sample of Byzantine circulation hoards described by Banaji (2016), Chapter 6. These are twelve hoards containing between 12 and 751 Byzantine solidi. Figure C.1 shows the average fraction of coins in each 10-year age bin in these hoards, and the distribution of coin ages in Figure 3b, showing a similar age profile. Banaji (2016) also reports that 44% of the coins in these hoards are older than 33 years at time of deposit, compared to 38% in our data (for hoards with more than ten coins).

C.2 Comparison to the flows of West Roman Terra Sigillata.

Figure C.2 compares the relationship between distance and coin flows in our data with the relationship between distance and flows of Terra Sigillata in the data of Flückiger et al. (2022).³ The distance elasticity is similar but slightly lower for coins, which is potentially due to the fact that naive gravity regressions using coin stocks will exhibit a distance elasticity that is biased towards zero (see Section 2).

C.3 Within-empire coin redistribution

One potential explanation for the high coin flows within relative to between empires (political border effect) is that coins could be redistributed across mints before entering circulation, so that the distance from mint to hoard would be less relevant for within-empire flows. Table C.4 shows that distance has the same impact of coin flows with and without hoard cell \times empire (that mints the coin) fixed effects in equation (1), suggesting that redistribution within empires was not quantitatively important.

C.4 Arab conquests and the Mediterranean.

Figure C.3 shows the number of coins crossing the Mediterranean, and their composition. The Arab conquests (dashed vertical lines) correspond to a decline of north-south flows and an increase in east-west flows, with Islamic coins replacing Roman/Byzantine coins. To further decompose these changes, we estimate by PPML

$$\operatorname{count}_{mhpt} = \exp\left(a_{mh} + a_{mp} + b_1 \operatorname{Mediterranean}_{mh} \times \operatorname{After}_t + b_2 \operatorname{Mediterranean}_{mh} \times \operatorname{After}_t \times \operatorname{Islamic}_p + u_{mhpt}\right). \quad (C.1)$$

We aggregate all hoard (h) and mint (m) locations to $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ cells, separately for each time period (t), and note for each coin which one of fourteen aggregate political

³Comparing the pairwise flows in the two datasets directly does not make sense since Terra Sigillata are produced in different locations than mints (see Figure 4 in their paper).

blocks p had issued it.⁴ Count_{mhpt} is the number of coins issued in cell m under empire/dynasty p and found in a cell h, both within time period t. Mediterranean_{mh} is a dummy that is one if the geodetic line between cells m and h intersects the Mediterranean; After_t is a dummy equal to one if t is between 713 and 900, and zero if between 400 and 630; Islamic_p is one if the coin is of Islamic issue (any dynasty); a_{mh} and a_{mp} denote mint cell \times hoard cell and mint-cell \times dynasty/empire fixed effects, respectively. The objective is to investigate whether the Mediterranean acts differentially as a barrier to coin flows after the Arab conquests, and if so, for coins of which issue. Table C.5 presents the results. We drop all mint cell \times empire/dynasty combinations that did not produce coins. Column (1) shows a negative coefficient on the interaction of the Mediterranean and post-conquest dummies, so that after the Arab conquests coin flows declined in cell pairs across the sea. Column (2) shows a positive coefficient on the triple interaction: Islamic coins were facing disproportionately lower barriers on sea routes in the post-conquest world, conditional on origin and destination characteristics. Column (3) contrasts this with Roman/Byzantine coins, which experience disproportionately higher barriers. Column (4) shows similar estimates with hoard cell \times time and mint cell \times time fixed effects, neutralizing potential location-time-specific confounders. All specifications point to the same facts highlighted by Figure 4: there are fewer coins flowing across the Mediterranean in the 8th and 9th century than before; the drop is particularly strong for Roman coins, and the emergence of flows of Islamic coins partly make up for this drop.

C.5 Coin flows and coin ages

Figure C.4 uses our data to empirically explore the hypothesis that gravity regressions with flows of durables over longer horizons bias the distance elasticity towards zero.

⁴These political blocks are: Eastern Roman Empire, Western Roman Empire, Roman Empire (pre-division), Sasanians, Umayyads, Spanish Umayyads, Abbasids, Fatimids, Samanids, Visigoths, Ostrogoths, Vandals, Merovingians, and Carolingians. See Appendix Figure D.1 for a breakdown of these and more aggregate political entities.

It shows a coefficient plot of the following regression:

$$\operatorname{count}_{mth\tau} = \exp\left\{\sum_{\tau' \in T} \beta_{\tau'} \log \operatorname{distance}_{mh} \times 1 \left(t - \tau = \tau'\right) + \alpha_{mt} + \alpha_{h\tau} + \varepsilon_{mth\tau}\right\}$$
(C.2)

where $T = \{0, 20, 40, 60, 80, 100\}$ and mint and hoard tpq dates are rounded to 20year intervals. Coins with longer timespans between mint and hoard tpq dates are omitted. We estimate the coefficients using PPML.

The results confirm that the distance elasticity for coins that have travelled for longer is lower (i.e. closer to zero) than for coins that have travelled for shorter periods. Section 2.2 and Figure 5 provide the intuition for this result.

C.6 Estimation of the coin loss rate λ .

To estimate λ , we divide coins by their age of deposit (using the *tpq* as the date of deposit) into *n*-year bins (for n = 10 and n = 20). We calculate the fraction $f^{(n)}(k)$ of coins in bin [k, k + n), and estimate the parameter of exponential decay from

$$\log f^{(n)}(k) = \tilde{\lambda}^{(n)} \frac{k}{n} + \varepsilon_k.$$

Table C.6 shows the OLS estimation results using 10-year and 20-year bins. λ can be recovered from $\lambda = 1 - \exp(\tilde{\lambda})$, yielding, respectively, $\hat{\lambda}_{10} = 0.15$ and $\hat{\lambda}_{20} = 0.3$.

C.7 Validating the geospatial model.

We compare the implied travel times from our geospatial model (section B.4) to those reported by the 10th-century Arab geographer al-Maqdisī in his work *The Best Divisions for the Knowledge of the Regions* (Al-Muqaddasī, 985).⁵ Figure C.5 shows

 $^{^{5}}$ Al-Maqdisī reports cities and (unsystematically) distances (in travel stages, post stages, and *farsakhs*) or travel times (in days, or nights in the desert) between cities in different parts of the Islamic lands. Historians note that it is unlikely that al-Maqdisī did indeed travel to all these regions, and some distances and travel times are unrealistic. We exclude the most egregious outliers. See

the comparison. Our model generates travel times that are slightly larger for shorter distances, and on average similar for longer routes.

C.8 Alternative accounting method and period lengths

Tables C.9 and C.8 show estimates of the trade cost function parameters and equilibrium real consumption per capita changes for alternative accounting methods and time aggregations. Those robustness checks correspond to changes in data processing. In column 1 (both tables), we reproduce our baseline estimates, using simple coin counts and 20-year time intervals. In column 2 (both tables), we use 20-year time intervals, and use only gold and silver coins aggregated according to their relative values (12g of silver for 1g of gold). In column 3 (both tables), we use counts of coins and 10-year time intervals. In column 4 (both tables), we use counts of coins and 30-year time intervals.

References

- AL-MUQADDASĪ (985): Aḥsan al-taqāsīm fi maʾarfat al-aqalīm (The Best Divisions for Knowledge of the Regions), Garnet Publishing (transl. B.A. Collins, 1994).
- ALVAREZ, F. AND R. E. LUCAS (2007): "General equilibrium analysis of the Eaton– Kortum model of international trade," *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 54, 1726– 1768.
- BANAJI, J. (2016): *Exploring the Economy of Late Antiquity: Selected Essays*, Cambridge University Press.
- CORNU, G. (1983): Atlas du monde Arabo-Islamique à l'époque classique: IX.-X. siècles, Brill.
- EATON, J. AND S. KORTUM (2002): "Technology, Geography, and Trade," *Econo*metrica, 70, 1741–1779.
- EATON, J., S. KORTUM, AND S. SOTELO (2013): International Trade: Linking Micro and Macro, Cambridge University Press, 329–370, Econometric Society Monographs.

the notes of Figure C.5 for details.

- FLÜCKIGER, M., E. HORNUNG, M. LARCH, M. LUDWIG, AND A. MEES (2022): "Roman transport network connectivity and economic integration," *The Review of Economic Studies*, 89, 774–810.
- MCCORMICK, M., G. HUANG, AND K. GIBSON (2007): Digital atlas of Roman and medieval civilizations, Harvard University.
- ROMANOV, M. AND M. SEYDI (2022): "al-Thurayyā," https://althurayya. github.io/ [Accessed: (1 July 2023)].
- SCHEIDEL, W. (2015): "ORBIS: The Stanford geospatial network model of the Roman world," Tech. rep.
- ——— (2020): "Roman wealth and wealth inequality in comparative perspective," *Journal of Roman Archaeology*, 33, 341–353.
- SIMONOVSKA, I. AND M. E. WAUGH (2014): "The elasticity of trade: Estimates and evidence," *Journal of International Economics*, 92, 34–50.

C.9 Tables and figures

Tables

	count	mean	sd	min	p10	p50	p90	max
Has mint date interval	494,229	0.85	0.36	0	0	1	1	1
Has mint location	494,229	0.55	0.50	0	0	1	1	1
Has mint location and date interval	494,229	0.55	0.50	0	0	1	1	1
Mint date interval, years	418,927	29.48	41.66	-19	1	20	58	432
Mint date interval, start year	418,927	465.46	186.71	34	306	375	815	949
Mint date interval, end year	418,927	494.94	184.49	79	333	395	840	950
Age at tpq	418,927	58.74	81.37	0	6	29	154	805
Has material	494,229	0.98	0.15	0	1	1	1	1
Coin is gold	494,229	0.07	0.25	0	0	0	0	1
Coin is silver	494,229	0.18	0.38	0	0	0	1	1
Coin is copper/bronze	494,229	0.74	0.44	0	0	1	1	1
Has denomination	494,229	0.99	0.10	0	1	1	1	1
Has some empire/dynasty information	494,229	0.69	0.46	0	0	1	1	1
Geodesic distance mint to hoard, km	$273,\!342$	769.72	783.97	0	59	503	$1,\!631$	6,302

Appendix Table C.1: Summary statistics: Coins

Notes: Sample consists of all coins from hoards with tpq between 325 and 950. "Age at tpq" is defined as tpq of the hoard minus the midpoint of the mint date interval.

	count	mean	sd	\min	p10	p50	p90	max
Hoard tpq	5,519	591.05	148.14	325	375	578	782	950
Number of coins in hoard	5,519	89.55	822.74	1	1	1	81	$43,\!867$
Fraction of coins with mint date interval	5,519	0.98	0.12	0	1	1	1	1
Fraction of coins with mint location	5,519	0.87	0.27	0	0	1	1	1
Fraction of coins with mint date interval and mint location	5,519	0.86	0.27	0	0	1	1	1
Average mint date interval	5,519	23.20	32.62	0	1	11	80	377
Average age of coins at tpq	5,519	25.38	41.72	0	0	10	50	522
Fraction of coins with material	5,519	0.99	0.08	0	1	1	1	1
Fraction of coins that are gold	5,519	0.29	0.45	0	0	0	1	1
Fraction of coins that are silver	5,519	0.15	0.35	0	0	0	1	1
Fraction of coins that are bronze	5,519	0.55	0.49	0	0	1	1	1
Fraction of coins with denomination	5,519	0.99	0.08	0	1	1	1	1
Fraction of coins with empire/dynasty information	5,519	0.80	0.38	0	0	1	1	1
Average distance of coins from mint, km	5,417	685.10	612.07	0	88	533	1,462	6,124

Appendix Table C.2: Summary statistics: Hoards

Notes: Sample consists of all coins from hoards with tpq between 325 and 950. "Age at tpq" is defined as tpq of the hoard minus the average coins' midpoint of the mint date interval.

	Dep. var.: $\# \operatorname{Coins}_{mdh}$		Dep. var.:	$Value_{mdh}$
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Log Distance	-1.137^{***} (0.12)	-1.002^{***} (0.13)	-1.144^{***} (0.075)	-0.989^{***} (0.068)
Political border		-1.945^{***} (0.62)		-1.516^{***} (0.27)
Hoard Cell FE Mint \times Empire Cell FE	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes
Sample			Gold and Silver	Gold and Silver
Estimator	PPML	PPML	PPML	PPML
Pseudo- R^2 Observations	$0.767 \\ 217748$	$0.778 \\ 217748$	$0.800 \\ 146767$	$0.810 \\ 146767$

Appendix Table C.3: Gravity and border effects: # coins vs values of coins

Standard errors in parentheses, clustered at mint cell \times empire and hoard cell level. + p<0.10, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01

Notes: This table presents variations of equation (1). The dependent variable is the number of coins in a hoard cell h from a mint cell m issued by a political entity p. Columns 1 and 2 reproduce columns 1 and 2 from table 1, while columns 3 and 4 exploits only the intensive margin of coin flows (restricting the sample to $m \times h$ pairs where some coins from mint cell m were found in hoard cell h).

Appendix Table C.4: Do coins get redistributed within empires before entering circulation?

		Dependent variable: $\# \operatorname{Coins}_{mdh}$							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)					
Log Distance	-0.709^{***} (0.092)	-0.923^{***} (0.17)	-0.669^{***} (0.11)	-0.839^{***} (0.068)					
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Empire} \times \mbox{Hoard Cell FE} \\ \mbox{Mint} \times \mbox{Empire Cell FE} \end{array}$	Yes	Yes Yes	Yes	Yes Yes					
Sample			Gold only	Gold only					
Estimator	PPML	PPML	PPML	PPML					
R^2 Observations	41443	41443	11363	11344					

Standard errors in parentheses, clustered at mint cell \times empire and hoard cell level. + p<0.10, * p<0.05, ** p<0.01

Notes: This table presents variations of equation (1). The dependent variable is the number of coins in a hoard cell h from a mint cell m issued by a political entity p. The regression drops all (m, h) combinations that have no emitted coins. Hoard and mint cells are $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$. Observations only include those that remain after dropping singletons and separated observations. Political entities are categorized into fourteen divisions.

	Dependent variable: Number of Coins				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Crossing Mediterranean \times After Conquests	-1.893^{***} (0.48)	-3.246^{***} (0.53)	-0.662 (0.63)	-1.736 (1.27)	
Crossing Mediterranean \times After Conquests \times Islamic Coin		$7.267^{***} \\ (0.90)$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.789^{***} \\ (0.95) \end{array}$	$7.545^{***} \\ (0.89)$	
Crossing Mediterranean \times After Conquests \times Roman Coin			-3.287^{***} (0.75)	-2.893^{***} (0.61)	
Mint Cell \times Empire FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Mint Cell \times Hoard Cell FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
After Conquests FE	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Mint Cell \times After Conquests FE				Yes	
Hoard Cell \times After Conquests FE				Yes	
Estimator	PPML	PPML	PPML	PPML	
Observations	10480	10480	10480	6208	

Appendix Table C.5: The Mediterranean Before and After the Conquests

Standard errors in parentheses, clustered at the hoard \times era and mint \times era level.

^+ $p < 0.10, \ ^* \ p < 0.05, \ ^{**} \ p < 0.01$

Notes: This table presents various specifications of equation (C.1). The dependent variable is the number of coins in a hoard cell from a mint cell \times dynasty \times era (where era is before vs after the conquests). The regression drops all mint \times dynasty combinations that have zero emitted coins. Hoard and mint cells are $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$. Flows before the conquests are those with mint date after 400 and *tpq* before 630; flows after the conquests are those with mint date after 713 and *tpq* before 900. Observation counts only include those that remain after dropping singletons and separated observations. "Crossing Mediterranean" is a dummy that is one if the geodesic line between hoard and mint cell intersects with the Mediterranean. "Islamic Coin" and "Roman Coin" are dummies equal to one if the coin is of Islamic issue (any dynasty) or Roman/Byzantine issue, respectively. "Empires" here are categorized as Sasanian, Roman-Byzantine, Franks, Islamic, Germanic Tribes, and Other Christian.

Appendix Table C.6: Estimation of the coin loss rate λ

	Dependent	variable: Log share of coins in bin $[k, k+n]$
	(1)	(2)
k/n	-0.162^{***} (0.0100)	-0.356^{***} (0.031)
Bin size n	10	20
R^2 Observations	$0.833 \\ 55$	0.819 31

Standard errors in parentheses.

	Consumption $\frac{X_n/p_n}{L_n}$		Impor 1 –	Import share $1 - \pi_{nn}$		$\begin{array}{c} \text{Technology} \\ T_n^{1/\theta} \end{array}$		deficits $\frac{w_n L_n}{L_n}$
	460-620	700-900	460-620	700-900	460-620	700-900	460-620	700-900
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(0)	(1)	(8)
al-Andalus	0.51	0.88	0.17	0.01	0.53	1.02	-0.07	-0.14
	(0.02)	(0.06)	(0.03)	(0.00)	(0.03)	(0.08)	(0.03)	(0.03)
Aquitaine and Basque Country	0.38	1.34	0.25	0.08	0.35	1.23	-0.00	0.07
	(0.03)	(0.13)	(0.06)	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.13)	(0.13)	(0.02)
Francia and Germania	0.22	1.58	0.24	0.00	0.23	1.62	-0.14	-0.03
	(0.02)	(0.16)	(0.05)	(0.00)	(0.03)	(0.17)	(0.04)	(0.01)
Northern Italy and Balkans	1.11	0.77	0.28	0.13	0.95	0.71	0.07	0.06
	(0.03)	(0.07)	(0.04)	(0.03)	(0.05)	(0.06)	(0.04)	(0.04)
Southern Italy	0.82	0.51	0.35	0.33	0.99	0.53	-0.25	-0.14
	(0.02)	(0.19)	(0.05)	(0.16)	(0.05)	(0.11)	(0.01)	(0.50)
Byzantine Heartlands	3.21	0.67	0.59	0.21	1.07	0.51	1.40	0.24
	(0.11)	(0.20)	(0.02)	(0.13)	(0.04)	(0.06)	(0.12)	(0.47)
al-Sham (Greater Syria)	1.41	1.07	0.13	0.01	1.51	1.35	-0.09	-0.21
	(0.03)	(0.10)	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.07)	(0.15)	(0.03)	(0.03)
Northern Syria and Caucasus	0.61	0.59	0.17	0.15	0.75	0.73	-0.22	-0.23
	(0.07)	(0.11)	(0.05)	(0.10)	(0.10)	(0.14)	(0.01)	(0.11)
al-Iraq, al-Jibal, Khuzistan, Kirman	1.22	1.25	0.08	0.10	1.10	1.10	0.09	0.11
	(0.09)	(0.09)	(0.02)	(0.04)	(0.10)	(0.13)	(0.02)	(0.05)
Eastern Caliphate	0.62	0.65	0.02	0.02	0.61	0.64	0.01	0.01
	(0.03)	(0.15)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.04)	(0.16)	(0.02)	(0.02)
Jazirat al-arab and al-Yaman	0.22	0.69	0.07	0.00	0.31	0.84	-0.30	-0.18
	(0.10)	(0.10)	(0.02)	(0.12)	(0.14)	(0.18)	(0.00)	(0.34)
Misr (Egypt)	1.46	1.39	0.04	0.60	1.82	0.62	-0.21	0.79
	(0.05)	(0.69)	(0.01)	(0.18)	(0.06)	(0.16)	(0.00)	(1.91)
al-Maghrib	1.21	1.62	0.16	0.44	1.09	0.79	0.06	0.78
	(0.03)	(0.29)	(0.02)	(0.14)	(0.04)	(0.13)	(0.03)	(0.39)
Average	1.00	1.00	0.20	0.16	0.87	0.90	0.03	0.09
	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.01)	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.06)	(0.01)	(0.14)

Appendix Table C.7: Real consumption and its components, AD 460-620 and 700-900

Notes: This table shows the levels (not logs) of all equilibrium variables we use to compute changes in real capita and its components in table 4. Columns 1 and 2 show real consumption per capita normalized to one on average within each period $(X_n/p_n)/L_n$, for AD 460-620 and AD 700-900 respectively. Columns 3 and 4 show a measure of trade openness, imports as a share of consumption $(1 - \pi_{nn})$, for AD 460-620 and AD 700-900. Columns 5 and 6 show technology $(T_n^{1/\theta})$ for AD 460-620 and AD 700-900. And columns 7 and 8 show trade deficits, i.e. the percentage difference between consumption and income $(X_n - w_n L_n)/w_n L_n)$ for AD 460-620 and AD 700-900. Note that although aggregate deficits are zero by construction, this is not necessarily the case for the (unweighted) averages. Standard errors (in parentheses under each point estimate) are computed from re-estimating our model on 100 bootstrapped samples from our coin data.

	Real cor	Real consumption change: $\Delta \log \left(\frac{X_n/p_n}{L_n} \right)$					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
al-Andalus	0.53	0.22	0.74	1.38			
Aquitaine and Basque Country	1.27	0.83	1.53	1.74			
Francia and Germania	1.99	1.56	2.31	2.61			
Northern Italy and Balkans	-0.36	-0.73	-0.22	-0.01			
Southern Italy	-0.48	-0.71	-0.21	3.27			
Byzantine Heartlands	-1.56	-1.16	-1.94	-3.25			
al-Sham (Greater Syria)	-0.28	0.26	-0.22	0.10			
Northern Syria and Caucasus	-0.04	0.05	-0.04	0.31			
al-Iraq, al-Jibal, Khuzistan, Kirman	0.02	-0.28	-0.01	0.62			
Eastern Caliphate	0.05	0.23	-0.14	0.55			
Jazirat al-arab and al-Yaman	1.12	-0.30	0.10	2.68			
Misr (Egypt)	-0.05	0.66	0.01	-0.02			
al-Maghrib	0.29	0.28	0.40	0.77			
Time Interval	20 years	20 years	10 years	30 years			
Coin Accounting	Number	Value	Number	Number			
Sample	All	$\operatorname{Gold}/\operatorname{Silver}$	All	All			

Appendix Table C.8: Real consumption from AD 460-620 to AD 700-900: robustness

Notes: This table shows alternative estimates of relative changes in real consumption per capita from AD 460-620 to 700-900. Column 1 reproduces our baseline estimates, column 1 in table 4. Column 1 reproduces our baseline estimates, 20-year time intervals and the parameterization of trade costs in column 2 of table 3. Column 2 uses 20-year time intervals and weights coins by value (in equivalent grams of gold, assuming a constant exchange rate of 12g of silver for 1g of gold; and using gold and silver coins only). Columns 3 and 4 show variations of the baseline specification where time periods are aggregated to 10-year intervals (column 3) or 30-year time intervals (column 4), adjusting the coin loss rate to the period length (always 1.7% p.a.).

		Log Trade	e Costs	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Log Travel Time	3.03	0.96	3.55	2.97
	(0.02)	(0.03)	(0.03)	(0.02)
Political Border	0.49	3.4	2.37	1.28
	(0.02)	(0.05)	(0.04)	(0.02)
Religious Border: East	1.97	0.0	0.15	0.88
	(0.12)	(0.32)	(0.23)	(0.1)
Religious Border: West	4.59	4.05	5.2	7.71
	(0.22)	(0.62)	(0.29)	(0.51)
Religious Border: Mediterranean	5.2	2.66	3.82	2.89
	(0.18)	(0.19)	(0.21)	(0.1)
Time Interval	20 years	20 years	10 years	30 years
Coin Accounting	Number	Value	Number	Number
Sample	All	$\operatorname{Gold}/\operatorname{Silver}$	All	All
Observations	4,413	2,020	7,427	3,248

Appendix Table C.9: Determinants of ancient trade costs: robustness

Notes: The table shows alternative specifications for the estimates of the trade cost parameters. Column 1 reproduces our baseline estimates, 20-year time intervals and the parameterization of trade costs in column 2 of table 3. Column 2 uses 20-year time intervals and weights coins by value (in equivalent grams of gold, assuming a constant exchange rate of 12g of silver for 1g of gold; and using gold and silver coins only). Columns 3 and 4 show variations of the baseline specification where time periods are aggregated to 10-year intervals (column 3) or 30-year time intervals (column 4), adjusting the coin loss rate to the period length (always 1.7% p.a.).

Figures



Appendix Figure C.1: Comparison with Circulation Hoards in Banaji (2016) Notes: The left panel reproduces Figure 3b. The right panel shows the average share of coins in each 10-year age bin in the circulation hoards of Banaji (2016), Chapter 6, who reports the issuing emperors (but not mint dates) of the coins in these hoards. We draw mint dates uniformly from the ruling years of these emperors.



Appendix Figure C.2: Comparison with flows of West Roman Terra Sigillata (Flückiger et al., 2022)

Notes: The figure shows a binscatter of the log number of objects flowing (either coins or number of Terra Sigillata) between two 0.5×0.5 degree cells, against the log effective distance between cells. Both are de-meaned by origin and destination location. Cell definitions and effective distances are from Flückiger et al. (2022). The coin data is restricted to hoards with tpq up to 450 and that lie within the aforementioned cells.



Appendix Figure C.3: Number of coins flowing across the Mediterranean

Notes: The figure shows the number of coins minted in the 25-year interval on the horizontal axis, that are minted on one side of the Mediterranean, and are found on the other. Flows include both directions. The north is defined to go from the Pyrenees to Byzantine Turkey, east from Byzantine Turkey to Egypt, south from Egypt to the Maghreb, and west from Maghreb to Aquitaine. Border regions are included in these definitions, so regions are partly overlapping.



Appendix Figure C.4: The distance elasticity declines as coins age *Notes:* The figure shows the distance elasticity estimates when estimating equation (C.2) using PPML.



Appendix Figure C.5: Comparing travel times to Al-Muqaddasī (985)

Notes: Each dot refers to a city-to-city connection for which Al-Muqaddasī (985) lists the travel time in days. We exclude desert routes (where he reports travel times in nights or in watering stations, routes with cities cannot be found in al-Turayyā, as well as the route between Tahart and Fes, which he claims can be travelled in three days despite it being a distance of more than 600 kilometers (our model predicts 22 days).





Notes: This figure complements figure 8, showing for all 13 regions the time-series from AD 380 to AD 900 of (logged) real consumption per capita (top row), and its partition into 'openness' (second row), 'technology' (third row), and 'trade deficits' (bottom row) as in equation (20). Appendix A provides details on the computation of each term, using the dynamic model in equations (4), (6), (10), and the parameter estimates from (18)-(19). Units for technology are chosen such average real consumption per capita equals one for each period. 95% confidence intervals (shaded areas) are computed from fully re-estimating our model on 100 bootstrapped samples from our coin hoard data. Our estimates are imprecise for some periods because we have too few coins, as evidenced by the spikes of the confidence intervals.









D Coin hoard data (NOT FOR PUBLICATION)

Our numismatic data consists of two datasets: first, the set of hoards from the current release of the *Framing the Late Antique and Early Medieval Economy* project (FLAME, 2023). FLAME is a large collaborative effort of historians and numismatists that records data on coin hoards around the Mediterranean and Europe from between AD 325 and AD 725. We use the most recent release (January 2023) which has data on about 1.7m coins belonging to more than 9,000 hoards. Since the temporal and spatial focus of our study does not entirely overlap with that of FLAME, we complement their data by constructing a hand-coded dataset on hoards between AD 700 and AD 900, and hoards with a heavier emphasis on near eastern coins. We describe the hand-collected data and FLAME's data in turn.

D.1 Hand-collected data

We search the numismatic and archaeological literature for descriptions of coin hoards or coin finds with a *terminus post quem* (= date of the most recent content) of roughly between AD 700 and AD 950, that were discovered in Europe, North Africa, or the Middle East. For the sake of brevity we will refer to a single coin or a collection of coins that was found together in one place as a "hoard" (i.e. unless specifically mentioned, we do not distinguish between single finds, stray finds, mini-hoards, or full hoards). We exclude hoards that largely contain silver that was brought via the Viking route or that clearly have a Viking connection.⁶ We likewise exclude records from excavations, unless they are described as a hoard or constitute a set of coins that were found together in the same location (e.g. in the same room of an excavated building).

An (at least approximate) findspot must be known for a hoard to be of use in our analysis. For each hoard we record the latitude and longitude of its findspot. When the findspot is known only with a low level of precision (e.g. at the country or region level) we code this in a separate dummy variable. Importantly, we do not record coins in museums or collections that have unknown findspots. While we digitize many descriptions of hoards that are incomplete, we omit hoards of which no information on the vast majority of coins has been published.

For each coin (or group of coins with identical properties) in a hoard we record, if documented

⁶Among the list from Appendix 3 of McCormick (2001), these are the hoards in Britain, Scandinavia, and Schleswig-Holstein (Germany). We also digitized the 10th century Máramaros county hoard (Fomin and Kovács, 1987), but drop it as its content (consisting of many imitations, as well as dirhams from the Samarkand and al-Shash mints) indicate that it was clearly brought in from the east.

by the authors of the hoard catalogue:

- The mint where the coin was minted, or believed to have been minted. When a coin is believed to have been an imitation, we note this separately.
- A time interval (consisting of a start year and end year) during which the coin was minted or is believed to have been minted. For some coins, such as most Islamic dirhams, this information is imprinted on the coin. For others, we code this as the shortest time interval during which the coin could have been minted, taking into account the denomination of the coin, the ruler under whose authority it has been issued, as well as his/her dynasty, and other information about coin types (e.g. pre/post-reform coinage). When the coin has been dated through the regnal year of the ruler or in the Islamic calendar, we convert this to Gregorian calendar years.

Beyond the attributes above, we record denomination, material, and issuing rulers and dynasties (mostly with dating of the coins in mind). This information, if known, is typically furnished by the authors of hoard catalogues in the numismatic literature. We do not distinguish between fragments and entire coins.

The geocodes of the hoards and mints are only approximate. We code Nomisma IDs for the mints based on the proximity of the place of minting, not based on the dynasty, e.g. "Siqilliyah" (Sicily) can be also used for non-Islamic issue.

D.1.1 Hoards in the Near East and North Africa

Table D.3 shows the list of hand-coded hoards from the Near East and North Africa, along with references. These hoards consist mainly of Sasanian and/or Islamic coins, and sometimes Byzantine issue. We code approximate mint locations based on the proposals in the literature, typically giving preference to the suggestions of the authors of the original hoards.

A couple of notes on specific hoards:

 We digitize the Umm-Hajarah hoard based on the description by al 'Ush (1972a) but follow Noonan (1980) in treating the isolated Seljuk coin that Al-'Ush dates to 689-690 AH as not belonging to the hoard.
• We digitize Hoge (1997)'s description of a hoard from "North Africa (or Spain?)", and assign Kairouan as approximate location (and note that the precise location of the hoard is immaterial to our exercise). We treat the Safavid dinar that is 650 years younger than the other coins (Hoge: "no doubt added to the other pieces 'in trade.") as extraneous to the hoard.

D.1.2 Islamic hoards in Spain and France

Tables D.4 and D.5 show the hand-digitized hoards from Islamic Spain (al-Andalus) and Islamic coin finds from southern France.

D.1.3 Other Islamic and Byzantine hoards in Europe

We digitize the hoards, mini-hoards, and stray finds from McCormick (2001)'s survey of Arab and Byzantine coins in Europe (Appendix 3) between 668 and 900. We add those to our dataset, except when already covered in our other sources. We update hoard descriptions for which newer catalogues are available.⁷ Finally, we exclude the contested Odoorn/Zuidbarge (1859–60) hoard, as the identity of it as a single hoard is not clear, some of the coins had been converted into jewellery, and the contents are not well described.⁸

D.1.4 Byzantine hoards

The hoards reported in the corpora by Pennas (1991), Füeg (2007), and Nikolaou and Touratsoglou (2019) form the basis of our collection of Byzantine hoards (the corpus on earlier finds by Morrisson et al. (2006) is mostly already incorporated into FLAME). Information on particular regions come from Mirnik (1981) (Balkans), Arslan (2005) (Italy), Kovács (1989) (Hungary), and Wołoszyn (2009) (Central Europe). Hoard catalogues typically refer to collection catalogues (Sabatier, 1862, Wroth, 1908, Grierson, 1968, 1973) which we use to retrieve mint date intervals and likely mints.⁹ We exclude coin finds from running excavations, unless the coins were found as individual parcels in a specific location. Tables D.6 and D.7 show our hand-coded byzantine hoards.

⁷A35 (Steckborn): Ilisch (2005), A8 (Cagliari): Saccocci (2005), who also mentions an Aghlabid semi-dirham of Muhammad I found in Crotone, Sicily. We update A28 (Porto Torres, Sardinia) based on the number and datings reported in Füeg (2007)'s corpus, likewise the dates from A34 (Reno River).

⁸See Coupland (2011a) for a discussion of these issues.

⁹For a large part of the time interval that is not covered by FLAME, Byzantine gold and silver coins are believed to have been exclusively issued at Constantinople (Grierson, 1968).

D.1.5 Carolingian hoards

We follow Simon Coupland's *Checklist* (Coupland, 2011a, 2014, 2020) and digitize hoards and finds primarily based on the corpora presented by Völckers (1965), Duplessy (1985), and Haertle (1997), giving priority to more recent descriptions. Tables D.8 to D.12 show details. We follow the mint codings of Louis the Pious' *Christiana religio* coins given by Coupland (2011b). As mentioned above, we exclude the contested Odoorn/Zuidbarge hoard.

D.2 FLAME

FLAME records their data in three different tables: coin finds, coin groups, and mints. In the coin find table each observation is a find that contains one or more coin groups; in the coin group table each observation is a set of coins with common recorded attributes (and linked to the coin find ID), including a mint and an interval for the year of minting. In the mint table each observation is a mint, and the mint name string allows these to be matched to coin groups. Mints and coin finds are geocoded.

The records in FLAME thus include a superset of the attributes in the hand-coded data above, except (i) the material of the coin, which we code based on the denomination; (ii) the weight and dimensions of the coins, which are sometimes (but not systematically) coded in the comments. We convert the FLAME data to the same structure as our handcoded data, including the following cleaning steps:

- A small number (6) of coin groups has a start year that's after the end year; we switch those around.
- FLAME contains start and end dates for the coin find itself. For a small number of coin groups the end date of the coin find falls in between the start and end dates of the coin group. This is often the case when very broad ranges have been given for the coin group, and so we truncate the coin group interval at the end date of the find.
- For Sasanian coins, we adhere to the mint codings in FLAME. A number of coins report the mint abbreviation but not the mint, we code and locate them analogously to how we coded them in the hand-coded coins (see below).

- A number of coin groups record a mint string that is not included in FLAME's mint file. We code Nomisma ID's for those mints, wherever possible.
- A large fraction of FLAME coins don't have mints or dates: often large hoards are not recorded by coin (just the total number of coins). Out of 1.7m coins, about 340k have mint and dates.

D.3 Locating mints

For FLAME data, we follow the attribution of mint locations done by the authors of the respective FLAME entries. For hand-collected data, we attempt to map the hand-coded mints to Nomisma (2023) IDs for the mints (nmo:Mint). Whenever a geocode for a mint is not available in Nomisma, or whenever the mint is not represented in Nomisma, we hand-code the geocodes. These geocodes should only be regarded as approximate and with a degree of precision required for our particular application in mind. Table D.1 shows the mints we add to Nomisma, along with our codings, and Table D.2 shows the codings for existing Nomisma mints without geocodes.

D.3.1 Sasanian mints

The location of Sasanian mints and the identification of Sasanian mint signatures are contested. We generally follow the reading of the original hoard descriptions, except in situations where these are dated and the literature nowadays prefers different readings. Regarding the approximate location of the mints, we decided to code the approximate location for most signatures following the consensus in the literature; in some cases where the literature only agrees up to the region we chose Nomisma IDs from mints of that region. As with the other codings, the Nomisma IDs should only be seen as approximating the location of the mint, and do not carry any information on dating. Table D.14 summarizes our signature codings with their approximate mint locations.

D.4 Political entities and the geography of hoards and mints

D.4.1 Dynasties/Empires

We record dynasties/empires through the dynastyname field of FLAME data, and an equivalent field of the hand-coded data. We aggregate these to 10 more aggregate ("level 1") dynasties/em-



Appendix Figure D.1: Dynasties/Empires

pires, and seven most aggregate ("level 2") dynasties/empires. Figure D.1 shows the breakdown of recorded dynasties in our final sample.

D.4.2 Location of hoards and mints

Figure D.2 show the location of mints and hoards of our final dataset. Only locations corresponding to coins that were minted after AD 400 are shown. Figure D.3 shows details for western Europe and the eastern Mediterranean.



Appendix Figure D.2: Mints and Hoards



(b) North-eastern Mediterranean

Appendix Figure D.3: Mints and Hoards: Details

Note: Maps show coins minted after an 400

Mint	id	Location	Latitude	Longitude	Notes
Abarqubadh	abarqubadh		31.28027	47.49266	"This mint was in the district of Khusra-shadh Bah- mân (the district of the Tigris) in Irâq, between Wâsit and al-Basra and near the border with Khuzistân." Llovd (2023)
Adurbadagan	adurbadagan	Ganzak	37.0123	46.2019	Sasanian mint (AT)
al Hashimiyah	al-hashimiyah	Kufa	32.05114	44.44017	Rare Abbasid mint during al-Mansur's reign, situated close to Kufah (138-146 AH).
al Rahba	al-rahba	Mavadin	35.005	40.4235	A mint in Syria, on the Euphrates
Arrajan	arrajan	majaam	30.65388	50.27472	"[Bizamqubadh] was an alternative name for Arrajân in Fars, and also appears to have struck Arab-Sasanian issues." Lloyd (2023)
Hulwan	hulwan		34.465	45.855	"This mint-name is that of a district (astân) in Irâq, which covered an area to the north-east of Baghdad. Le Strange notes that this district was also known as Shâd Firûz - presumably its former Sasanian name. The town of Hulwân itself evidently lay just over the border in Jibâl province, although at this period it appears to have been included with 'Irâq for adminis- trative nurposes" Lloyd (2023)
Madinat Elvira	madinat elvira		37 23105	-3 70848	The archaeological site of Madinat Ilbira
Mah al Basrah	mah-al-basrah	Nihavand, Iran	34.18879	48.37046	"The term is the Arabic name for Nihavand." (British Museum x107840)
Mah al Kufah	mah-al-kufah	Dinawar, Iran	34.583333	47.43333	"Mah al-Kufah = Dinawar (sometimes incorrectly written Daynawar) in the middle ages was one of the most important towns in Djibal (Media); it is now in ruins. The exact location is 34 degrees 35 minutes Lat. N. and 47 degrees 26 minutes E. Long. (Greenwich)." Lockhart (2012)
Masabadhan	masabadhan		33.52303	46.86539	A district with capital al-Sirawan; the location of al- Sirawan is from Corpu (1983)'s atlas
Maysan	maysan	Navsan	30.8093	47.5628	Sasanian mint Maysan (MY)
Ponjshir	naysan panjshir	Panishir Vallov	35 254005	60.456014	Panishir Valley, modern day Afghanistan
Rev-Ardashir	rev-ardashir	Bushehr	28.9119	50.8367	Sasanian mint (LYW/ LYWARTHST/KWN LY- W/GNC LYW): the location is from FLAME
Roda	roda		42.26478	3.17887	A carolingian mint in Rosas, Spain
Sarakhs	sarakhs	Sarakhs, Iran	36.5449	61.1577	"A town in Khurâsân located roughly midway between Marw and Abrashahr. Sarakhs lay on the eastern bank of the Mashhad river, about forty or fifty miles north of its confluence with the Herât river." Llovd (2023)
Uman	oman	Oman	23.51234	58.27000	Lloyd (2023): "Modern Oman on the Persian Gulf."

D.5 Tables and references

Appendix Table D.1: Manual mint codings I: new mints

Nomisma ID	Nomisma Note	Latitude	Longitude	Note
al-Abbasiyah	"Earfly Abbasid site in North	35.62183407	10.18089991	According to Abdul Wahab (2012),
al-Furat	"In the district of Shadh Bahman in Iraq, but its exact location un- known Klat 16"	30.53269083	47.87593421	Geocodes based on Fig. 11 in Morony (1982).
al-Madinat al- Mutawakkiliyah	"al-Madinat al-Mutawakkiliyah is just north of Sammara and was huilt by the Abbasids"	34.2621862	43.85500034	Close to Samarra, Iraq
al-Manadhir	"The name of two districts, with tehir chief-towns, named Greater Manadhir & Little Manadhir in Khuzistan, Iran"	31.97753445	48.69644554	Lloyd (2023): "Manâdhir was a dis- trict within the province of Khuzistân, situated between the Dizfûl and Du- jayl rivers above their confluence north of Ahwâz. It was apparently divided into two parts named Greater and Lit- tle Manâdhir, each containing a chief town with the same name."
al-Mubarakah (Ab- basid)	"Some place in North Africa"	36.30565739	10.13850323	Unknown location, coding it to modern-day Tunisia
al-Samiyah	"Al-Samiya was in the Shatt al- Arab area of lower Iraq.	30.6617666	47.78548511	Coding to Shatt al-Arab.
Bihqubadh af-Asfal	"Lower Bihqubach (sic) in Iraq on the Euphrates"	31.56718959	45.22725183	Lloyd (2023): "The three districts of Upper, Middle and Lower Bihqubâdh were located in 'Iraq to the west of the Euphrates. Bihqubâdh is taken from the Persian meaning "the good land of king Qubâdh. Al-Asfal means 'the lower,' and covered the land next to the Euphrates where it entered the Great Swamp." Coordinates based on Fig. 8 of Morony (1982).
Dastawa Ma'din Bajunays	"South of Qazvin" "Province north of Lake Van"	35.75554989 40.223509	50.08839336 43.8355181	Location very approximate in western
Mani	Klat is uncertain of its location al- though the prefix Mah occurs in older names for Dinavar & Niha- vand Quarter of Jibal Klat"	34.38582341	47.97904114	Lloyd (2023) puts it either at Mah al Basrah (Nihavand) or Mah al Kufa (Dinavar). Our chosen geocode is halfway between the two
Nahr Tira	"Exact location on the river or canal of the same name in Khuzis- tan not know. Klat, p., 18"	30.8755	49.7131	From FLAME.
Qumis	"A small province which stretches along the foot of the Great Alburz chain of mouintains. Klat, p. 17."	35.96088616	54.03571139	Wikipedia "Qumis (region)"
Surraq	"Surraq or DAWRAQ (or Dawraq al-Fors), name of a district (k 'ra; Moqaddasf', pp. 406-07), also known as Sorraq, and of a town that was sometimes its chef-lieu in medieval Islamic times."	30.65094882	48.67463446	Coding to Shadegan, Iran.
Tabaristan	"Tabaristan, also known as Tapuria, was the name of the for- mer historic region in the southern coasts of Caspian Sea roughly in the location of the northern and southern slopes of Elburz range in Iran."	36.5656	53.0588	From FLAME
Tudghah	"Unknown location in Morroco"	31.523	-5.5313	al 'Ush (1982) identifies it with "Todr'a", and cites Renou (1846) (in- correctly as authored by "Lavoix") say- ing that it was located fourty kilome- ters west of Sijilmasa, at a river of the same name. That would place it close to Tinghir, Morocco.

Appendix Table D.2: Manual mint codings II: geocoding existing Nomisma mints

Hoard Name	Date	# Coins described	Reference	Location	Latitude	Longitude
Abu Saida	ca. 721	15	Royal Numismatic Society (1975)	Qaryat Abū Şaydā aş Şaghīrah, Iraq	33.924	44.761
Afaq	773-932	1674	Gachet (1993)	Afak, Iraq	32.064	45.247
Afghanistan	86-112 AH	131	Album (1971)	Afghanistan	33.000	66.000
Agrigenta	699-828	370	Lagumina (1904)	Agrigento, Italy	37.311	13.577
Al Raqqa	698-750	1187	Sears (2000)	Ar Raqqah, Syria	35.953	39.008
Al Wajh		35	Hakiem (1977)	Al Wajh, Saudi Arabia	26.246	36.452
Al-Khobar	tpq 784/85	42	Noonan (1980)	Khobar, Saudi Arabia	26.279	50.208
Amman	AH 79-125	12	Kirkbride (1951)	Amman, Jordan	31.955	35.945
Amūda I	tpg 874	646	Ilisch (1990)	'Āmūdā, Svria	37.104	40.930
Amūda II	779-941	643	Ilisch (1990)	'Āmūdā, Svria	37.104	40.930
Awarta (Nablus I)	602-685	29	Dajani (1951)	'Awartā, Palestine	32.161	35.284
Bab Tuma	tpg 748	854	Gyselen and Kalus (1983)	Damascus, Svria	33.510	36.291
Babylone, Egypt	157 AH - 241 AH	114	Jungfleisch (1949)	Cairo, Egypt	30.063	31.250
Busevra	769-943	3108	Al Chomari (2020)	Al Busavrah, Svria	35.156	40.427
Capernaum	100 0 10	288	Wilson (1989)	Kfar Nahum Israel	32 881	35 575
Damascus	548-736	3815	al 'Ush (1972b)	Damascus Svria	33 510	36 291
Damascus	679-721	546	al 'Ush (1954-1955)	Damascus, Syria	33 510	36 291
Denizbaji	tna 811	2496	Artuk (1966)	Denizbacı Turkey	37 139	38 390
Diverbakir	802_002	2430	llisch (1970)	Diverbakır Turkey	37.014	40.217
En Noble	502-302 tpg 747	102	Royal Numismatic Society (1077)	An Nable Suria	34 024	40.217 36 728
Cogiro	2nd to 0th contumy	102	Cycolon and Norro (1082)	Al lograh Irog	26 000	42.000
Gazira	ord to oth century	2020	American Numicmetic Society (2022)	Al Jazilall, Ilaq Surrian Arab Dapublia Surria	25,000	42.000
Goumaniya	tm m 050	127	High (1000)	Jomah Arab Republic, Sylla	25 120	36.000
	rbd 990	214	$\frac{111}{1111} = \frac{111}{1111} = \frac{1111}{1111} = \frac{11111}{11111} = \frac{111111}{111111} = \frac{11111111}{111111111111111111111111111$	Raman, Syria	30.132 49.179	30.738
Huszt	t	308	Fomin and Kovacs (1987)	Knust, Ukraine	48.172	23.298
Iran 1970	tpq 820	008	Noonan (1980) $I \rightarrow I $	Islamic Republic of Iran, Iran	32.000	53.000
Istanan	(77-936	582	Lowick (1975)	Islanan, Iran	32.652	51.675
Jarash		30	Treadwell and Rogan (1994)	Jarash, Jordan	32.281	35.899
Jazira (Illisch)	tpq 886	48	llisch (1990)	Al Jazirah, Iraq	36.000	42.000
Kerman	about 632-651	43	Heidemann et al. (2014)	Kerman, Iran	30.283	57.079
Khdir Elias	tpq 1014	2865	Al-Naqshbandi (1954)	Republic of Iraq, Iraq	33.000	44.000
Khorasan	705-774	196	Hebert (1966)	Mashhad, Iran	36.298	59.606
Khirbat al-Minya	716-734	2	Schneider (1952)	Horbat Minnim, Israel	32.865	35.536
Kufah	$tpq \ 808/09$	178	Noonan (1980)	Kufa, Iraq	32.051	44.440
Marv	tpq 815	855	Khodzhaniyazov and Treadwell (1998)	Mary, Turkmenistan	37.594	61.830
Near Fez		36	Royal Numismatic Society (1978)	Fès, Morocco	34.033	-5.000
Nippur (Bates)	704-794	76	Bates (1978)	Aţlāl Nafar, Iraq	32.136	45.221
Nippur (Sears)	597-743	97	Sears (1994)	Aţlāl Nafar, Iraq	32.136	45.221
North Africa (Spain?)	tpq 860	87	Hoge (1997)	Kairouan, Tunisia	35.678	10.096
Orif, Nablus	691-742	19	Ma'ayeh (1962)	Urif, Palestine, West Bank	32.159	35.224
Ouenza	789-798	12	Troussel (1942)	Ouenza, Algeria	35.953	8.129
Qamishliyyah	tpq 816	1519	Gyselen and Kalus (1983)	Al Qāmishlī, Syria	37.052	41.231
Ra's al-Khaimah	921-975	43	Lowick and Nisbet (1968)	Ras Al Khaimah City, UAE	25.790	55.943
Sinaw	589-841	948	Lowick (1983)	Sināw, Oman	22.501	58.030
Tabaristan	about 718-760	810	Malek (1996)	Mazandaran Province, Iran	36.250	52.333
Tiflis	ca. 280-330 AH	112	Bartolomei (1857)	Tbilisi, Georgia	41.694	44.834
Umm Hajarah	tpq 808/09	408	al 'Ush (1972a)	Umm Hajarah, Syria	36.195	41.074
Utaifiyah	154-193 AH	294	al Bakri (1973)	Baghdad, Iraq	33.341	44.401
Volubilis	tpg 125 AH (742)	232	Eustache (1956)	Oualili, Morocco	34.073	-5.555
Yarubiyyah	tpg 815/816	1415	American Numismatic Society (2023)	Al Yaʻrubīvah, Svria	36.811	42.062
Zahu/Zakho	tpg 808-9	3306	Al-Nagshbandi (1949, 1950, 1951, 1952)	Zaxo, Iraq	37.149	42.686
		3000			010	

Appendix Table D.3: Near East and North Africa Hoards

Hoard name	Date	# Coins described	Reference	Location	Latitude	Longitude
Alcaudete	698-734	14	Cano Ávila (1989)	Alcaudete	N 37° 35' 27"	W 4° 4' 56"
Algeciras	710-727	29	Canto García and Martín Escudero (2009)	Algeciras	N 36° 7' 59"	W 5° 27' 1"
Alhama	770-876	459	Codera y Zaidín (1892)	Alhama	N 37° 0' 24"	W 3° 59' 22"
Arrabal Occidental	929-1021	373	Canto García et al. (2020a)	Cordoba	N 37° 53' 29"	W 4° 46' 21"
Azanuv	699-733	6	Codera v Zaidín (1913)	Azanuv	N 41° 59' 10"	$E 0^{\circ} 18' 58'$
Badajoz	927-1011	99	Prieto (1934)	Badajoz	N 38° 52' 40"	W 6° 58' 14"
Baena	699 - 754	160	Martín Escudero (2001)	Baena	N 37° 39' 22"	W 4° 20' 4"
Barrio de los Olivos Borrachos	941-1004	165	Marcos Pous and Vicent Zaragoza (1992)	Cordoba	N 37° 53' 29"	W 4° 46' 21"
Benferri	941 - 958	12	Doménech Belda (1997)	Benferri	N 38° 8' 28"	W 0° 57' 43"
Bormuios	929-965	11	Cano Ávila (2016)	Bormuios	N 37°21'41.9"	W 6° 06' 38.1"
Calle San Jose	936-950	16	Doménech Belda (1997)	Xàtiva	N 38° 59' 25"	W 0° 31' 6"
Calle San Pedro	967-1031	19	Canto García and Jablońska (2019)	Murcia	N 37° 59' 13"	W 1° 7' 48"
Calle Santa Julia	929-1012	263	Segovia Sopo (2014)	Mérida	N 38° 54' 58"	W 6° 20' 37"
Campo de la Verdad	775-912	176	Martín and Martín (2006)	Cordoba	N 37° 53' 29"	W 4° 46' 21"
Carmona	698-753	146	Canto García and Escudero (2012)	Carmona	N 37°28' 17"	W 5°38' 46"
Castilleios de Quintana	933-1010	39	Cravioto (2016)	Castilleios de Quintana	N 36°46'58 7"	W 4° 41' 30 9"
Castro Marim	788-885	53	Rodrigues Marinho (1995)	Castro-Marim	N 37° 13' 14"	W 7° 26' 36"
Cerro da Villa	831-900	239	Heidemann et al. (2018)	Cerro da Villa	N 37° 4' 48"	W 8° 7' 13"
Crevillent	770-1269	34	Doménech Belda and Trelis (1990)	Crevillent	N 38° 14' 59"	$W 0^{\circ} 48' 35''$
Cibuela	912-1016	296	Navascués y de Palacios (1961a)	Cihuela	N 41° 24' 26"	W 1° 59' 59"
Consuegra	835-1010	173	Martín Escudero (2011)	Consuegra	N 39° 27' 44"	W 3° 36' 28"
Cordoba I	817-1010	25	Navascués y de Palacios (1961b)	Cordoba	N 37º 53' 29"	W 4° 46' 21"
Cordoba II	033-053	328	Navascués y de Palacios (1951)	Cordoba	N 37° 53' 20"	$W 4^{\circ} 46' 21''$
Cordoba III	933-1021	370	Navascués y de Palacios (1958)	Cordoba	N 37° 53' 29"	$W 4^{\circ} 46^{\circ} 21^{\circ}$
Cordoba IV	708-796	110	Canto Carcía (1988)	Cordoba	N 37° 53' 29"	W 4° 46' 21 W 4° 46' 21"
Cova del Banderro	768-835	54	Doménech Belda (1997)	Pedreguer	N 38º 47' 35"	$E 0^{\circ} 2^{\circ} 2^{\circ}$
Cuba	022 1010	0	Martín Econdoro (2011)	Cuba	N 28° 10' 24"	$W 7^{\circ} 52' 46''$
Domingo Poroz	767 865	9 367	Martin and Martin (2002)	Domingo Péroz	N 37° 20' 45"	W 2° 20' 22"
Flebo	841 1173	316	Doménoch Bolda (1002)	Flebo	N 38° 15' 43"	$W = 50^{\circ} 30^{\circ} 30^{\circ}$
Electromoconicos I	041-1175	160	Marcos Pous and Vicent Zaragoza (1002)	Cordoba	N 37º 53' 20"	W 0 42 5 W 1º 46' 21"
Electromecanicas I	028-1016	109	Marcos Pous and Vicent Zaragoza (1992)	Cordoba	N 37° 53' 29"	W 4° 46' 21 W 4° 46' 21"
El Podrogo	028 1021	102	Conc Ávile and Martín Cómoz (2006)	Hagianda Mantagil El Padraga	N 27º 42'51 0"	W 5051'20 9"
	926-1021	144	Cano Ávila and Martin Gomez (2000)	El Dadara	N 37 43 31.9	W 5 51 59.8
El Pedroso III El Dahallar	032-1021	144 F	Callo Avlia and Gomez (2008)	Deele	N 37° 31 U N 409 49' 57"	$W 3^{2} 40 0$ W 30 54 50
El Rebollar	810-818	0 040	Salido Dominguez et al. (2020)		N 40° 42' 57"	W 3° 54' 59"
Finca la Marquesa	941-1036	246	Domenech Belda (1997)	Montilla	N 37°36'07.2"	W 4° 37'11.7"
Fontanar Frants de Cantas	941-977	104	Canto Garcia and Martin Escudero (2007)	Cordoba Evente de Center	N 37° 33° 29" N 380 15' 0"	$W 4^{\circ} 40^{\circ} 21^{\circ}$
Fuente de Cantos	837-883	10	Segovia Sopo (2006) Martín Erra lana (2002)	Fuente de Cantos	N 38° 15' 0" N 410 20' 21"	W 0° 18' 0"
Hospital Mintar	970-1052 710 750	20 100	Martín Escudero (2005) Martín Escudero (2012)	Laragoza	N 41° 59 21	W 0° 52 58
Huesca	710-750	100	Martin Escudero (2012)	Huesca region	N 97090/FC 1"	W 4009/41 C"
Izcar	768.010	50 1047	Ariza Armada (1988)	Cortijo de izcar	N 37 39 30.1"	W 4° 23'41.0"
Iznajar	708-912	1047	Canto Garcia and Marsal Moyano (1988)	Iznajar	N 37° 15' 27"	W 4° 18' 30"
Jaen	711-715	4	Gonzalez Garcia and Martinez Unico (2017)	Jaen region	N 900 101 141	W C0 4C1 01"
Jerez de los Caballeros	770-782	277	Canto Garcia (2019)	Jerez de los Caballeros	N 38° 19′ 14″	W 6° 46' 21"
La Almagra	820-822	10	Museo Arqueologico de Murcia (2014)	La Almagra	N 38° 2° 15° 20055'12 7"N	W 1° 20° 07″ 40002002 7″W
La Fuensanta	772.997	18	Cravioto and Ayala (1995)	Cerro la Fuensanta	30° 33° 13.7° IN N. 97010217 F."	4° 23° 23.7° W
Lantejueia	113-881	175	Ruiz Asencio (1907) $G = \hat{h} \hat{h} \hat{h} \hat{h} \hat{h} \hat{h} \hat{h} \hat{h}$	La Lantejuela	N 37° 19'17.5"	W 5° 13'27.0"
La Kinconada	770-912	315 10	Uano Avila and Martin Gomez (2005) $M_{\rm eff}$	La Kinconada	IN 37° 29′ 10″	W 5° 58′ 51″
Las Torres	757-976	18	Martinez Enamorado (2004)	Gavilanes	IN 40° 15′ 44″	W 4° 51′ 30″
L'Elca	933-950	31	Domenech Belda (1997)		IN 38° 55′ 10″	W U° 7′ 9″
Lieida	770-1463	40	Soler Balaguero (1993)	Lieida	IN 41° 37′ 7″	E 0~ 34′ 29″
Lora del Rio	941-1021	105	Pellicer i Bru (1985) $V_{\rm eff}$ (1985)	Lora del Rio	N 37° 39′ 32″	W 5° 31′ 39″
Los Villares	942 - 1028	112	Valle (1987)	Caudete de las Fuentes	n 39° 33′ 34"	W 1° 16' 42"

Appendix Table D.4: Hoards in al-Andalus, Part I

Hoard name	Date	# Coins described	Reference	Location	Latitude	Longitude
Madinat Iyyuh	711-856	20	Doménech Belda and Gutiérrez Lloret (2006)	El Tolmo de Minateda	N 38° 28' 34"	W 1° 36' 20"
Marroquies Altos	933-1010	270	Asencio (1962)	Jaen	N 37° 46' 9"	W 3° 47' 25"
Marroquies Bajos	941 - 1015	201	Canto García et al. (1997)	Jaen	N 37° 46' 9"	W 3° 47' 25"
Martos	817 - 875	24	Canto García (1993)	Cortijo del Mimbre	N 37°38'26.0"	W $3^{\circ}57'04.9"$
Merida	726-901	60	Rodríguez Palomo and Martín Escudero (2022)	Merida	N 38° 54' 58"	W $6^{\circ} 20' 37''$
Mertola	932 - 1036	81	Poiares (2000)	Mertola	N 37° 38' 34"	W 7° 39' 40"
Mijas Costa	932 - 976	533	Ayala Ruiz and Gozalbes Cravioto (1996)	La Cala de Mijas	N 36° 33' 56"	W 4° 40' 11"
Montellano	949 - 1010	23	Cano Ávila (2014)	Montellano	N 37°00'06.1"	W $5^{\circ}33'02.1"$
Moraleja	767 - 854	16	Álvarez (1993)	Moraleja	N 40° 0' 58"	W 6° 41' 51"
Moreria	857-1015	134	Palma García and Segovia Sopo (2007)	Merida	N 38° 54' 58"	W 6° 20' 37"
Niebla	805-884	36	Cano Ávila and Martín Gomez (2011)	Sierra de Alcantara	N 37°28'33.8"	W $6^{\circ}38'36.2"$
Osuna	954-1022	3	Alfaro Asins (1992)	Osuna	N 37° 14' 15"	W 5° 6' 11"
Parque Cruz conde	852-1021	3341	Canto García et al. (2020b)	Cordoba	N 37° 53' 29"	W 4° 46' 21"
Partida de Atzbares	941 - 970	26	Doménech Belda (1997)	Atzavares Baix (Elche)	N 38° 15' 43"	W 0° 42' 3"
Pascul de Gayangos	778 - 1204	159	Marinho (1993)	Algarve		
Pinos Puente	770-816	169	Martín Escudero (2011)	Pinos Puente	N 37° 15' 3"	W 3° 44' 58"
Pozoblanco	948 - 976	15	Marcos Pous and Vicent Zaragoza (1992)	Pozoblanco	N 38° 22' 44"	W 4° 50' 53"
Priego de Cordoba	770-856	54	Ávila and Pareja (1999)	Priego de Cordoba	N 37° 26' 17"	W 4° 11' 42"
Puebla de Cazalla	770-892	911	Ibrahim and Canto García (1991)	La Puebla de Cazalla	N 37° 13' 17"	W 5° 18' 41"
Puente de Miluze	934 - 1057	164	Canto García (2001)	Pamplona	N 42° 49' 0"	W 1° 38' 35"
Recopolis	772 - 785	9	Priego and Enciso (2016)	Recopolis	N $40^{\circ}19'15.1"$	W 2°53'37.7"
Sagrada Familia	945 - 1012	316	Marcos Pous and Vicent Zaragoza (1992)	Cordoba	N 37° 53' 29"	W 4° 46' 21"
San Andres de Ordoiz	782 - 908	167	Uranga (1950)	Estella-Lizarra	N 42°40' 19"	W $2^{\circ}01'$ 56"
Saqunda	707-930	467	Martín Escudero et al. (2023)	Cordoba	N 37° 53' 29"	W 4° 46' 21"
Sevilla	711-1011	497	Saenz-Diéz (1993)	Sevilla	N 37° 22' 58"	W 5° 58' 23"
Sierra Cazorla	928-1021	237	Pellicer i Bru (1982)	Sierra Cazorla	N 37° 54' 45"	W 2° 58' 34"
Silves	770-875	79	Miles (1960)	Silves	N 37° 11' 21"	W $8^{\circ} 26' 17''$
Sinarcas	942 - 1037	57	Arroyo Ilera (1989)	Sinarcas	N 39° 44' 0"	W 1° 14' 0"
Solar del Museo Arqueologico	953 - 1007	16	Marcos Pous and Vicent Zaragoza (1992)	Cordoba	N 37° 53' 29"	W 4° $46'$ $21''$
South France	692-886	204	Parvérie (2014, 2019)	South France		
Spain single finds (felus)	699-901	57	Martín Escudero (2012)	Spain		
Tarancon	929-1014	451	Canto García (2014)	Tarancon	N 40° 0' 30"	W 3° 0' 26"
Teatro romano	805-819	25	Segovia Sopo and Jiménez (2011)	Merida	N 38° 54' 58"	W 6° 20' 37"
Tignar	864-913	35	Motos Guirao and Díaz García (1985)	Albolote	N 37° 13' 51"	W 3° 39' 18"
Tijan	976-1021	377	Fontenla Ballesta (1998)	Sierra de Cabrera	N 37°06'30.3"	W 1°55'49.2"
Trujillo	711-1014	384	Navascués y de Palacios (1957)	Trujillo	N 39° 27' 28"	W 5° 52' 55"
Valencia de Ventoso	933-1006	7	Grañeda Miñón (2021)	Valencia del Ventoso	N 38° 16' 0"	W 6° 28' 0"
Valeria	936-1009	250	Puertas (1982)	Valeria	N 39° 47′ 0″	W 2° 9′ 0″
Valle de Guadajoz	931-1013	204	Ortega et al. (2006)	Fuentiduena (Baena)	N 37°43'42.1"	W 4°16'54.3"
Vega Baja	-200-1500	184	Priego (2020)	Toledo	N 39° 51′ 29″	W 4° 1′ 21″
Vera	941-1024	370	Domenech Belda (1997) $D \sim M_{\rm eff} (2007)$	Vera	N 37° 14′ 36″	W 1° 51' 32"
Villaviciosa	705-817	1361	Pena Martin and Vega Martin (2007)	villaviciosa de Cordoba	IN 38° 5′ 0″	W 5° 1' 0"
Yecla	705-726	5	Codera y Zaidin (1913)	Yecla	N 38° 39′ 18″	W 1° 7′ 46″
Zatra	789-892	43	Canto Garcia (2019)	Zatra	N 38° 25′ 31″	W 6° 25′ 2″
Zamora	943-999	10	Cerrato and Esquivel (2019)	Zamora	N 41° 30' 22"	W 5° 44' 40"

Appendix Table D.5: Hoards in al-Andalus, Part II

Hoard Name	Country	Reference	Latitude	Longitude
Ankara 1960?	Turkey	Pennas (1991) 122	39.9388	32.8594
Argos 1983	Greece	Pennas (1991) 8	37.6353	22.7277
Ayies Paraskies/Crete 1962	Greece	Pennas (1991) 59 / Füeg (2007)	35.209	25.2041
Bajagic	Croatia	Mirnik (1981)	43.7581	16.6657
Balchik Stray Find I	Bulgaria	Curta (2005) Oberländer Tårnevenn (2001) p.67	43.4119	28.1628
Bratimir	Bulgaria	Pennas (1991) 90	40.378 43.8682	26.1525 26.7044
But	Italy	Arslan (2005) 2280	46.4768	13.0246
Byala 1954	Bulgaria	Pennas (1991) 73	42.8739	27.8886
Calarasi 1947	Romania	Pennas (1991) 111, Dimian (1957)	44.2029	27.3115
Camarina	Italy	Arslan (2005) 6170	36.8279	14.5241
Camarina ed. 18	Italy	Arslan (2005) 6185	36.8279	14.5241
Camarina ed. 1a	Italy	Arslan (2005) 6181	36.8279	14.5241
Cana Schiso 1950	Italy Italy	Arsian (2005) 6910	30.8279 37.8244	14.5241 15.2684
Chryse/Edhessa 1935	Greece	Pennas (1991) 50 / Füeg (2007)	40.81	22.0446
Cleja	Romania	Pennas (1991) 113, Dimian (1957)	46.4019	26.9427
Constanta Stray I	Romania	Dimian (1957)	44.1777	28.6442
Constanta Stray II	Romania	Dimian (1957)	44.1777	28.6442
Corinth 15 May 1934 (South Basilica)	Greece	Pennas (1991) 3	37.9373	22.932
Corinth 1934	Greece	Pennas (1991) 7	37.9373	22.932
Corinth 1965 (Roman Bath)	Greece	Pennas (1991) 1 Pennas (1001) 4 (PCII 00, 1066, 751, 754)	37.9373	22.932
Corinth 1905 (Roman Bath) Corinth (St. John's monastery)	Greece	Pennas (1991) 4 (DCH 90, 1900, 751, 754) Pennas (1991) 9	37 9373	22.932
Didyma (single find)	Turkey	Baldus (2006)	37.3731	27.2639
Drobeta - Turnu Severin	Romania	Oberländer-Târnoveanu (2001) p.68	44.6425	22.6587
Drobeta 1928	Romania	Oberländer-Târnoveanu (2001) p.67	44.6425	22.6587
Dubravice	Croatia	Mirnik (1981)	43.8506	15.9398
Dubrovnik 1982	Croatia	Mosser (1935) p.71 ("Ragusa"), Mirnik (1981) 359	42.6489	18.094
Elazig	Turkey	Füeg (2007)	38.6747	39.2229
Elbistan Eskisobir	Turkey	Fueg (2007) Füeg (2007)	38.2016	37.1924
Gabrica	Bulgaria	Sophoulis (2011)	43 5082	26 9736
Govora	Romania	Oberländer-Târnoveanu (2001) p.68	45.0681	24.2302
Hadrianoupolis Acropolis Kimistene	Turkey	Laflı et al. (2016)	40.9231	32.4867
Hadrianoupolis Basilica A	Turkey	Laflı et al. (2016)	40.9231	32.4867
Hadrianoupolis Bath A	Turkey	Laflı et al. (2016)	40.9231	32.4867
Hadrianoupolis Bath B	Turkey	Lafli et al. (2016)	40.9231	32.4867
Hadrianoupolis Building 4	Turkey	Laffi et al. (2016) Laffi et al. (2016)	40.9231	32.4867
Hagios Nikolaos Hydra (Greece)	Greece	P_{ennas} (1996) p 270	40.9231 37 3011	52.4807 23 3967
Iatrus 1962	Bulgaria	Pennas (1991) 77	43.6262	25.587
Iatrus 1975	Bulgaria	Pennas (1991) 75	43.6262	25.587
Ipsala	Turkey	Füeg (2007)	40.9201	26.3828
Istria Stray Finds 869-877	Croatia	Miškec (2002)	45.1439	13.8259
Kavakli	Turkey	Unal (2018)	37.755	28.305
Kenchreal 1963 Kozolody, Bohomia	Greece	Pennas (1991) 2 Profestare (2000)	37.8833	22.9873
Kozojedy, Bonenna Kyme near Aliaga	Croatia	Carroccio cited by Morrisson (2017)	30.2548 38 7592	26 9367
Kyulevcha Grave	Bulgaria	Curta (2005)	43.2559	27.111
Lagbe	Turkey	Füeg (2007), Newell (1945)	36.8276	30.4112
Libice, Bohemia	Czechia	Profantova (2009)	50.1285	15.1815
Liopesi (around 1946)	Greece	Pennas (1991) 35 / Vryonis (1971)	37.9545	23.8521
Ljubimets	Bulgaria	Dimian (1957), Sophoulis (2011)	41.8466	26.0781
Luka Krnicka Magyangka Mitraviaa	Croatia	Miskec (2002) Popped (1001) 72	44.9723	14.0171
Malthi (Dorion)	Greece	Pennas (1991) 6	$37\ 267$	21 8824
Maluk Povorets 1934	Romania	Pennas (1991) 74	43.7133	26.7652
Matera Piazza S. Francesco	Italy	Arslan (2005) 4140	40.6654	16.6087
Medias	Romania	Oberländer-Târnoveanu (2001) p.68, Dimian (1957)	46.1621	24.3567
Melito Porto Salvo	Italy	Arslan (2005) 0450	37.9197	15.7857
Mikulcice	Czechia	Profantova (2009)	48.8167	17.0516
Monemvasia Stray Find	Greece	Pennas (1996), p. 270	36.6876 27.0567	23.0559
Naxos Nea Syllata/Chalkidiki 1977	Greece	$P_{\text{ucg}}(2007)$ Pennas (1991) 52	37.0007 40.3275	∠0.4008 23 136
Nin	Croatia	Mirnik (1981)	44.2392	15.1791
Odartsi	Bulgaria	Sophoulis (2011)	43.44	27.9616
Osava near Ram	Serbia	Füeg (2007)	44.8006	21.3433
Osvetimany	Czechia	Profantova (2009)	49.0562	17.2496

Appendix Table D.6: Hoards with Byzantine coins, Part I $\frac{37}{37}$

Hoard Name	Country	Reference	Latitude	Longitude
Oszony, Komarom	Hungary	Oberländer-Târnoveanu (2001) p.68	47.7295	18.1751
Piran	Italy	Arslan (2005) 2808	45.5279	13.5694
Pliska	Bulgaria	Füeg (2007)	43.362	27.1228
Prague, Tynsky dur	Czechia	Profantova (2009)	50.073	14.4286
Rakvice (Breclav)	Czechia	Profantova (2009)	48.8559	16.813
Rasova 1934	Romania	Pennas (1991) 112, Dimian (1957)	44.2403	27.9414
Reggio Calabria	Italy	Arslan (2005) 0670	38.0947	15.6455
Rhodos Stray Find 859	Greece	Kasdagli (2018)	36.436	28.2221
Rhodos V.12 (Kattavia)	Greece	Kasdagli (2018)	35.9534	27.7683
Rome / Tiber	Italy	Morrisson and Barrandon (1988)	41.8882	12.4768
Salamis (South of Amphitheatre, 1964-1974)	Turkey	Füeg (2007)	35.1914	33.8979
Santorini (Thira) 1895-1902	Greece	Pennas (1991) 57	36.4058	25.4588
Sicily (Fagerlie)	Italy	Fagerlie (1974)	37.5732	14.2114
Songurlu / Mosser	Turkey	Füeg (2007) / Mosser (1935)	40.1627	34.3767
Stare Mesto	Czechia	Profantova (2009)	49.0727	17.4463
Stimanga 1955	Greece	Pennas (1991) 5 (BCH 80, 1956, 256)	37.909	22.6989
Streda nad Bodrogom	Slovakia	Profantova (2009)	48.3785	21.758
Syracuse Via G. Di Natale	Italy	Arslan (2005) 7335	37.0724	15.2845
Tegani/Samos 1914	Greece	Pennas (1991) 58	37.6904	26.9417
Telerig Stray Miliaresion	Bulgaria	Curta (2005)	43.8457	27.671
Thessaloniki	Greece	Füeg (2007)	40.652	22.9304
Thessaloniki 1891	Greece	Pennas (1991) 51	40.652	22.9304
Tichilesti	Romania	Dimian (1957)	45.1291	27.9045
Tralleis/Aydin	Turkey	Ünal (2015)	37.8591	27.8335
Trilj	Croatia	Mirnik (1981)	43.6187	16.7241
Unknown Provenance (Turkey) 1987	Turkey	Pennas (1991) 123	39.2963	32.9327
Urluia 1936	Romania	Dimian (1957) , Sophoulis (2011)	44.1016	27.9132
Velul lui Trajan	Romania	Pennas (1991) 105	44.1647	28.4621
Velul lui Trajan 1999/2000	Romania	Mănucu-Adameșteanu (2016)	44.1647	28.4621
Voila, Romania	Romania	Dimian (1957)	45.818	24.8405
Vukovar - Lijeva Bara	Croatia	Mirnik (1981)	45.3382	19.0079
Yakimovo (Progorelets) 1960	Bulgaria	Pennas (1991) 91	43.6337	23.3621
Yunak	Bulgaria	Pennas (1991) 76	43.0763	27.6109

Appendix Table D.7: Hoards with Byzantine coins, Part II

Hoard Name	Date	Reference	Location	Latitude	Longitude
Aalst	840-855	Bijsterveld et al. (2000)	Aalst	51.39611	5.477
Aalsum	814-855	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Aalsum	53.3403	6.00538
Achlum	768-840	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Achlum	53.14779	5.48239
Alfocea	943-977	Parvérie (2018)	Alfocea	41.724097	-0.953131
Amerongen	768-877	Coupland (2014)	Amerongen	52.0025	5.46024
Ampurias	768-814	Doménech-Belda et al. (2013)	Ampurias	42.134477	3.111418
Andalusia	814-848	Parvérie (2018)	Andalusia		
Angeac-Champagne	840-877	Duplessy (1985)	Angeac-Champagne	45.60769	-0.29771
Angers I	814-840	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Angers	47.4707	-0.55324
Angers II (Saint-Julien)	819-877	Haertle (1997)	Angers	47.4707	-0.55324
Anglure	864-887	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Anglure	48.58345	3.81356
ANS find	768-922	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	France		
Anse I	818-823	Guillemain (1993)	Anse	45,937639	4.717512
Anserall	768-815	Doménech-Belda et al. (2013)	Anserall	42.37829	1.456511
Apremont	793-822	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Apremont-sur-Allier	46.906	3.048
Aquitaine	814-887	Coupland (1991)	Aquitaine		0.0.00
Ardres	888-923	Haertle (1997)	Ardres	50 856432	1 978355
Arras	843-922	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Arras	50.29039	2.778414
Ashdon	843-898	Blackburn (1989)	Ashdon	52.05544	0.31373
Aspres-lès-Corps	901-924	Schulze (1984)	Aspres-lès-Corps	44 80162	5 98217
Assebroek	843-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Assebroek	51 18793	3 27363
Assen	800-911	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Assen	52 99421	6 55957
Auverre	813-877	Haertle (1997)	Auverre	47796587	3 570535
Auzeville	814-848	Sarah et al. (2016)	Auzeville	43 5257	1 49342
Avallon	843-877	Coupland (2020)	Avallon	47 488712	3 007758
Avignon	843-811	Morrison and Crunthal (1067)	Avignon	47.400712	4 80601
Bakonyezombathalu	808 073	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Bakonyezombatholy	45.35544	4.00001
Balloo	843 855	Hoortlo (1007)	Balloo	54 472363	5 60076
Barbortano	843-855	Morrison and Crunthal (1067)	Danoo	12 20042	-5.05070
Baraelona	814-840	Doménoch Boldo et al. (2012)	Darbeitane	43.09940	4.74055
Darceiona	014-040 014 076	Courdend (2010)	barcelolla	41.393937	2.174002
Dassennenn Dátanara Vasiba	014-070	Coupland (2019) Variant (1080)	Dassennenn Dátarana Kasiba	00.009020 47.0000	1.402445
Datorove Rosiny	000-930 042 077	Morrison and Crumthal (1067)	Batorove Rosiny	47.03003	10.41000
Deaumont	045-011	Morrison and Grunthal (1907)	Soint Mone)	48.409010	2.042742
Dal Ain	769 914	Marrison and Crumthal (1067)	Saint Mars)	46 57057	6 605907
Del-Alf Dellauia	100-014	Deménach Balda et al. (2012)	Dallauin	40.07907	0.005607
Delipting	769 940	Morrison and Crunthal (1067)	Delipting	41.020001	1.011007
Belvezet	108-840	Morrison and Grunthal (1907)	Belvezet	44.08433	4.30420
Bikbergen Diemedaum	814-800	Cruysneer and der Veen (2015)	Bikbergen Bismedmun	52.287933 E4 02201	0.22867
Blendrup	817-924	Coupland (2020)	Blenderup	54.93391	9.32807
Blendecques	814-840	Coupland (2020)	Blendecques	50.716982	2.282109
Blighy	814-887	Morrison and Grunthal (1907)	Bligny	48.1725	4.0172
Blois	898-940	Moesgaard (1997)	Blois	47.58696	1.33139
Bondeno	768-814	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Bondeno	44.89098	11.41096
Bonnevaux	800-887	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Bonnevaux	44.367837	4.030289
Borne	794-813	Coupland (2011a)	Borne	52.30137	6.75779
Bourges	840-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Bourges	47.08585	2.39293
Bourges	800-887	Coupland (2020)	Bourges	47.08585	2.39293
Bourgneuf	814-888	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Bourgneuf	46.167624	-1.022216
Bourgneuf-en-Ketz	843-877	Coupland (2010)	Bourgneuf-en-Retz	47.04229	-1.9543
Bray-sur-Seine	840-877	Vandenbossche and Coupland (2012)	Bray-sur-Seine	48.41451	3.24057
Bressuire	814-840	Coupland (1995)	Bressuire	46.84008	-0.49253
Breuvery-sur-Coole	768-813	Dhenin (1989)	Breuvery-sur-Coole	48.86311	4.31164
Brion	814-840	Denais (1908)	Brion	47.4425	-0.1553
Brioux-sur-Boutonne	814-840	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Brioux-sur-Boutonne	46.14349	-0.21823
Bruère-Allichamps	814-954	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Bruère-Allichamps	46.7695	2.4325
Burgum	843-877	Haertle (1997)	Burgum	53.19527	5.98694
Caden	843-877	Coupland (2020)	Caden	47.630822	-2.287131
Caen	936-954	Coupland (2020)	Caen	49.183512	-0.363489
Calatrava la vieja		Parvérie (2018)	Calatrava la Vieja	39.074099	-3.833274
Campeaux	813-877	Haertle (1997)	Campeaux	48.952844	-0.93197
Carcassonne	768-814	Coupland (2014)	Carcassonne	43.206463	2.363268
Castelsarasin	888-898	Morrison and Grunthal (1967) and Lafaurie (1965)	Castelsarasin	44.039071	1.106969
Catalonia	768-905	Balaguer (1999) and Doménech-Belda et al.	Calalonia		
Cauroir	843-882	(2013) Coupland (2011a)	Cauroir	50,17283	3.30174
Cerdanyola	814-840	Doménech-Belda et al. (2013)	Cerdanyola	41.49201	2.137338
Cerveník	826-950	()	Cerveník	48.45	17.75

Appendix Table D.8: Carolingian Hoards, Part I

Chalesy638-63Morrison and Crunulal (1967)Chales, Suit-Mars, M. 200745.07220.071Chalos sur-Sañore8.0887Morrison and Crunulal (1967)Chalos sur-Sañore45.78124.86489Chalor sur-Sañore8.5887Corphand (2011a)Chalos sur-Sañore45.78124.86489Charton II898-897Corphand (2011a)Chalor sur-Sañore84.40051.88590Charton II70.787Morrison and Crunulal (1967)Charton II Ansain (1967)45.40051.88590Charton II93.877Norrison and Crunulal (1967)Charton II Ansain (1967)45.78732.93783Charton II83.847Norrison and Crunulal (1967)Charton II Ansain (1967)1.835332.93923Charvigry83.8437Norrison and Crunulal (1967)Charton II Ansain (1967)1.835332.93923Charyang88.848Hearth (1997)Charton II Ansain (1967)1.830503.03061Charyang88.848Hearth (1997)Charyang4.941772.93073Charyang88.848Hearth (1997)Charyang4.941772.93073Charyang88.848Hearth (1997)Charyang4.941772.93073Charyang84.9477Cognala (2021)Charyang4.941772.93073Charyang84.9477Cognala (2021)Charyang4.941772.93073Charyang84.9477Cognala (2014)Corpore (1972)2.931733.9308061Charyang84.9477Cognala (2014)Corpore (1972)2.94174 </th <th>Hoard Name</th> <th>Date</th> <th>Reference</th> <th>Location</th> <th>Latitude</th> <th>Longitude</th>	Hoard Name	Date	Reference	Location	Latitude	Longitude
Chale-Saint-Mars 84.027 2077 Chalos-sur-Saone II 80.087 Morrison and Cranuthal (1967) Chalos-sur-Saone 46.72212 4.858400 Chalos-sur-Saone II 800-887 Itaertie (1977) Chalos-sur-Saone 46.72212 4.858400 Charmer Marinine 800-887 Itaertie (1977) Charters 48.41675 1.488500 Charters II 751-768 Morrison and Cranuthal (1967) Charters 48.41675 1.488500 Charters II 751-768 Morrison and Cranuthal (1967) Charters Marcilly 45.3277 2.046077 2.046077 2.046077 Charters Marcilly 45.3277 2.040074 0.03436 Charters II Morrison and Cranuthal (1967) Charters Marcilly 45.3077 2.080074 0.03436 Charters II 1998 Societ de santiquares de l'Ouer (1982) Charters Marcilly 45.3077 2.80674 Charters II 83.8897 Horrison and Cranuthal (1967) Charvers Marcell 45.3077 2.80513 Charters II 83.8807 Morrison and Cranuthal (1967) Charvers IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	Chaley	936-954	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Chaley	45.9552	5.53122
Chalos aurSaloe I 500887 Hert (1997) Chalos aurSaloe 40.723.22 4.538.459 Chalor aurSanne III 50887 Hort (1997) Chalor aurSaloe 40.723.22 4.538.459 Chartene-Mairine 52377 Dipport (1957) Chartene-Mairine 44.4059 1.58550 Chartene Mairine 70.977 Diport (1977) Chartene Mairine 42.710278 2.9104677 Chartene Mairine 70.9577 Illerit (1997) Chartene Monsullan 42.710278 2.9104677 Chartene Marrine 83.43877 Sociel de santquarize de l'Oust (1987) Chartener aurSharrine 45.0934 0.00506 Chartene 83.0921 Haert (1997) and Rondle (1889) Chartener 43.09311 3.005244 0.00506 2.50437 5.095696 2.50437 5.095696 2.50437 5.095696 2.50437 5.095696 2.50437 5.095696 2.50437 5.095696 2.50437 5.095696 2.50427 5.095696 2.50427 5.095696 2.50427 2.50417 2.50417 2.50417 2.504172 2.50417 2.50417	Chalo-Saint-Mars	840-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Chalo-Saint-Mars	48.4267	2.067
Chalos.sur.Soone II 900-857 Haerth (1977) Chalos.sur.Soone 46.72.22 45.85459 Charters I 223-977 Dupbesy (1985) Charters Martine 45.44665 1.458596 Charters I 75.768 Marriso and Genathal (1967) Charters III 45.44665 1.458596 Charters II 75.768 Marriso and Genathal (1967) Charters III 45.7733 2.219022 Charters III 75.778 Narriso and Genathal (1967) Charters Marriso 45.1974 40.1345 Charters III 75.8646 46.8773 Société des antiquaites de l'Ouet (1982) Charter 45.1993 -0.06860 Chebroutome 808-924 Webster et al. (1985) Chebroutome 50.1993 -0.1993 Chebry Janard 838-838 Haette (1997) Chary-aur-Marrae 45.98671 5.198696 Chebry Janard 838-838 Haette (1997) Chary-aur-Marrae 45.4477 2.88077 Chebry Janard 838-838 Graphan (2014) Carrae Chary-aur-Marrae 45.4478 2.92257 Complage III 877.889	Chalon-sur–Saône I	800-887	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Chalon-sur-Saône	46.782132	4.858459
Charene - Maritime S88-898 Coupland (2011a) Charene - Maritime states Chartes II 751-768 Morrison and Granthal (1967) Chartes 44.4053 1.488806 Chartes II 751-768 Morrison and Granthal (1967) Chartes 44.4053 1.488806 Chartes II 751-768 Morrison and Granthal (1967) Chartes II 44.4053 1.488806 Chartes II 851-878 Societ de status Chartes II 45.1063 2.78744 Chartyley 854-878 Morrison and Granthal (1967) Chartes II 45.10934 0.08966 Chebror 858-898 Henric (1907) and Rondier (1869) Chebror 5.10064 -0.08966 Chebror 7.8889 Compland (2010) Chebror 4.01071 2.8917 Complage II 854-88 Compland (2014) Complage 4.01722 2.82513 Complage II 854-887 Morrison and Granthal (1967) Complage 4.01722 2.82513 Complage II 854-887 Morrison and Granthal (1967) Complage 4.01722 2.82513	Chalon-sur-Saone II	800-887	Haertle (1997)	Chalon-sur-Saône	46.782132	4.858459
Chartes 923 677 Duplesy (1985) Charters 45.446639 1.485806 Charten Roussillon 733-75 Harctic (1977) Chartensum and Arteries 45.446639 1.485806 Charten Roussillon 733-77 Harctic (1977) Chartensum and Roussillon 2.710278 2.91067 Charten Roussillon 833-877 Societé des antiquaires de l'Ouest (1982) Chartensum and Roussillon 45.6974 0.64351 Chebeloutonne 804-922 Lacette (1997) and Ronder (1899) Chebeloutonne 45.19841 -2.89417 Cheby-sur-Marne 888-492 Wobster et al. (1053) Cheizy-sur-Marne 45.899611 3.366294 Cheiyo-Barne 888-4921 Comphand (2020) Cheiron-Liferand 45.771803 3.083066 Complexent Ferrand 843-817 Comphand (2014) Coare Cours-sur-Life 47.0988 2.92425 Conzea Cuars-sur-Loire III 814-877 Morison and Granthal (1967) Coareal Cuars-sur-Life 47.0988 2.92425 Coarea Cuars-sur-Loire II 814-877 Morison and Granthal (1967) Coareal Cuars-sur-Loire 47.10898 2.92425 <t< td=""><td>Charente-Maritime</td><td>888-898</td><td>Coupland (2011a)</td><td>Charente-Maritime</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Charente-Maritime	888-898	Coupland (2011a)	Charente-Maritime		
Chatren Konsellon 73-74-77 Haert (1997) Chatren Rousellon 2.42053 1.48850 (Chatron Konsellon 73-75 Haert (1997) Chatren Rousellon 2.42052 1.23052 2.32052 (Chatron Rouse Marcelly 4.4577 Morrison and Cruthal (1967) Chatren Rousellon 4.4578 (Chatron 2.43053 2.32052 (Chatron 2.43053 2.30536 (Chatron 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 (Chatron 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 (Chatron 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 (Chatron 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 (Chatron 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 (Chatron 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 (Chatron 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 (Chatron 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 (Chatron 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 (Chatron 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 (Chatron 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 (Chatron 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 (Chatron 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 (Chatron 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 (Chatron 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 (Chatron 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 2.43053 (Chatron 2.43053 2.43053 (Chatron 2.43053 2.4305	Chartes	923 - 977	Duplessy (1985)	Chartres	48.446659	1.488596
Chatesune Roussillon 703-577 Haerthe (1997) Chatesune fuer Neurons Chatesune fuer Cher 2.940667 Chatesune fuer Web 814-557 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Chatesune fuer Cher 5.75733 2.320022 Chauvagny 814-577 Societi des astriguants de l'Ouset (1982) Chatysigny 46.5074 0.64135 Chef Bourome 808-522 Herrite (1971) Chef Southmer 48.90611 3.305290 Chef Southmer 88.898 Haerthe (1997) Chef Southmer 49.4177 2.830571 Chef Southmer 84.3417 Societi de la striguants 60.90120 Chei Southmer 49.41772 2.83057 Complighte I 87.882 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Complighte 49.41762 2.83013 Complex end Allier 81.4847 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Come Cours-sur-Loine 47.40883 2.94245 Come Cours-sur-Loine II 81.4847 Compland (2014) Corrèse 2.80137 Come Cours-sur-Loine II 87.7842 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Cours out Allier 3.7533 2.80247	Chartres II	751 - 768	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Chartres	48.446659	1.488596
Chancesunerf sur Cher 843-64 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Charaument Marchilly 42, 23062 2, 230622 (Charvigny 643-677 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Charvigny 46, 5677 (Charvigny 46, 5677 (Charvigny 643-677 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Charvigny 46, 5677 (Charvigny 46, 5677 (Charvigny 643-677 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Charvigny 46, 5677 (Charvigny 642, 5784) (Charvigny 644, 5784) (Charvigny 6	Château Roussillon	793-877	Haertle (1997)	Château Roussillon	42.710278	2.946667
Chammoux-Marelly 814-37 Softwise Chawing 814-37 Softwise 2.7784 Charviguy 843-37 Softwise 61031 Charviguy 46.1894 -0.6335 Cheits 800-322 Hartie (197) and Kondier (1889) Cheits 46.1894 -0.6336 Cheits 88-34 Michaer et al. (193) Cheits 46.1894 -0.6360 Choy Dimant 88-38 Hartie (197) Cheits 5.08946 5.08946 Compoligne I 87-382 Comphant (2020) Cheits 2.83013 5.089466 Compoligne I 843-377 Compland (2020) Compoligne 49.41762 2.82513 Compione II 843-877 Compland (2014) Correlace Come Course-aur-Loire 11 87.7440 2.82523 Compoligne I 87.7484 Coupland (2014) Correlace 2.82425 Compoligne 1 2.83027 Come-Course-aur-Loire II 87.7440 Forminat (1967) Course-Course-aur-Loire 7.14988 2.92425 Compoligne I 84.3477 Corriso and Granuthal (1967) <t< td=""><td>Chateauneuf sur Cher</td><td>843-954</td><td>Morrison and Grunthal (1967)</td><td>Chateauneuf sur Cher</td><td>46.857333</td><td>2.320522</td></t<>	Chateauneuf sur Cher	843-954	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Chateauneuf sur Cher	46.857333	2.320522
Charving 343-37 Societé des antiquires de l'Oust (192) Chaving 46.3674 0.64315 Cheiter 888-942 Micrie (1907) and I fondir (1869) Ché-Boutonne 45.1983 2.89837 Cheiter 888-942 Micrie (1907) and I fondir (1869) Ché-Boutonne 45.19801 3.19024 Cheiry Junat 888-942 Douplaot (2020) Cheny-sur-Marne 50.298773 2.08366 Compigne I 878-982 Compigne I 57.7803 3.08396 Compigne I 49.41762 2.8813 Conse d'Allier 61.4578079 2.88137 Compigne I 49.41762 2.88137 Cosne d'Allier 81.840 Coupland (2014) Corrèce 67.470983 2.92425 Cordon 81.847 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Cosne-Cours-sur-Loire II 81.847 Norrison and Grunthal (1967) Corsene-Cours-sur-Loire II 31.8477 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Cordon a 1.7.7125 -0.09975 Casend Allier 81.8481 Coupland (2014) Corrado 5.3.7833 -2.638 Cosna 81.8497	Chaumoux-Marcilly	814-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Chaumoux-Marcilly	47.12628	2.77884
Cheitschutomie 808-922 Heartie (1997) and Romfer (1899) Cheitz Cheitz 40.0884 -0.00840 Cheizy aur Marne 788-814 Duplessy (1985) Cheizy aur Marne 48.98611 3.36624 Cheizy aur Marne 88.9824 Wolkster et al. (1997) Cheizy aur Marne 48.98611 3.36624 Cheizy Dinam 88.983 Horrison and Camubal (2020) Chery Berner 49.77703 2.89967 Compiègne I 87.7882 Complexit Complexit 49.47702 2.82513 Comprègne I 81.877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Comprègne I 6.47799 2.80127 Cosne Cours-sur-Loire II 81.8477 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Cosne Cours-sur-Loire II 47.4083 2.92425 Cosne Cours-sur-Loire III 87.840 Haertie (1997) Cosne Cours-sur-Loire II 17.25 Coreydon 88.947 Kovics (1989) Cosne Cours-sur-Loire II 17.25 Caredale 84.922 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Dalen 3.269847 6.76641 Dauphiné 81.8478 Société é sta	Chauvigny	843-877	Société des antiquaires de l'Ouest (1982)	Chauvigny	46.56974	0.64345
Chester S88.94 Wendster al. (106.) Chester S.J. Mail -2.894.0 Chiey-sur-Marne S88.94 Vendster al. (106.) Chester SJ. Mail -2.894.0 Chey Dinant S88.94 Compland (2020) Chermont-Ferrand SJ. S08.906 Complegne I S77.852 SJ. S08.906 Complegne 49.41762 2.89513 Complegne I S77.852 Morrison and Cruthal (1067) Complegne 49.41762 2.89513 Conse d'Allier S1.848 Complegne 47.40883 2.92425 Cosene Cours-sur-Loire II S1.8477 Morrison and Gruthal (1067) Cosene Cours-sur-Loire 17.47083 2.92425 Cosene Cours-sur-Loire II S1.8477 Morrison and Gruthal (1067) Coryoton 51.372827 -0.09757 Caser Cours-sur-Loire II S1.84784 Complegne I Coryoton 51.37327 -0.2638 Caser Cours-sur-Loire II S1.8484 Complegne I Coryotan (2014) Doughin -7.6167 1.7.55 Caser Cours-sur-Loire II S1.84848 Compland (2014) Dou	Chef-Boutonne	800-922	Haertle (1997) and Rondier (1869) $W_{\rm b}$ (1052)	Chef-Boutonne	46.10934	-0.06806
	Chém au Marca	888-924	Webster et al. (1953)	Chérry ave Marria	03.1903 49.090611	-2.89437
$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Complexe} & \mbo$	Choige on Pag	100-014	Duplessy (1985) Heartle (1997)	Choigy an Pag	40.909011	3.300294 3.88007
	Cinox Dipant	808 022	Coupland (2020)	Cinoy	49.44777	2.00097
	Clormont Forrand	843 018	Coupland (2020)	Clormont Forrand	45 778063	3.0983606
Compiègne II 843-887 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Compiègne 49.41762 2.82513 Come d'Allier 843-877 Coupland (2014) Contrèse 49.41762 2.83013 Conne d'Allier 814-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Conse-Cours-sur-Loire 44.40983 2.92425 Conne-Cours-sur-Loire 17.40983 2.92425 Conse-Cours-sur-Loire 47.40983 2.92425 Conne-Cours-sur-Loire 17.40983 2.92425 Conse-Cours-sur-Loire 47.40983 2.92425 Coronclo 17.37540 Haertle (1997) Coronclo 51.379287 -0.09975 Courdale 843-927 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Dauphiné 52.69847 6.75641 Dauphiné 814-847 Sociét de statistique, sciences, letres et ars Dours's sciences 52.69847 6.75641 Dauphiné 70-9780 Bompaire and Depierre (1989) Donmartin-Lettrée 48.14081 2.766333 Doreitses 750-950 Coupland (2014) Doreitsed 51.97212 5.344769 Drantim 814-8477 <td< td=""><td>Compiègne I</td><td>877-882</td><td>Coupland (2020)</td><td>Compiègne</td><td>49 41762</td><td>2 82513</td></td<>	Compiègne I	877-882	Coupland (2020)	Compiègne	49 41762	2 82513
	Compiègne II	843-882	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Compiègne	49 41762	2.02010 2.82513
Come of Allier 814-840 Compland (2014) Come of Allier 44.474799 2.830127 Come-Cours-sur-Loire 11.81-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Come-Cours-sur-Loire 47.40983 2.92425 Come-Cours-sur-Loire 47.6093 2.92425 Come-Cours-sur-Loire 47.6093 2.92425 Compdon 814-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Correlate 51.379287 -0.00975 Comeration 843-976 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Currelate 53.7533 -2.638 Dalen 53.67824 Rotico and Grunthal (1967) Durelate Durelate 2.0847 6.75641 Dauptiné Bompaire and Depierer (1989) Duijon 47.3268 5.04619 Dornartuin 6004327 Grossad 65.05629 3.77633 2.63847 6.75641 Daratum 760-850 Coupland (2014) Dordives Dordives 48.14081 2.76633 Dordives 750-950 Coupland (2020) Ejstrup 5.03629 3.77413 Eistrup 81-8477 Morrison and Grun	Corrèze	843-877	Coupland (2014)	Corrèze	10.11102	2.02010
Come-Cours-sur-Loire II 814-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Cosme-Cours-sur-Loire 47.40983 2.92425 Corgodon 814-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Cosme-Cours-sur-Loire 47.40983 2.92425 Corgodon 838-902 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Corerale 53.755.3 -2.638 Ouerclake 843-902 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Dalen 52.69847 6.76441 Daulen Société de statistique, sciences, lettres et a Daulen 52.69847 6.76441 Dauphiné 814-848 Coupland (2014) Daux-Sèvres	Cosne d'Allier	814-840	Coupland (2014)	Cosne d'Allier	46.474799	2.830127
Cosne-Cours-sur-Loire III 877-840 Haertle (1997) Corrydon 47.40983 2.92425 Coroydon 814-877 Morison and Grunthal (1967) Cororan 47.6167 17.25 Cuerdale 834-922 Morison and Grunthal (1967) Cuerdale 53.7553 2.6381 Dalen 843-976 Morison and Grunthal (1967) Dalen 52.69847 6.75641 Dauphiné 814-877 Société de statistique, sciences, lettres et arts du département des Deux-Sèvres Deux-Sèvres 5.04619 Dommartin-Lettrée 923-936 Doupsey (1985) Dijon 47.3268 5.04619 Doratum 814-877 Société de statistique, sciences, lettres et arts du département des Deux-Sèvres 48.7669 4.29833 Doratum 814-840 Coupland (2014) Dordives 5.04619 Drantum 814-840 Haertle (1997) Drantum 5.34129 1.89377 Elistrup 814-840 Coupland (2020) Ejstrup 5.35025 5.03252 5.03252 5.03252 5.03252 5.03425 5.03425 5.03425 5.	Cosne-Cours-sur-Loire II	814-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Cosne-Cours-sur-Loire	47.40983	2.92425
Croydon 814-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Croydon 61.379287 -0.09975 Cuerdale 838-922 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Cuerdale 53.7553 -2.638 Dalen 834.976 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Dalen 52.698.47 6.75641 Dauphiné 814.848 Coupland (2014) Dauphiné 52.698.47 6.75641 Deux-Sèvres 814.8487 Société de statistique, sciences, lettres et arts Dauphiné 47.3268 5.04619 Dormartin-Lettrée 923-930 Dorpleser (1989) Dommartin-Lettrée 48.7669 4.29933 Dordress 750-950 Coupland (2014) Dorestadt 61.97122 5.44769 Drantum 814.840 Haertle (1997) Darestadt 61.90226 5.477452 Eksteren 81.9877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Eksteren 51.276105 4.74647 Elkhotter 81.9877 Coupland (2020) Eksteren 51.276105 4.74672 Eksteren 81.9877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Ekmen <t< td=""><td>Cosne-Cours-sur-Loire III</td><td>877-840</td><td>Haertle (1997)</td><td>Cosne-Cours-sur-Loire</td><td>47.40983</td><td>2.92425</td></t<>	Cosne-Cours-sur-Loire III	877-840	Haertle (1997)	Cosne-Cours-sur-Loire	47.40983	2.92425
Csorna 888-947 Kowics (1989) Counclabe 47.0107 17.25 Ouenclabe 843-976 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Daneh 52.69847 6.75641 Dauphiné 814-848 Couphand (2014) Dauphiné Dauphiné 52.69847 6.75641 Deux-Sevres 814-847 Société de statistique, sciences, lettres et arts du département des Deux-Sevres (1882) Deux-Sevres 48.7669 4.29933 Dordives 750-950 Coupland (2014) Dordives 48.14408 2.760333 Dorstat 750-950 Coupland (2014) Dordives 48.14408 2.760333 Dorstat 750-950 Coupland (2014) Dordives 48.14408 2.761434 Drantum 814-846 Coupland (2020) Ejstrup 55.50352 > 3.37741 2.819437 Ejstrup 81-8477 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Elmen 51.2676(203) 4.17467 Ellikon an der Thur 819-877 Haertle (1997) Emmen 52.49784 6.20399 Entammes 814-847 Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Croydon	814-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Croydon	51.379287	-0.09975
Cuerdale 843-922 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Cuerdale 53.7533 -2.638 Dahen 843-972 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Dauphiné 52.69847 6.75611 Dauphiné 814-848 Coupland (2014) Dauphiné Dauphiné Deux-Sèvres - du département des Deux-Sèvres (1882) Deux-Sèvres 48.7669 4.29033 Dordives 750-950 Coupland (2014) Dordives 48.14081 2.766333 Dordives 750-950 Coupland (2014) Dordives 48.14081 2.54179 Drattum 814-840 Haertle (1997) Doratum 82.6902 7.74528 Ejktrup 81.9877 Haertle (1997) Eikeren 5.1276405 4.174678 Ejktrup 81.8477 Coupland (2010) Eikeren 5.1276405 4.23033 Entammes 81.4477 Coupland (2014) Entammes 4.1375858 6.240784 Elkeren 81.4577 Coupland (2014) Entammes 4.1375868 6.20079 Elkeren 81.4	Csorna	888-947	Kovács (1989)	Csorna	47.6167	17.25
Dalen 84.849 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Dalen 52.69847 6.75641 Dauphiné 81.4584 Coupland (2014) Dauphiné Daux-Sèvres 81.4877 Société de statistique, sciences, lettres et arts du département des Deux-Sèvres (1882) Deux-Sèvres Deux-Sèvres Deux-Sèvres 4.814408 2.9033 Dordives 750-950 Coupland (2014) Dordives 4.814408 2.760333 Dorstatum 81.8440 Coupland (2014) Dordives 4.814408 2.819537 Distentation 911-922 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Drantum 52.81942 8.19537 Eichstetten 911-922 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Eikeren 5.03025 2.9.377411 Ekeren 81.4877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Elkoran der Thur 47.6623 8.8236 Emmen 81.4877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Elkoran der Thur 47.96913 3.0.716154 Expana 1-4 800-1009 Parvérie (2018) Calatayud 41.35268 -1.611101 Etarédy 83.4877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Etremadura <td< td=""><td>Cuerdale</td><td>843-922</td><td>Morrison and Grunthal (1967)</td><td>Cuerdale</td><td>53.7553</td><td>-2.638</td></td<>	Cuerdale	843-922	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Cuerdale	53.7553	-2.638
Dauphné 814-848 Coupland (2014) Daux-Sèvres Deux-Sèvres 814-847 Société de statistique, sciences, lettres et Baus Daux-Sèvres Dijon 770-780 Bompaire and Depierre (1889) Doimartin-Lettrée 48,7669 4,29933 Dordnves 750-950 Coupland (2014) Doordives 48,144081 2,76633 Dordtves 768-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Dorestadt 5,97212 5,344769 Drantum 814-840 Haertle (1997) Deratum 28,1942 8,19537 Elichstetten 911-922 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Elsteren 51,276405 4,17467 Elikon an der Thur 819-877 Coupland (2014) Entrammes 52,4974 6,22039 Entrammes 814-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Etanpes 43,35286 -1,641101 Etanpes 843-882 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Etarendura 49,05756 0,37632 Etyguières 843-882 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Etrechy 48,184768 1,62027 E	Dalen	843-976	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Dalen	52.69847	6.75641
Dews-Sèvres 814-87 Société de statistique, sciences, lettres et arts du département des Deuxs-Sèvres (1882) Dujon 47.3268 5.04619 Dijon 770-780 Bompaire and Depierre (1989) Dijon 47.3268 5.04619 Dormartin-Lettrée 923-936 Coupland (2014) Dordives 48.16408 2.766333 Dorestad 768-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Daratum 52.81424 8.19537 Eichstetten 911-922 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Eichstetten 48.094296 7.745429 Ejktrup 814-840 Coupland (2020) Ejtrup 55.05525 9.377413 Ekeren 819-877 Haertle (1997) Elkeren 51.276405 4.417467 Ellikon an der Thur 81-877 Coupland (2014) Entrammes 47.999133 -0.716154 Espana 1-4 800-1009 Parvérie (2018) Calatayud 41.35268 1.61010 Espana 1-4 80-0509 Parvérie (2018) Extremadura 49.02754 1.15028 Eyguières 814-877 Coupland (2020) <td< td=""><td>Dauphiné</td><td>814-848</td><td>Coupland (2014)</td><td>Dauphiné</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Dauphiné	814-848	Coupland (2014)	Dauphiné		
Dijon 770-780 Bompare and Depirer (1989) Dijon 47.3268 5.04619 Dommartin-Lettrée 923-936 Duplessy (1985) Dommartin-Lettrée 48.7669 4.29933 Dorstad 750-950 Coupland (2014) Dordives 48.144081 2.766333 Dorstad 768-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Drantum 52.81942 8.19537 Eichstetten 911-922 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Eichstetten 48.04926 7.745429 Ejkrup 814-840 Coupland (2020) Ejkrup 55.03325 9.377413 Elkeren 819-877 Haertle (1997) Ekeren 51.276105 4.14767 Ellikon an der Thur 87.577 Haertle (1997) Ellikon an der Thur 47.52638 8.2386 Emmen 814-877 Coupland (2014) Entrammes 47.99133 -0.716154 Estapaa 1-4 800-1009 Parvérie (2018) Calatayud 41.322668 -1.04101 Etampes 843-847 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Etrechy 48.06133 5.0	Deux-Sèvres	814-877	Société de statistique, sciences, lettres et arts	Deux-Sèvres		
Dijon 770-780 Bompaire and Depierre (1989) Dijon 47.3268 5.04619 Dormartin-Lettrée 923-930 Duplessy (1985) Dormartin-Lettrée 84.7669 4.29933 Dordives 769-857 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Dorestadt 51.97212 5.344769 Drantum 814.840 Haertle (1997) Eichstetten 48.094296 7.745429 Eichstetten 911-922 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Eichstetten 51.276235 8.83286 Emmen 814-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Emmen 52.49784 6.23039 Entrammes 814-877 Coupland (2014) Entrammes 47.399133 -0.716154 Espana 1-4 800-1009 Parvérie (2018) Calatayud 41.32686 -1.641101 Ettréchy 832-852 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Etampes 48.434768 2.162027 Ettréchy 832-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Etampes 48.434768 2.162027 Ettréchy 832-872 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Etampes			du département des Deux-Sèvres (1882)			
Dommartin-Lettrée 923-936 Duplesy (1985) Dommartin-Lettrée 48.7669 4.29933 Dorrison 768-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Dordives 51.97212 5.341769 Drantum 814-840 Haertle (1997) Drantum 52.81942 8.19537 Eichstetten 911-922 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Eichstetten 48.094296 7.745429 Ejstrup 814-840 Coupland (2020) Ejstrup 55.03525 9.377413 Ekeren 819-877 Haertle (1997) Ekeren 51.276405 4.417467 Elikon an der Thur 87-915 Zäch (2001) Elikon an der Thur 47.56253 8.82386 Entmanmes 814-877 Coupland (2014) Entrammes 41.352868 -1.041101 Etampes 832-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Etampes 48.43476 2.16027 Etatpes 843-846 Duplesy (1985) and Moesgaard (2003) Evreux 49.02754 1.15028 Extremadura Parvérie (2018) Coupland (2020) Etatpes 43.96613 </td <td>Dijon</td> <td>770-780</td> <td>Bompaire and Depierre (1989)</td> <td>Dijon</td> <td>47.3268</td> <td>5.04619</td>	Dijon	770-780	Bompaire and Depierre (1989)	Dijon	47.3268	5.04619
	Dommartin-Lettrée	923-936	Duplessy (1985)	Dommartin-Lettrée	48.7669	4.29933
	Dordives	750-950	Coupland (2014)	Dordives	48.144081	2.766333
$\begin{array}{l c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Dorestad	768-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Dorestadt	51.97212	5.344769
Eachstetten 911-922 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Eichstetten 48.094296 7.743429 Ejstrup 814-840 Coupland (2020) Ejstrup 55.03525 9.377413 Ekeren 819-877 Haertle (1997) Ekeren 51.276405 4.417467 Ellikon an der Thur 887-915 Zäch (2001) Ellikon and er Thur 47.56253 8.82386 Emmen 814-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Emmen 52.49784 6.23039 Extrammes 814-877 Coupland (2014) Calatayud 41.352688 1.641101 Etampes 843-882 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Etampes 48.434768 2.162027 Etréchy 832-887 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Etrechy 48.8411 3.94374 Evreux 80-954 Duplessy (1985) and Moesgaard (2003) Evreux 49.02754 1.15028 Extremadura Parvérie (2018) Extremadura 49.32743 6.12273 Fleacey 814-840 Coupland (2020) Flaaders 48.147247 1.349598	Drantum	814-840	Haertle (1997)	Drantum	52.81942	8.19537
Ejstrup814-840Coupland (2020)Ejstrup 55.03325 $9.37/413$ Ekeren819-877Haertle (1997)Ekeren 51.276405 4.17467 Ellikon an der Thur87.915Zäch (2001)Ellikon an der Thur 47.56253 8.82386 Emmen814-877Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Emmen 52.49748 6.23039 Entrammes814-877Coupland (2014)Entrammes 41.352868 -0.716154 Etampes843-882Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Etampes 48.48768 2.162027 Etréchy832-877Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Etrechy 48.84118 3.94374 Evreux840-954Duplesy (1985) and Moesgaard (2003)Evreux 49.02754 1.15028 ExtremaduraParvérie (2018)ExtremaduraExtremaduraEyguières814-840Coupland (2020)Elacey 48.147247 1.349598 Flancers814-877Coupland (2020)Flancers 43.3696133 5.030134 Pécamp900-999Duplesy (1985) and Simer (2000)Flancers 47.43637 3.76447 Foiss-Jes-Vézelay864-877Foissy-Jes-Vézelay 47.43637 3.76447 Fortaines814-877Duplesy (1985) and Simer (2000)Flancers 47.36573 2.32063 Freankurt814-877Duplesy (1985)Froatines 46.85083 4.773055 Frankurt814-877Duplesy (1985)Freenes 48.76437 3.76447 Freiburg in Breisgau898-922 <t< td=""><td>Eichstetten</td><td>911-922</td><td>Morrison and Grunthal (1967)</td><td>Eichstetten</td><td>48.094296</td><td>7.745429</td></t<>	Eichstetten	911-922	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Eichstetten	48.094296	7.745429
Exeren \$12+\$if Haertle (1997) Exeren 51.276405 4.41/407 Ellikon an der Thur 878-915 Zäch (2001) Ellikon an der Thur 7.56253 8.23386 Emmen 814-877 Coupland (2014) Emmen 52.49784 6.23039 Entrammes 814-877 Coupland (2014) Entrammes 47.999133 -0.716154 Espana 1-4 800-1009 Parvérie (2018) Calatayud 41.325268 -1.641101 Etampes 843-882 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Etrechy 48.434768 2.162027 Etréchy 832-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Etrechy 48.64313 5.030134 Fécamp 900299 Duplessy (1985) and Moesgaard (2003) Evreux 49.02754 1.15028 Flacey 814-840 Coupland (2020) Elzeren 49.173765 0.37632 Flacey 814-877 Coupland (2020) Flacey 48.147247 1.349598 Flacey 814-877 Duplessy (1985) and Simmer (2000) Florange 49.32743 6.12273	Ejstrup	814-840	Coupland (2020) $H_{\rm eff}$ (1007)	Ejstrup	55.503525	9.377413
Ennixon an der Tintr 867-913 Zach (2001) Ennixon and Ger Tintr 41.30235 5.22366 Emmen 814-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Emmen 52.49784 6.23039 Entrammes 814-877 Coupland (2014) Entrammes 47.999133 -0.716154 Espana 1-4 800-1009 Parvérie (2018) Calatayud 41.352868 -1.641101 Etampes 843-882 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Etrechy 48.8434768 2.162027 Etréchy 832-887 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Etrechy 48.8411 3.94374 Evreux 840-954 Duplesy (1985) and Moesgaard (2003) Evreux 49.02754 1.15028 Extremadura Parvérie (2018) Extremadura - - - Eyguières 814-840 Coupland (2020) Flacey 48.147247 1.349598 Flanders 614-877 Duplesy (1985) and Simmer (2000) Florange 49.32743 6.12273 Foissy-lès-Vézelay 864-877 Duplesy (1985) Foitage 46.85083	Ekeren Ellikar an dan Thun	819-877	Haertle (1997) Zäch (2001)	Ekeren	51.276405	4.417467
Initial 514-517 Norman and Grunthal (1907) Entrammes 51-39754 52-3	Emikon an der Thur	007-910	Zacii (2001) Morrison and Crunthal (1067)	Emikon an der Thur	47.30233	0.02000 6.02020
Data mines 31+371 Couplant (2017) Data mines 41-35353 -0-170374 Espana 1-4 800-1009 Parvérie (2018) Calatayud 41.352868 -1.6141101 Etampes 843-882 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Etampes 48.434768 2.162027 Etréchy 832-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Etrechy 48.88411 3.94374 Evreux 840-954 Duplessy (1985) and Moesgaard (2003) Evreux 49.02754 1.15028 Extremadura Parvérie (2018) Extremadura	Entropy	814-877	Coupland (2014)	Entrammos	17 000133	0.25059
Ispan P4 500 1005 Finder (2016) Canady and structure Finder (2017) Etrechy 843-882 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Etrechy 48.8411 3.94374 Evreux 840-954 Duplessy (1985) and Moesgaard (2003) Evreux 49.02754 1.15028 Extremadura Parvérie (2018) Extremadura Extremadura 1.532605 43.666133 5.030134 Fécamp 900-999 Duplessy (1985) Fécamp 49.75765 0.37632 Flacey 814-840 Coupland (2020) Flacey 48.147247 1.349598 Flanders 814-877 Coupland (2020) Flacey 49.32743 6.12273 Foissy-lès-Vézelay 864-877 Coupland (2020) Flacey 49.32743 6.12273 Foissy-lès-Vézelay 84-887 Duplessy (1985) Fontaines 46.85083 4.773055 Frankfurt 814-840 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Frankfurt am Main 50.11208 8.68341 Freiburg im Breisgau 898-992 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Freiburg im Breisgau <td>Espana 1-4</td> <td>800-1009</td> <td>Parvérie (2018)</td> <td>Calatavud</td> <td>41.353868</td> <td>-0.710104</td>	Espana 1-4	800-1009	Parvérie (2018)	Calatavud	41.353868	-0.710104
Brance Brance Brance Brance Brance Brance Etréchy 832-87 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Etrechy 48.88411 3.94374 Evreux 840-954 Duplessy (1985) and Moesgaard (2003) Evreux 49.02754 1.15028 Extremadura Parvérie (2018) Extremadura 49.02754 1.15028 Eyguières 814-840 Coupland (2020) Eyguières 43.696133 5.030134 Fécamp 900-999 Duplessy (1985) Fécamp 49.75765 0.37632 Flacey 814-840 Coupland (2020) Flaces 49.32743 6.12273 Florange Duplessy (1985) and Simmer (2000) Florange 49.32743 6.12273 Foissy-lès-Vézelay 864-877 Duplessy (1985) Fortaines 40.82083 47.73055 Frankfurt 814-840 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Frankfurt am Main 50.11208 8.68341 Freiburg im Breisgau 898-922 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Fraekfurt 48.7043 2.322063 Fr	Etampes	843-882	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Etampes	48.434768	2162027
Evreux840-954Duplessy (1985) and Moesgaard (2003)Evreux49.027541.15028ExtremaduraParvérie (2018)ExtremaduraExtremaduraEyguières814-840Coupland (2020)Eyguières43.6961335.030134Fécamp900-999Duplessy (1985)Fécamp49.75750.37632Flacey814-840Coupland (2020)Flacey48.1472471.349598Flanders814-877Coupland (2020)FlandersFlorange49.327436.12273Forsy-lès-Vézelay864-877Coupland (2020)Florange49.327436.12273Forsy-lès-Vézelay84.877Duplessy (1985)Fontaines46.850834.773055Frankfurt814-840Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Frankfurt am Main50.112088.68341Freiburg im Breisgau898-922Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Freiburg im Breisgau47.998537.84965Fridolfing768-814Coupland (2020)Fridolfing47.99857312.826917Frisia814-855Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Grou53.110355.848604Ganat800-887Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Gelderland64.01923.19692Gilsy80-922Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Gilsy49.87562.39788Gnadendorf884-911Wiechmann (2004)Giekau54.3179310.50529Glisy80-922Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Glisy49.87562.39788Gnadendorf898-905Daim and Laue	Etréchy	832-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Etrechy	48.88411	3.94374
ExtremaduraParvérie (2018)ExtremaduraExtremaduraEyguières $814-840$ Coupland (2020)Eyguières 43.696133 5.030134 Fécamp900-999Duplessy (1985)Fécamp 49.75756 0.37632 Flacey $814-840$ Coupland (2020)Flacey 48.147247 1.349598 Flanders $814-877$ Coupland (2020)Flanders 1.2273 ForangeDuplessy (1985) and Simmer (2000)Florange 49.32743 6.12273 Forsy-lès-Vézelay $864-877$ Fontaines 46.85083 4.773055 Frankfurt $814-877$ Duplessy (1985)Fontaines 46.85083 4.773055 Frankfurt $814-877$ Duplessy (1985)Fontaines 46.85083 4.793053 Freiburg im Breisgau $898-922$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Freiburg im Breisgau 47.99853 7.84965 Frieburg im Breisgau $898-922$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Fridolfing 47.998573 12.826917 Frisia $814-855$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Grou 53.11035 5.848604 Gannat $800-887$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Gelderland 61.0192 3.19692 Gilsy $80-922$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Gilsy 48.61549 16.39885 Guadendorf $898-905$ Daim and Grunthal (1967)Gilsy 48.61549 16.39885 Goutum $814-877$ Worrison and Grunthal (1967)Gilsy 49.8756 2.39788 Gnadendorf $898-905$	Evreux	840-954	Duplessy (1985) and Moesgaard (2003)	Evreux	49.02754	1.15028
Eyguières814-840Coupland (2020)Eyguières43.6961335.030134Fécamp900-999Duplessy (1985)Fécamp49.757650.37632Flacey814-840Coupland (2020)Flacey48.1472471.349598Flanders814-877Coupland (2020)FlandersFlorange49.327436.12273Foissy-lès-Vézelay864-877Duplessy (1985) and Simmer (2000)Florange49.327436.12273Foissy-lès-Vézelay864-877Duplessy (1985)Fontaines46.850834.773055Frankfurt814-840Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Frankfurt am Main50.112088.68341Freiburg im Breisgau898-922Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Freisnes48.750432.322063Fridolfing768-814Coupland (2020)Fridolfing47.99857312.826917Frisia814-855Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Grou53.110355.848604Gelderland768-814Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Gelderland61.01923.19692Giskau814-911Wiechmann (2004)Giekau54.3179310.50529Gisy800-922Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Glisy49.87562.39788Ganatendorf898-902Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Glisy49.87562.39788Giakendorf898-902Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Glisy49.87562.39788Grandendorf898-902Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Glisy49.87562.39788	Extremadura	0.00.00.0	Parvérie (2018)	Extremadura		
Fécamp900-999Duplessy (1985)Fécamp 49.75765 0.37632 Flacey $814-840$ Coupland (2020)Flacey 48.147247 1.349598 Flanders $814-877$ Coupland (2020)FlandersFlandersFlorangeDuplessy (1985) and Simmer (2000)Florange 49.32743 6.12273 Foissy-lès-Vézelay $864-877$ Foissy-lès-Vézelay 47.43637 3.76447 Fontaines $814-877$ Duplessy (1985)Fontaines 46.85083 4.773055 Frankfurt $814-840$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Frankfurt am Main 50.11208 8.68341 Freiburg im Breisgau $898-922$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Freiburg im Breisgau 47.99853 7.84965 Freidolfing $768-814$ Coupland (2020)Fridolfing 47.998573 12.826917 Frisia $814-855$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Grou 53.11035 5.8486044 Gannat $800-887$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Gelderland 66.814 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) 49.8756 2.39788 Giekau $814-911$ Wiechmann (2004)Giekau 54.31793 10.50529 Glisy $800-922$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Glisy 49.8756 2.39788 Goutum $814-877$ Coupland (2020)Goutum 53.17037 5.806018 Grisebjerggård $898-905$ Daim and Lauermann (2006)Gnadendorf 48.61549 16.39855 Goutum $814-877$ Coupland (2020)Goutum </td <td>Eyguières</td> <td>814-840</td> <td>Coupland (2020)</td> <td>Eyguières</td> <td>43.696133</td> <td>5.030134</td>	Eyguières	814-840	Coupland (2020)	Eyguières	43.696133	5.030134
Flacey 814-840 Coupland (2020) Flacey 48.147247 1.349598 Flanders 814-877 Coupland (2020) Flanders Flanders Florange Duplessy (1985) and Simmer (2000) Florange 49.32743 6.12273 Foissy-lès-Vézelay 864-877 Foissy-lès-Vézelay 46.85083 4.773055 Frankfurt 814-877 Duplessy (1985) Fontaines 46.85083 4.773055 Frankfurt 814-840 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Frankfurt am Main 50.11208 8.68341 Freiburg im Breisgau 898-922 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Fresnes 48.75043 2.322063 Fridolfing 768-814 Coupland (2020) Fridolfing 47.998573 12.826917 Frisia 814-855 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Grou 53.11035 5.848604 Gannat 800-887 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Gelderland 46.0192 3.19692 Giekau 814-911 Wiechmann (2004) Giekau 54.31793 10.50529 Glisy 809-922 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Gisy 49.8756	Fécamp	900-999	Duplessy (1985)	Fécamp	49.75765	0.37632
Flanders $814-877$ Coupland (2020) Duplessy (1985) and Simmer (2000)FlandersFlorangeDuplessy (1985) and Simmer (2000)Florange 49.32743 6.12273 Foissy-lès-Vézelay $864-877$ Foissy-lès-Vézelay 47.43637 3.76447 Fontaines $814-877$ Duplessy (1985)Fontaines 46.85083 4.73055 Frankfurt $814-840$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Frankfurt am Main 50.11208 8.68341 Freiburg im Breisgau $898-922$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Frees 48.75043 2.322063 Fridolfing $768-814$ Coupland (2020)Fridolfing 47.998573 12.826917 Frisia $814-855$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Grou 53.11035 5.848604 Gannat $800-887$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Gelderland 46.10192 3.19692 Giekau $814-911$ Wiechmann (2004)Giekau 54.31793 10.50529 Glisy $898-905$ Daim and Lauermann (2006)Gnadendorf 48.61549 16.39885 Goutum $814-877$ Coupland (2020)Goutum 53.178037 5.806018 Grisebjerggård $898-922$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Guutum 53.178037 5.806018 Groningen $814-877$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Glisy 49.8756 2.39788 Goutum $814-877$ Coupland (2020)Goutum 53.178037 5.806018 Groningen $814-877$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Glisy 49.87	Flacey	814-840	Coupland (2020)	Flacey	48.147247	1.349598
FlorangeDuplessy (1985) and Simmer (2000)Florange 49.32743 6.12273 Foissy-lès-Vézelay $864-877$ Foissy-lès-Vézelay 47.43637 3.76447 Fontaines $814-877$ Duplessy (1985)Fontaines 46.85083 4.773055 Frankfurt $814-840$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Frankfurt am Main 50.11208 8.68341 Freiburg im Breisgau $898-922$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Freiburg im Breisgau 47.99533 7.84965 FresnesDuplessy (1985)FresnesFresnes 48.79543 2.322063 Fridolfing $768-814$ Coupland (2020)Fridolfing 47.998573 12.826917 Frisia $814-855$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Grou 53.11035 5.848604 Gannat $800-887$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Gelderland 46.10192 3.19692 Gelderland $768-814$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Gelderland 54.31793 10.50529 Glisy $800-922$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Glisy 9.8756 2.39788 Gnadendorf $898-905$ Daim and Lauermann (2006)Gnadendorf 48.61549 16.39885 Goutum $814-877$ Coupland (2020)Goutum 53.178037 5.806018 Grisebjerggård $898-922$ Norrison and Grunthal (1967)Groningen 53.25713 6.93525 Groningen $814-877$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Groningen 53.25713 6.93525 Groningen $814-877$ Morrison	Flanders	814-877	Coupland (2020)	Flanders		
Foissy-lès-Vézelay $864-877$ Foissy-lès-Vézelay 47.43637 3.76447 Fontaines $814-877$ Duplessy (1985)Fontaines 46.85083 4.773055 Frankfurt $814-840$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Frankfurt am Main 50.11208 8.68341 Freiburg im Breisgau $898-922$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Freiburg im Breisgau 47.99853 7.84965 FresnesDuplessy (1985)Fresnes 48.75043 2.322063 Fridolfing $768-814$ Coupland (2020)Fridolfing 47.998573 12.826917 Frisia $814-855$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Grou 53.11035 5.848604 Gannat $800-887$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Gelderland 66.10192 3.19692 Giekau $814-911$ Wiechmann (2004)Giekau 54.31793 10.50529 Glisy $800-922$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Glisy 49.8756 2.39788 Gnadendorf $898-905$ Daim and Lauermann (2006)Gnadendorf 48.61549 16.39885 Goutum $814-877$ Coupland (2020)Goutum 53.178037 5.806018 Grisebjerggård $898-922$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Groutum 53.178037 5.806018 Groningen $814-877$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Goutum 53.25713 6.93525 Guit With With With With With With With Wi	Florange		Duplessy (1985) and Simmer (2000)	Florange	49.32743	6.12273
Fontaines $814-877$ Duplessy (1985)Fontaines 46.85083 4.773055 Frankfurt $814-840$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Frankfurt am Main 50.11208 8.68341 Freiburg im Breisgau $898-922$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Freiburg im Breisgau 47.99853 7.84965 FresnesDuplessy (1985)Fresnes 48.75043 2.322063 Fridolfing $768-814$ Coupland (2020)Fridolfing 47.998573 12.826917 Frisia $814-855$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Grou 53.11035 5.848604 Gannat $800-887$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Gelderland 610192 3.19692 Giekau $814-911$ Wiechmann (2004)Giekau 54.31793 10.50529 Glisy $800-922$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Glisy 9.8756 2.39788 Gnadendorf $898-905$ Daim and Lauermann (2006)Gnadendorf 48.61549 16.39885 Goutum $814-877$ Coupland (2020)Goutum 53.178037 5.806018 Grisebjerggård $898-922$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Groningen 53.25713 6.93525 Guntum $814-877$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Groningen 53.25713 6.93525 Guntum $814-877$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Groningen 53.25713 6.93525	Foissy-lès-Vézelay	864 - 877		Foissy-lès-Vézelay	47.43637	3.76447
Frankfurt $814-840$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Frankfurt am Main 50.11208 8.68341 Freiburg im Breisgau $898-922$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Freiburg im Breisgau 47.99853 7.84965 FresnesDuplessy (1985)Fresnes 48.75043 2.322063 Fridolfing $768-814$ Coupland (2020)Fridolfing 47.998573 12.826917 Frisia $814-855$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Grou 53.11035 5.848604 Gannat $800-887$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Gelderland 61.0192 3.19692 Gelderland $768-814$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Gelderland 61.0192 3.19692 Giekau $814-911$ Wiechmann (2004)Giekau 54.31793 10.50529 Glisy $800-922$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Glisy 49.8756 2.39788 Gnadendorf $898-905$ Daim and Lauermann (2006)Gnadendorf 48.61549 16.39885 Goutum $814-877$ Coupland (2020)Goutum 53.178037 5.806018 Grisebjerggård $898-922$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Groningen 53.25713 6.93525 Groningen $814-877$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Groningen 53.25713 6.93525 Gutum $814-877$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Groningen 53.25713 6.93525	Fontaines	814-877	Duplessy (1985)	Fontaines	46.85083	4.773055
Freiburg im Breisgau $898-922$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Freiburg im Breisgau 47.99853 7.84965 FresnesDuplessy (1985)Fresnes 48.75043 2.322063 Fridolfing $768-814$ Coupland (2020)Fridolfing 47.998573 12.826917 Frisia $814-855$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Grou 53.11035 5.848604 Gannat $800-887$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Gelderland $6elderland$ $768-814$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Gelderland $768-814$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Gelderland 54.31793 10.50529 Glisy $800-922$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Glisy 48.61549 16.39885 Gnadendorf $898-905$ Daim and Lauermann (2006)Gnadendorf 48.61549 16.39885 Goutum $814-877$ Coupland (2020)Goutum 53.178037 5.806018 Grisebjerggård $898-922$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Groningen 53.25713 6.93525 Groningen $814-877$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Groningen 53.25713 6.93525	Frankfurt	814-840	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Frankfurt am Main	50.11208	8.68341
Fresnes Duplessy (1985) Fresnes 48.75043 2.322063 Fridolfing 768-814 Coupland (2020) Fridolfing 47.998573 12.826917 Frisia 814-855 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Grou 53.11035 5.848604 Gannat 800-887 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Gelderland 6 3.19692 Gelderland 768-814 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Gelderland 54.31793 10.50529 Glisy 814-911 Wiechmann (2004) Giekau 54.31793 10.50529 Glisy 800-922 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Glisy 49.8756 2.39788 Gnadendorf 898-905 Daim and Lauermann (2006) Gnadendorf 48.61549 16.39885 Goutum 814-877 Coupland (2020) Goutum 53.178037 5.806018 Grisebjerggård 898-902 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Groningen 53.25713 6.93525	Freiburg im Breisgau	898-922	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Freiburg im Breisgau	47.99853	7.84965
Fridolfing 768-814 Coupland (2020) Fridolfing 47.998573 12.826917 Frisia 814-855 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Grou 53.11035 5.848604 Gannat 800-887 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Gelderland 46.10192 3.19692 Gelderland 768-814 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Gelderland 54.31793 10.50529 Giekau 814-911 Wiechmann (2004) Giekau 54.31793 10.50529 Glisy 800-922 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Glisy 49.8756 2.39788 Gnadendorf 898-905 Daim and Lauermann (2006) Gnadendorf 48.61549 16.39885 Goutum 814-877 Coupland (2020) Goutum 53.178037 5.806018 Grisebjerggård 898-902 Slagelse 55.3028 11.2647 Groningen 814-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Groningen 53.25713 6.93525	Fresnes		Duplessy (1985)	Fresnes	48.75043	2.322063
Frisia 814-855 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Grou 53.11035 5.848604 Gannat 800-887 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Grou 46.10192 3.19692 Gelderland 768-814 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Gelderland 6elderland 54.31793 10.50529 Giskau 814-911 Wiechmann (2004) Giekau 54.31793 10.50529 Glisy 800-922 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Glisy 49.8756 2.39788 Gnadendorf 898-905 Daim and Lauermann (2006) Gnadendorf 48.61549 16.39885 Goutum 814-877 Coupland (2020) Goutum 53.178037 5.806018 Grisebjerggård 898-902 Slagelse 55.3028 11.2647 Groningen 814-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Groningen 53.25713 6.93525	Fridolfing	768-814	Coupland (2020)	Fridolfing	47.998573	12.826917
Gannat500-887Morrison and Grunthal (1967)46.101923.19692Gelderland768-814Morrison and Grunthal (1967)GelderlandGiekau814-911Wiechmann (2004)Giekau54.3179310.50529Glisy800-922Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Glisy49.87562.39788Gnadendorf898-905Daim and Lauermann (2006)Gnadendorf48.6154916.39885Goutum814-877Coupland (2020)Goutum53.1780375.806018Grisebjerggård898-922Slagelse55.302811.2647Groningen814-877Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Groningen53.257136.93525	rrisia Compat	814-855	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Grou	53.11035	5.848604
Geideriand 768-814 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Geideriand Giekau 814-911 Wiechmann (2004) Giekau 54.31793 10.50529 Glisy 800-922 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Glisy 49.8756 2.39788 Gnadendorf 898-905 Daim and Lauermann (2006) Gnadendorf 48.61549 16.39885 Goutum 814-877 Coupland (2020) Goutum 53.178037 5.806018 Grisebjerggård 898-922 Slagelse 55.3028 11.2647 Groningen 814-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Groningen 53.27513 6.93525	Gannat	800-887	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Caldenland	46.10192	3.19692
Glexau 614-911 Witchmann (2004) Glexau 54.31793 10.50529 Glisy 800-922 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Glisy 49.8756 2.39788 Gnadendorf 898-905 Daim and Lauermann (2006) Gnadendorf 48.61549 16.39885 Goutum 814-877 Coupland (2020) Goutum 53.178037 5.806018 Grisebjerggård 898-922 Slagelse 55.3028 11.2647 Groningen 814-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Groningen 53.25713 6.93525	Geiderland	108-814	Wiorhmonn (2004)	Gelderland	54 91709	10 50520
Gnay Guot-922 Morrison and Grunthal (1907) Glisy 49.8750 2.39788 Gnadendorf 898-905 Daim and Lauermann (2006) Gnadendorf 48.61549 16.39885 Goutum 814-877 Coupland (2020) Goutum 53.178037 5.806018 Grisebjerggård 898-922 Slagelse 55.3028 11.2647 Groningen 814-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Groningen 53.25713 6.93525	Cliev	014-911 800 022	Morrison and Crusthal (1067)	Cliev	04.01793 10.9756	10.00029
Gradendorf 650-505 Dam and Educimatin (2000) Gradendorf 48.01549 10.39885 Goutum 814-877 Coupland (2020) Goutum 53.178037 5.806018 Grisebjerggård 898-922 Slagelse 55.3028 11.2647 Groningen 814-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Groningen 53.25713 6.93525	Gnadendorf	000-922 808-005	Daim and Lauermann (2006)	Gusy Cnadendorf	49.0700	2.09100 16 30885
Grisebjerggård 898-922 Slagelse 55.3028 11.2647 Groningen 814-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Groningen 53.25713 6.93525	Goutum	090-900 81/-877	Coupland (2020)	Goutum	40.01049 53 178027	10.09000 5 806019
Groningen 814-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Groningen 53.25713 6.93525	Grisehierggård	898-922	Coupland (2020)	Slagelse	55 3028	11.2647
	Groningen	814-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Groningen	53.25713	6.93525
Guardamiglio 843-884 Coupland (2011a) Guardamiglio 45.11055 9.68215	Guardamiglio	843-884	Coupland (2011a)	Guardamiglio	45.11055	9.68215

Appendix Table D.9: Carolingian Hoards, Part II

Hoard Name	Date	Reference	Location	Latitude	Longitude
Gvör I	888-950	Kovács (1989)	Gvör	47.69739	17.6527
Gvör II	888-951	Kovács (1989)	Gvör	47.69739	17.6527
Halimba	902-947	Kovács (1989)	Halimba	47.03345	17.53546
Häljarp	814-840	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)		55.85578	12.910919
Harkirke	843-905	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Crosby	53,48919	-3.048081
Harlingen	840-855	Haertle (1997)	Harlingen	53.1735	5.4246
Haute Isle	814-922	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Haute Isle	49.083426	1.65697
Haza de Carmen	888-954	Coupland (2020)	Cordoba	37.881495	-4.776125
Hermenches	822-840	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Hermenches	46.640456	6.757567
Hoen	814-855	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Hoen	60.2204	10.25852
Hole	796-840	Coupland (2020)	Hole	58.897156	6.018229
Holy Family	800-887	Parvérie (2018) and Morrison and Grunthal	Cordoba	37.888028	-4.7734
		(1967)		0	
Hradec Hilfort	768-814	Coupland (2020)	Hradec-Kralove	50.209703	15.832231
Huriel	800-887	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Le Moulin-Gargot	46.37468	2.47842
			(Huriel)		
Ibaneta	800-888	Doménech-Belda et al. (2013)	Puerto d'Ibaneta	43.020083	-1.324207
Ibersheim	768-814	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Ibersheim	49.72085	8.40065
Ilanz I	843-905	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Ilanz	46.77451	9.20463
Ilanz II	664-814	Bernareggi (1977, 1983), Völckers (1965), Mc-	Ilanz	46.77451	9.20463
		Cormick (2001)			
Île Agois	864-877	Johnston (1986)	Île Agois	49.24935	-2.18641
Île-de-France	888-936	Dhénin (2006)	Ile de France	10.21000	2.10011
Imbleville	864-877	Haertle (1997)	Impleville	49.71539	0.95198
Imphy	751-814	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Imphy	46.934537	3.259903
Indre	814-865	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Indre	101001001	0.200000
Indre II	814-848	Coupland (2014)	Indre		
Indre-et-Loire	814-877	Coupland (2011a)	Indre-et-Loire		
Indre-et-Loire II	888-910	Coupland (2011a)	Indre-et-Loire		
Indre-et-Loire III	888-898	Coupland (2020)	Indre-et-Loire		
Isle-Aumont I	814-840	Haertle (1997)	Isle-Aumont	48.21131	4.12459
Isle-Aumont II	864-898	Haertle (1997)	Isle-Aumont	48 21131	4 12459
Issy l'Evêque	843-922	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Issy l'Evêque	46 70818	3 9734
Jedomelice	814-840	Coupland (2020)	Jedomelice	50.23411	13.971234
Jelsum	768-814	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Jelsum	53 23455	5 783862
Juave-Mondave	800-922	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Juave-Mondave	49.20803	-0.68508
Jura	768-814	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Jura		
Karden	814-822	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Karden	50.179051	7.299583
Karos-Eperiesszög I	888-915	Révész (1996)	Karos	48.32959	21.73712
Karos-Eperjesszög II	900-911	Gedai (1993)	Karos	48.32959	21.73712
Kättilstorp	814-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Kättilstorp	58.041694	13.711198
Katwijk I	800-922	Kluge (1993)	Katwiik	52.195273	4.421091
Katwijk II	794-800	Van der Velde (2008)	Katwijk	52.195273	4.421091
Kecel	888-924	Huszár (1955)	Kecel	46.52644	19.24647
Kenézlő	826-950	Huszár (1955)	Kenézlő	48.2	21.53333
Kimswerd-Pingjum I	814-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Kimswerd	53.1289	5.4387
Kimswerd-Pingjum II	814-878	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Kimswerd	53.1289	5.4387
Kiskundorozsma-Hosszúhát	826-950	Múzeum Móra Ferenc (2002)	Szeged	46.275	20.06278
Kiskunfélegyháza	881-918	Kovács (1989)	Kiskunfelegyhaza	46.71246	19.85279
Koblenz	823-830	Reinhold Fischer Auktionshaus (2010)	Koblenz	50.359618	7.59383
Krinkberg	768 - 814	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Pöschendorf	54.03055	9.472156
La Cornouaille	814-877	Coupland (2020)	La Cornouaille	47.578279	-0.797543
La Couvertoirade	881-898	Coupland (2011a)	La Couvertoirade	43.91127	3.31355
La Roche en Ardenne	750 - 950	Coupland (2014)	La-Roche-en-Ardenne	50.183528	5.575243
La Tessoualle	814-877	Haertle (1997)	La Tessoualle	47.00535	-0.8494
La Tour-de-Peilz	755-768	Geiser (1990)	La-Tour-de-Peilz	46.45302	6.85686
Ladánybene	888-922	Huszár (1955)	Ladánybene	47.03333	19.45
Lamairé	843-877	Baigl et al. (1995)	Lamairé	46.75707	-0.1263
Lamotte Beuvron	814-877	Coupland (2020)	Lamotte-Beuvron	47.602363	2.025245
Langon	814-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Langon	44.55389	-0.24833
Langres I	843-922	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Langres	47.85816	5.33113
Langres II	864-884	Coupland (2011a)	Langres	47.85816	5.33113
Larino	768 - 840	De Benedittis and Lafaurie (1998)	Larino	41.7968	14.9128
Lauterach	840-924	Zäch and Tabernero (2002)	Lauterach	47.4745	9.730031
Lauzès	814-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Lauzès	47.4707	-0.55324
Lavelanet	888-898	Coupland (2020)	Lavelanet	42.932652	1.848583
Laxfield	843-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Laxfield	52.30114	1.36237
Leiderdorp	768 - 840	Coupland (2020)	Leiderdorp	52.151653	4.529015

Appendix Table D.10: Carolingian Hoards, Part III

Hoard Name	Date	Reference	Location	Latitude	Longitude
Lésigny-sur-Creuse	814-898	Jeanne-Rose (1996)	Lésigny-sur-Creuse	46.84996	0.76421
Levice-Géňa	926-950	Minarovicova (2007)	Levice-Géňa	48.21639	18.60806
Lillebonne	814-877	Coupland and Moesgaard (2012)	Lillebonne	49.51802	0.53681
Limoux	849-877	Haertle (1997)	Limoux	43.053658	2.217421
Lisówek	848-922	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Lisówek	51.9	20.9333
Llanbedrgoch	814 - 878	Coupland (2020)	Llanbedrgoch	53.300117	-4.236622
Llerida	887-928	Doménech-Belda et al. (2013)	Lleida	41.61879	0.621737
Loire River Bank	814-840	Coupland (2014)	Loire River		
Loiret	843-1027	Duplessy (1985)	Loiret		
Lokeren	843-864	Haertle (1997)	Lokeren	51.10473	3.9865
Longjumeau	843-884	Moesgaard (2010)	Longjumeau	48.69173	2.29005
Loppersum	814-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Loppersum	53.33276	6.74398
Lucca	947-961	Saccocci et al. (2004)	Lucca	43.84201	10.51534
Lussac-les-Châteaux	845-848	Haertle (1997)	Lussac-les-Châteaux	46.403093	0.723563
Lutkesaaxum	843-864	Haertle (1997)	Lutkesaaxum	53.364638	6.489072
Luzancy	814-877	Sombart (2008)	Luzancy	48.97205	3.1865
Lyon	751-771	Coupland (2020) $C_{\rm rel}$ (2014)	Lyon	45.758973	4.830895
Maine et Loire	751-878	Coupland (2014) Marriage and Growthal (1067)	Maine-et-Loire	47 10000	0.01700
Marçay	840-898	Morrison and Grunthal (1907)	Marçay	47.10002	0.21700
Marssum	814-855	Coupland (2011a) $M = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right]$	Marssum	53.21056	5.73008
Marsum	814-887	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Marsum	53.339476	5.73008
Matha Malla I	118-811	Coupland (2014)	Matha	40.807020	-0.321187
Melle I	810-811	Haertle (1997)	Melle	40.221471	-0.147358
	843-877	Haertle (1997)	Melle	40.221471	-0.147358
Melle IV	823-825	Coupland (2018) $D_{\rm exp} = (1007)$	Melle	46.221471	-0.147358
Mercurey	822-877	Magnices and Granthal (1967)	Mercurey	46.833364	4.722119
Mereville	814-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Mereville-Saint-Pierre	48.59069	0.15058
Metz	843-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1907)	Metz	49.11000	0.1732
Meurthe et Moselle	898-922	Coupland (2014) Marrian and Granthal (1067) Hearth (1007)	Meurthe-et-Moselle	F9 1111	C C7C1C
Midlaren	814-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967), Haertle (1997)	Midlaren	03.1111 E2 18904	0.07010
Milaum	900-961	Morrison and Grunthal (1907)	Mildium	03.18204 49.91667	3.44/10
Mikuicice	007-900	(1070)	Mikulcice	48.81007	17.05
Molliens-Vidame	817-877	(1975) Haertle (1997)	Molliens-Dreuil	49 8839	2.02
Monchy-au-Bois	840-922	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Monchy-au-Bois	50 17999505	2.65669828
Montmain	768-814	Coupland (2020)	Montmain	49.410716	1.252625
Montrieux-en-Sologne II	800-922	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Montrieux-en-Sologne	47.55408	1.72638
Montrieux-en-Sologne III	864-898	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Montrieux-en-Sologne	47.55408	1.72638
Moreria		Parvérie (2018)	Moreria	38.916776	-6.349645
Mourlieu	900-925	Caron (1882)	Mourlieu	46.564931	0.512703
Muizen	822-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Muizen	51.01056	4.514722
Mullaghboden	814-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Mullaghboy	54.83536	-5.72671
Muret	814-840	Coupland (2020)	Muret	43.460924	1.327252
Nagyszokoly	926-947	Kovács (1989)	Nagyszokoly	46.72132	18.21182
Nagyvázsony	902-947	Kovács (1989)	Nagyvázsony	46.9835	17.69408
Neufchateau I	800-922	Coupland (2014)	Neufchateau	48.356071	5.692627
Neufchateau II	814-848	Coupland (2014)	Neufchateau	48.356071	5.692627
Neuvy-au-Houlme	814-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967), Duplessy	Neuvy-au-Houlme	48.8181	-0.19966
		(1985)			
Niederlahnstein	855-869	Coupland (2020)	Niederlahnstein	50.315193	7.598382
Nourray	843-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Nourray	47.71903	1.06023
Nr.Trier	768-855	Coupland (2014) and Morrison and Grunthal	Trier	49.755513	6.640075
	0.48,0.01	(1967)		50 05000	0.045000
Odoorn	843-961	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Odoorn	52.85033	6.847823
Orléans	814-864	Haertle (1997)	Orléans	47.90143	1.90496
Oudwoude	814-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Oudwoude	53.27968	6.11413
Palma de Majorque	800-888	Domenech-Belda et al. (2013)	Palma de Majorque	39.570589	2.648991
Paule	843-877	Coupland (2014)	Paule	48.235953	-3.444348
Pilligerheck	814-877	Petry and Wittenbrink (2021), Coupland	Muenstermaifeld	50.20461	7.31152
Pingium	900-911	(2011D) Morrison and Crunthal (1967)	Pingium	53 11510	5 44004
Place Unknown	054 086	Morrison and Crunthal (1967)	i ingjuni	00.11010	0.44004
Plessé	875-877	Haertle (1007)	Plessé	47 54100	-1 88819
Poitou Charentes	814-877	Coupland (2020)	Poitou-Charente	11.01103	1.00012
Pommern	887-994	Coupland (2020)	Pommern	50 169368	7 269726
Pont Saint-Pierre	864-877	Coupland (2011a)	Pont-Saint-Pierro	49 33388	1 2745
Postsaal	814-1094	Coupland (2020)	Bavière	10.00000	1.2140
Pouzauges	875-898	Haertle (1997)	Pouzauges	46.7822	-0.8361
	2.3 000				0.0001

Appendix Table D.11: Carolingian Hoards, Part IV

Guestenbert 814-877 Complex (2011) Guestenbert 2-4621 Regensburg 834-877 Enterlie (1907) Regensburg 83.00121 1.20.074.00 Regensburg 834-877 Enterlie (1907) Regensburg 84.1071 1.064.14 Regensburg 83.1071 1.064.14 1.0671 Russel 84.1071 1.064.14 Ruipes (1907) 80.0011 Complex (2007) Rubert (1907) 1.064.15 2.009942 4.200.00 Russeline (1907) 80.0011 Complex (2007) Russeline (1907) 80.001 1.060.00	Hoard Name	Date	Reference	Location	Latitude	Longitude
Rander 814.877 Rest (4007) Rouge (300) 9.218724 6.27842 Regenaturg 8.43877 Herriton and Crantalal (1907) Roues 48.10761 -1.08448 Remes 8.43922 Morrison and Crantalal (1907) Roues 48.10761 -1.08448 Roues Exbeque 8.14971 Compound (2003) Rochefort 4.033077 -0.092108 Rochefort 8.04922 Morrison and Granutal (1907) Rochefort 4.033077 -0.092108 Rome 1.99500 1.246191 Norrison and Granutal (1907) Roweinkal 4.03000 1.246191 Rome 8.87000 Metodal (1902) Rome 4.25000 1.246191 Rome 8.87000 Metodal (1907) Roweinkal 5.13937 7.03843 Roweinkal 708-882 Morrison and Granutal (1907) Roweinkal 5.13930 3.317487 Roweinkal 8.8487 Merchon and Granutal (1907) Roweinkal 5.13830 3.33149 Saint-Granu 81.4877 Merchon and Granutal (1907) Saint-Strink-Leeen (1907) <td>Questembert</td> <td>814-877</td> <td>Haertle (1997)</td> <td>Questembert</td> <td>47.66097</td> <td>-2.4521</td>	Questembert	814-877	Haertle (1997)	Questembert	47.66097	-2.4521
Bagenaburg 84.8-87 Haorite (197) Begnaburg 40.012.31 2.077.05 Reinnes 84.1-87 Morrison and Crunthal (1007) Rijserik 2.8.02.85 5.082.85 Rijserik 81.4-87 Morrison and Crunthal (1007) Rijserik 2.8.092.85 5.082.85 Roomen (Forum) 84.922 Morrison and Grunthal (1007) Roorison (17.77.2 0.092.2 Roomen (Forum) 887.920 Morrison and Grunthal (2011), Zuydowyk Roorison (17.97.4 5.982.4 Roome (I (Vatican) 898.922 Morrison and Grunthal (1007) Roorison (17.97.4 5.982.4 Roome I (Vatican) 898.922 Morrison and Grunthal (1007) Roorison (17.97.4 3.07.997.4 Roome I (Vatican) 898.922 Morrison and Grunthal (1007) Roorison (17.97.4 3.07.997.4 Saint Drike 884.887 Domrech-Bridhat et al. (2013) Saint-Drice 4.5.07.97.4 Saint Drike 884.887 Domrech-Bridhat et al. (2013) Saint-Drice 4.5.01.9 2.35.07.9 Saint Drike 884.887 Domrech-Bridhat et al. (2013) Saint-Drice 4.5.0	Raalte	814-877	Coupland (2011a)	Raalte	52.38724	6.27462
Refine State 22 Morrison and Groundal (1967) Refine State 22 State 32 Rijs in State 37 Morrison and Groundal (1967) Rijs (16, 12, 22, 033) State 32, 22, 033 Rochast T. Svégue State 32 Morrison and Groundal (1967) Rochas T. Svégue State 32, 32, 033 Rochast T. Svégue State 32 Morrison and Groundal (2011), Zayderwyk Rochas T. Svégue State 32, 32, 33, 33, 33, 33, 33, 33, 33, 33,	Regensburg	843-877	Haertle (1997)	Regensburg	49.016213	12.097468
Rijs 81.487 Morison and Granthal (1007) Rijs 52.8028 5.08288 Roches (F>vique 81.4810 Coupland (2020) Roches (F>vique 47.172 0.8022 Roches (F>vique 22.867 Batter (1007) Roemond 47.102 0.8022 Roemend 22.867 Batter (1007) Roemond 1.00210 0.8022 Roemend 84.922 Morison and Granthal (1007) Roemend 1.00200 2.4.61104 Roemend 84.922 Morison and Granthal (1007) Roemend 5.1.91000 2.8.7.03343 Ross 84.940 Complend (2020) Saint-Forie-Verter 5.1.91000 4.7.3421 3.6.01349 Saint Fories & Hereix 84.847 Coupland (2020) Saint-Fories-Veret 4.5.1330 1.2.30015 Saint-Derice 84.847 Coupland (2020) Saint-Fories-Veret 4.5.1350 1.2.30015 Saint-Derice 84.847 Coupland (2021) Saint-Sa	Rennes	843-922	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Rennes	48.10761	-1.68448
Rijswijk 91-840 Coupland (2020) Retry Pijswijk 52,039-94 4,232633 Roches FEvéque 81-492 Morrison and Grunthal (1907) Roches FEvéque 81,177,2 8,9822 Romen I (Forum) 887,590 and Beterman (2010) Rome II (1907) Rome II (1907) </td <td>Riis</td> <td>814-877</td> <td>Morrison and Grunthal (1967)</td> <td>Riis</td> <td>52.86298</td> <td>5.49838</td>	Riis	814-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Riis	52.86298	5.49838
Rockes/Evence 909-911 Coupland (2020) Rockes/Evence 45.38507 -0.09238 Recrmond 222-877 Harch (1997), Coupland (2011), Zuyderwyk Roermond 5.1193170 5.8822 Rome II (Vatuan) 887-256 Mctcall (1997) Rome A 1.95000 12.46194 Rome II (Vatuan) 888-222 Morrison and Granthal (1967) Rome 4.19500 12.46194 Rome II (Vatuan) 888-222 Morrison and Granthal (1967) Rome 4.19500 12.46194 Rome II (Vatuan) 888-822 Morrison and Granthal (1967) Rome 4.19500 12.46194 Saint Forence 884-887 Domelend-Bdala et al. (2013) Saint-Forence 45.1362 1.036325 Saint Forence 884-887 Oonpland (2020) Saint-Calais 47.9211 0.7439 Saint-Denis 788-77 Parg (1848) Saint-Calais 47.9211 0.7439 Saint-Marin-sur-lo-Pré Coupland (2014) Saint-Marin-sur-lo-Pre Saint-Marin-Sur-lo-Marin 49.9944 2.3517 Saint-Marin-sur-lo-Pré Saint-Marin-sur-lo	Riiswiik	814-840	Coupland (2020)	Rijswijk	52.039942	4.325633
Backer Bröckpue 814-922 Morrison and Granthal (1967) Roches Tirskögue 47.772 0.5822 Rormond 22.877 Harch (1997). (2004)ed (2011)). Zuydersyk, and Bestenna (2010) Rome 41.90509 12.46194 Rome I (Vatican) 888-922 Morrison and Granthal (1967) Rome 41.90509 12.46194 Rome I (Vatican) 888-822 Morrison and Granthal (1967) Rome 41.90509 12.46194 Rome I (Vatican) 888-828 Morrison and Granthal (1967) Rome 41.90509 12.46194 Rome I (Parting) 884-827 Dominech-Belda et al. (2013) Saint-Parce 45.31359 12.30194 Saint Parce 884-887 Dominech-Belda et al. (2013) Saint-Parce 45.3136 2.7653 Saint Parce 884-897 Dominech-Belda et al. (2013) Saint-Calais 47.9111 0.7439 Saint-Calais 814-877 Haerthe (1997) Saint-Marcin-Barce 45.0136 2.2547 Saint-Marcin-Barce 814-877 Coupland (2014) Saint-Marcin-Barce 45.01868 2.57011 Saint-Marce	Bochefort	900-911	Coupland (2020)	Bochefort	45 935077	-0.962458
Boermond 222 877 Harrik (1977), Comptod (2011), Zoydersoph and Besteman (2010) Roemmond 5.1.093179 5.89524 Rome II (Vorum) 887-500 Mctaff (1972) Rome 41.90509 12.46194 Rosswinkl 708-828 Mcroine and Grunthal (1967) Rome 41.90509 12.46194 Rosswinkl 708-828 Mcroine and Grunthal (1967) Rowswinkl 52.83787 7.03843 Rotterdam 814-840 Coupland (2020) Saint-Fraie Vincux 51.91909 4.47524 Saint Fraie Vincux 81.4877 Coupland (2020) Saint-Fraie 41.962325 1.03027 Saint-Denic 84.4877 Party (1848) Saint-Fraie 45.9316 2.7379 Saint-Denis 793-75 Haertle (1997) Saint-Martin-Sure-Le 45.9778 4.3394 Saint-Minel-Eren 814-877 Coupland (2014) Pric 8.9864 2.5477 Saint-Minel-Ge-Chawaignee 814-877 Coupland (2014) Saint-Minel-Eren 45.9784 4.3914 Saint-Minel-Ge-Chawaignee 814-877 Cou	Boches l'Evêque	814-922	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Roches l'Evêque	47 7772	0.8922
	Boermond	222-877	Haertle (1997) Coupland (2011b) Zuvderwyk	Boermond	51 193179	5 98624
Bonne I (Forum) 887-560 Mercaf (1992) Bonne 41.9609 12.46194 Rossi Na 814-840 Doménech-Edela et al. (2013) Rossi Neilel 52.8787 7.03843 Rossinki 814-840 Coupland (2020) Saint Drie Vincux 81.480 Coupland (2020) Saint Drie Vincux 81.4877 Coupland (2020) Saint Prise Vincux 81.4877 Coupland (2020) Saint Prise Vincux 81.4877 Logital (1997) Saint Prise Vincux 81.4877 Logital (1997) Saint Prise Vincux 81.4871 Logital (1997) Saint-Prise 45.5130 2.7053 Saint-Denis 80.4877 Harelie (1997) Saint-Denis 46.614 -1.3051 Saint-Martin-sur-le-Pris Saint-Martin-sur-le-Prise Saint-Martin-sur-le-Prise 43.9778 L.3041 Saint-Martin-sur-le-Prise 81.4877 Coupland (2014) Saint-Martin-sur-le-Prise 43.9778 L.3041 Saint-Pierre-de-Maillé 81.4877 Coupland (2014) Saint-Scine-TAinelis 46.6971 0.8447 Saint-Pierre-de-Maillé 81.4840 Benoit and Braustein (1932) S	Rochilolia	222-011	and Besteman (2010)	Roermond	01.100110	0.00024
	Bome I (Forum)	887-950	Metcalf (1992)	Bome	41 90509	12 46104
Toosa 12.03 Boars 12.25002 1.17803 Roswinkel 708-882 Morrison and Grunthal (1907) Roswinkel 52.85787 7.03843 Ratterdam 81.4877 Coupland (2020) Saint-Price-le-Vineux 41.7540 Saint Price 884-897 Doménech-Belda et al. (2013) Saint-Price-le-Vineux 45.5133 1.030621 Saint-Forieuc 864-875 Hoertle (1997) Saint-Calais 45.5135 1.020618 Saint-Cyr-en-Talmondais 81.4877 Morrison and Grunthal (1907) Saint-Calais 45.8136 -2.7653 Saint-Denis 79.875 Haertle (1997) Saint-Calais 45.9364 -2.3547 Saint-Mirni-sur-le-Pré Coupland (2014) Saint-Mirni-sur-le-Pré 45.9364 -1.794104 Saint-Mirni-sur-le-Pré Coupland (2014) Saint-Mirni-sur-le-Pré 48.9778 -1.794104 Saint-Pierre-de-Mailé 51.4840 Beengit and Berustein (1983) Saint-Pierre-de-Mailé 66.797 -1.794104 Saint-Pierre-de-Floren I 52.8477 Coupland (2014) Saint-Pierre-de-Mailé 66.	Rome II (Vatican)	808 022	Merrison and Crunthal (1967)	Romo	41.90509	12.40194
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Rome II (vaticali)	898-922	Doménoch Bolde et al. (2012)	Rome	41.90509	12.40194
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Deguinhal	769 990	Memicen and Crumthal (1067)	Rosas	42.200002	J.170090 7 02042
	Dettendere	100-002	Compand (2020)	Dettendere	52.03707	1.03643
	Conterdam	014-040	Coupland (2020)	Coint Drie le Vin mar	51.919909 47.74901	4.47044
Saint Froit Saint Spring Jubble Jubble <thjuble< th=""> <</thjuble<>	Saint Bris le vineux	814-877	Coupland (2020)	Saint-Bris-le-Vineux	47.74291	3.001349
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Saint Ponc	884-887	Domenech-Belda et al. (2013)	Saint-Ponç	41.963245	1.603627
Samt-Calais 84:8435 Inerte (1997) Samt-Calais 48:5130 -27.653 Saint-Calais Saint-Sain	Saint Yrieix la Perche	888-898	Coupland (2020)	Saint-Yrieix-la-Perche	45.51359	1.203618
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Saint-Brieuc	864-875	Haertle (1997)	Saint-Brieuc	48.5136	-2.7653
Samt-Cyr-en-Taimondas \$14.877 Morrson and Grunthal (1907) Samt-Daris Samt-Martin-sur-le-Pré 40.4014 -1.3360 Saint-Denis 793-875 Haertle (1997) Saint-Martin-sur-le- Pré Saint-Martin-sur-le- Pré 9.778 4.3394 Saint-Michel-de-Chavingnes Slat-Stripper-de-Maillé 814-877 Coupland (2014) Saint-Michel-de- Pré 46.018584 0.570918 Saint-Pierre-de-Kaullé 814-877 Coupland Mosegaard (2012) Saint-Pierre-de-Fleurs 40.0086 -1.794104 Saint-Pierre-de-S-Fleurs I 828-877 Coupland Mosegaard (2012) Saint-Pierre-de-Fleurs 40.2514 0.9667 Saint-Seine-JAbbaye Coupland (2014) Saint-Seine-JAbbaye 74.00008 47.878037 Saint-Seine-JAbbaye Coupland (2020) Saint-Seine-JAbbaye 74.00003 47.878037 Sarlat 814-877 Coupland (2020) Saintago de Com- 200-42.880265 5.543118 Sarlat 814-877 Coupland Gruph Saintago de Com- 200-42.880267 5.543118 Sarlat 814-877 Coupland Gruph Saintago de Com- 200-42.880267 5.543118 Sarlat	Saint-Calais	768-877	Paty (1848)	Saint-Calais	47.9211	0.7439
Saint-Denis 793-87 Haertle (1997) Corpland (2014) Saint-Denis 45.9364 2.3547 Saint-Martin-sur-le-Pré Saint-Michel-de-Tanu \$14-877 Coupland (2014) Saint-Michel-de- 48.9378 4.3394 Saint-Michel-de-Chavaignes Haertle (1997) Saint-Michel-de- 48.01854 0.570918 Saint-Pierre-de-Maillé \$14-877 Coupland and Moesgaard (2012) Saint-Pierre-de-Fleurs 40.2514 0.9667 Saint-Siener-Acb-Maillé \$14-877 Coupland and Moesgaard (2012) Saint-Pierre-de-Fleurs 40.2514 0.9667 Saint-Siener-Acb-Maillé \$61-966 Compland (2014) Saint-Siener-Acb-Bleurs 42.880265 -5.543118 Saint-Siener-Mabaye \$61-966 Compland (2014) Saint-Siener-Mabaye 42.880265 -5.543118 Saintat \$14-877 Coupland (2020) Sarlat-La-Canéda 44.889865 1.216381 Sarlatt \$14-877 Coupland (2020) Sarlat-La-Canéda 44.889865 1.216381 Sarlatt \$14-877 Coupland (2014) Saint-Pierre-de-Lande 44.93047 .30002 <td>Saint-Cyr-en-Talmondais</td> <td>814-877</td> <td>Morrison and Grunthal (1967)</td> <td>Saint-Cyr-en-</td> <td>46.4614</td> <td>-1.3356</td>	Saint-Cyr-en-Talmondais	814-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Saint-Cyr-en-	46.4614	-1.3356
Saint-Denis 793-875 Haertle (1997) Saint-Denis 48.9978 43.394 Saint-Mirtin-sur-le-Pré Coupland (2014) Saint-Martin-sur-le- Pré Pré Saint-Mirch-de-Chavaignes Sl4877 Coupland (2014) Saint-Mirch-de- Chavaignes 1.794104 Saint-Pierre-de-Mallé 814-877 Coupland (2014) Saint-Mirch-ed-ex-Fleurs 1.794104 Saint-Pierre-de-Mallé 814-877 Coupland (2012) Saint-Pierre-des-Fleurs 9.2514 0.9667 Saint-Sinei-Pierre-des-Fleurs 823-877 Coupland (2014) Saint-Sinei-Fleures 49.2514 0.9667 Saint-Sinei-Pierre-des-Fleurs 9.051-96 Condench-Belda et al. (2013) Saint-Sinei-Fleures 49.2514 0.9667 Saint-Sinei-Fleures 800-888 Doménech-Belda et al. (2013) Saint-Sinei-Fleures 42.88026 5.543118 Sarata 814-877 Coupland (2020) Saint-Mirch-acda 44.89865 1.216381 Sarata 814-877 Coupland (2020) Saumeray 44.81947 7.36602 Savigne-sous-le-Lude 843-898 Morrison and Grunthal (1967)				Talmondais		
Saint-Martin-sur-le-Pré Coupland (2014) Saint-Martin-sur-le- Pré 48,9778 4.3394 Saint-Michel-de-Chavaignes Value (1997) Saint-Michel-de- Saint-Michel-de-Chavaignes Saint-Michel-de- Saint-Pierre-de-Maillé 48,01788 48,018584 0.570918 Saint-Pierre-de-Maillé 814-877 Coupland and Mossgaard (2012) Saint-Pierre-de-Fleurs 40,2514 0.9667 Saint-Seine-Vabaye Saint-Seine-Vabaye Saint-Seine-Vabaye 49,2514 0.9667 Sainta Seine-Vabaye Saint-Seine-Vabaye Coupland (2014) Saint-Seine-Vabaye 44,2514 0.9667 Santa Elena 961-966 Doménech-Belda et al. (2013) Irun 43,37137 -1.768251 Santa Seine-Vabaye Saint-Seine-Vabaye 42,880265 -5.543118 Sarzana 768-814 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Saumeray 42,89026 -2.1754 Sawerne Daplesey (1985) Saverne-Veron 47,72054 -0.1704 Savigne overon 813-877 Coupland (2020) Savign-sous-le-Lude 46,73947 7.36602 Savigne veron 814-840 Coupland (2020)<	Saint-Denis	793-875	Haertle (1997)	Saint-Denis	48.9364	2.3547
Saint-Mene-le-Tenu 814-877 Coupland (2014) Saint-Michel-de-Chavaignes 1.794104 Saint-Michel-de-Chavaignes 814-840 Benoit and Braunstein (1983) Saint-Michel-de-Chavaignes 50.570918 Saint-Pierre-de-Maillé 814-840 Benoit and Braunstein (1983) Saint-Pierre-des-Fleurs 14 828-808 Cardon et al. (2008) Saint-Pierre-des-Fleurs 49.2514 0.9667 Saint-Siene-l'Abbaye Coupland (2014) Saint-Siene-l'Abbaye 42.8610265 -5.543118 Sarata Saint-Siene-l'Abbaye Coupland (2014) Saint-Siene-l'Abbaye 42.860265 -5.543118 Sarata 814-877 Coupland (2010) Saint-Siene-l'Abbaye 42.860265 -5.543118 Sarata 814-877 Coupland (2020) Saint-Miche-de-Chavaignes 1.798586 Saumeray 843-887 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Saureray 48.25027 1.32157 Saurenta 916+841 Coupland (2020) Savign-sous-le-Lude 48.73947 7.36602 Savigny en Véron 814-840 Coupland (2020) Savign-sous-le-Lude 47.061845 0.05801	Saint-Martin-sur-le-Pré		Coupland (2014)	Saint-Martin-sur-le-	48.9778	4.3394
Saint-Memele-Tenu 81.4-877 Coupland (2014) Saint-Michel-de-Tenu 47.020808 -1.794104 Saint-Michel-de-Chavaignes Haertle (1997) Saint-Michel-de-Chavaignes 0.570918 Saint-Pierre-des-Fleurs I 823-877 Coupland and Moesgaard (2012) Saint-Pierre-des-Fleurs 49.2514 0.9667 Saint-Seine-I'Abbaye Coupland and Moesgaard (2012) Saint-Seine-I'Abbaye 42.5810 0.9667 Saint-Seine-I'Abbaye Coupland (2014) Saint-Seine-I'Abbaye 42.5810 0.9667 Saint-Seine-I'Abbaye Coupland (2014) Saint-Seine-I'Abbaye 42.58102-6 8.56311 Sartat 810-877 Coupland (2020) Saintargo de Compostela 42.880265 -1.2168 Sarana 768-814 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Saumeray 48.25027 -1.32157 Sawerne Daplesey (1985) Saverne 48.73937 -0.1704 Saverge-sous-le-Lude 84.487 Coupland (2020) Sarign-sous-le-Lude 47.61845 0.65801 Savigny en Véron 81.4877 Coupland (2014) Seiches-su-le-Loid 47.05554 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Pré</td> <td></td> <td></td>				Pré		
Saint-Michel-de-Chavignes Harrle (1997) Saint-Michel-de- Chavignes 48.18584 0.570918 Saint-Pierre-de-Maillé 814-840 Benoit and Braunstein (1983) Saint-Pierre-de-Maillé 46.6797 0.8444 Saint-Pierre-des-Fleurs II 828-895 Coupland and Mosganad (2012) Saint-Fierre-des-Fleurs 49.2514 0.9667 Saint-Seine-IAbbaye Coupland (2014) Saint-Seine-IAbbaye 47.44003 47.88023 Sartat 810-866 Doménech-Belda et al. (2013) Irun 43.37137 -1.768251 Sartata 814-877 Coupland (2020) Sainta-Ganda 44.889665 1.216381 Sarzana 768-814 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Saumuray 48.25027 1.22157 Saumuray 843-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Saumuray 47.61045 0.05801 Savigné-Sous-L-Lude 843-897 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Saure 48.3947 7.36602 Savigné-Sous-L-Lude 84.8497 Coupland (2014) Seiches-suri-Loir 47.61845 0.05801 Savigné-Sous-L-Lude 84.8490	Saint-Même-le-Tenu	814-877	Coupland (2014)	Saint-Même-le-Tenu	47.020808	-1.794104
Chavaignes Chavaignes Saint-Pierre-des-Aillé 81-84 6.679 0.8444 Saint-Pierre-des-Fleurs I 823-877 Coupland and Moesgaard (2012) Saint-Pierre-des-Fleurs 49.2514 0.9667 Saint-Seine-I'Abbaye Coupland (2014) Saint-Seine-I'Abbaye 49.2514 0.9667 Saint-Seine-I'Abbaye Goupland (2014) Saint-Seine-I'Abbaye 47.440003 4.788637 Santago de Compostela 800-888 Doménech-Belda et al. (2013) Saintago de Com 42.881026 -8.543118 Sarlat 814-877 Coupland (2020) Sarlat-la-Canéda 44.88965 1.216381 Saumeray 843-897 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Saumeray 48.2502 1.32157 Sawerne Daplessy (1985) Saverne 48.7394 0.1704 Saverne 19.2187 Coupland (2020) Sarigné-sous-le-Lude 47.61845 0.56801 Saverne 19.41877 Coupland (2020) Saverne 47.375315 0.362977 Savigné-sous-le-Lude 81.4877 Coupland (2014) Seiches-sur-le-Lude <td>Saint-Michel-de-Chavaignes</td> <td></td> <td>Haertle (1997)</td> <td>Saint-Michel-de-</td> <td>48.018584</td> <td>0.570918</td>	Saint-Michel-de-Chavaignes		Haertle (1997)	Saint-Michel-de-	48.018584	0.570918
				Chavaignes		
Saint-Pierre-des-Fleurs I 823-877 Coupland and Moesgard (2012) Saint-Pierre-des-Fleurs 49.2514 0.9667 Saint-Seine-l'Abbaye 7.440003 4.788637 Saint-Seine-l'Abbaye 80.088-898 Cardon et al. (2013) Saint-Seine-l'Abbaye 47.440003 4.788637 Sainta Elena 961-966 Doménch-Belda et al. (2013) Saintago de Com- 42.889265 -8.543118 Sarlat 800-888 Doménch-Belda et al. (2013) Saintago de Com- 42.889265 1.216381 Sarlat 814-877 Coupland (2020) Sainta-la-Canéda 44.11186 9.95886 Saumeray 843-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Saumar 47.1218 -0.1704 Saverne Bavigné-sous-le-Lude 843-898 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Savigné-sous-le-Lude 45.73947 7.36002 Savigné-sous-le-Lude 843-897 Coupland (2014) Salverne 47.105554 0.1704 Savigné-sous-le-Lude 843-877 Coupland (2014) Salversous-le-Lude 47.735515 0.362977 Serinon 814-840 Coupland (2014)	Saint-Pierre-de-Maillé	814-840	Benoit and Braunstein (1983)	Saint-Pierre-de-Maillé	46.6797	0.8444
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Saint-Pierre-des-Fleurs I	823-877	Coupland and Moesgaard (2012)	Saint-Pierre-des-Fleurs	49.2514	0.9667
Saint-Seine-l'Abbaye Coupland (2014) Saint-Seine-l'Abbaye 47.440003 4.788637 Santa Elena 800-888 Doménech-Belda et al. (2013) Irum 43.37137 -1.786251 Sarlat 814-877 Coupland (2020) Sarlat-la-Canéda 44.88965 1.216381 Sarzana 768-814 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Sarzana 44.11186 9.95866 Saumeray 843-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Saumeray 48.25027 1.21631 Saverne Duplesy (1985) Saverne 48.73947 7.36602 Savigné-sous-le-Lude 843-898 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Savigné-sous-le-Lude 47.1218 -0.1704 Savigné-sous-le-Lude 843-898 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Savigné-sous-le-Lude 47.1218 -0.0501 Savigné-sous-le-Loir 75.15815 0.362977 Scianton 43.772823 6.704362 Sevilla region 884-898 Parvérie (2018) Sevilla 37.33030 5.903535 's-Hertogenbosch 814-840 Coupland (2014) Silverdale <td< td=""><td>Saint-Pierre-des-Fleurs II</td><td>888-898</td><td>Cardon et al. (2008)</td><td>Saint-Pierre-des-Fleurs</td><td>49.2514</td><td>0.9667</td></td<>	Saint-Pierre-des-Fleurs II	888-898	Cardon et al. (2008)	Saint-Pierre-des-Fleurs	49.2514	0.9667
Santa Elena 961-966 Doménech-Belda et al. (2013) Irun 43.337137 1.786251 Santiago de Compostela 800-888 Doménech-Belda et al. (2013) Santiago de Com- 42.880265 -8.543118 Sarlat 814-877 Coupland (2020) Sarlat-la-Canéda 44.889865 1.216381 Sarzana 768-814 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Saumuray 48.25027 1.32157 Saumur-Thouars 843-898 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Saumeray 48.73947 7.36602 Savigny en Véron 844-877 Coupland (2020) Savigny-en-Véron 47.71218 -0.1704 Savigny en Véron 844-877 Coupland (2020) Savigny-en-Véron 47.205554 0.147106 Seiches sur le Loir 751-814 Coupland (2020) Séranon 43.772823 6.704362 Sigean 768-814 Coupland (2014) Selferogenbosch 51.698578 5.303773 Sigean 768-814 Coupland (2020) Sigean 43.0287 2.978539 Silyeerale 800-898 Parvérie (2018) <	Saint-Seine-l'Abbaye		Coupland (2014)	Saint-Seine-l'Abbaye	47.440003	4.788637
Santiago de Compostela800-888Doménech-Belda et al. (2013)Santiago de Compostela 42.880265 $e.8543118$ Sarlat814-877Coupland (2020)Sarlat-la-Canéda 44.889865 1.216381 Sarzana768-814Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Sarzana 44.1186 9.95886 Saumeray843-877Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Saumeray 43.825027 1.32157 Sawuru-Thouars843-898Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Sawuru 47.218 -0.1704 SaverneDuplesy (1985)Saverne 48.73947 7.36602 Savigné-sous-le-Lude843-898Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Savigné-sous-le-Lude 47.61845 0.05801 Savigné-sous-le-Lude843-898Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Savigné-sous-le-Lude 47.61845 0.05801 Savigné-sous-le-Lude843-898Parérie (2018)Sáriano 43.72823 6.704362 Sevrilla region888-898Parérie (2018)Séranon 43.72823 6.704362 Sigean768-814Coupland (2014)'s-Hertogenbosch 51.698578 5.303773 Sigean768-814Coupland (2014)'s-Hertogenbosch 51.698578 5.303773 Sigean768-814Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Sendre Bø 58.11019 6.88224 Minor Finds751-1027Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Sendre Bø 84.16732 8.482652 Szabadbatyán824-954Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Szabadbatyán 47.17845 18.69228 <	Santa Elena	961-966	Doménech-Belda et al. (2013)	Irun	43.337137	-1.786251
Sarlat 814-877 Coupland (2020) Sarlat-la-Canéda 44.889865 1.216381 Sarzana 768-814 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Sarzana 44.11186 9.95886 Saumur-Thouars 843-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Saumuray 48.25027 1.32157 Saumur-Thouars 843-889 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Saurera 48.73947 7.36602 Savigny-en-Veron 814-877 Coupland (2020) Savigny-en-Véron 47.7208554 0.14710 Savigny en Véron 814-877 Coupland (2020) Seranon 47.7208554 0.362977 Séranon 814-840 Coupland (2020) Seranon 43.772823 6.704362 Sevilla region 888-898 Parvérie (2018) Sevilla 37.393305 5.993535 's-Hertogenbosch 814-840 Coupland (2020) Sigean 43.0287 2.978539 Silgean 768-814 Coupland (2014) 's-Hertogenbosch 51.998578 5.303773 Silgean 764-7824 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Sarabadbattyán	Santiago de Compostela	800-888	Doménech-Belda et al. (2013)	Santiago de Com-	42.880265	-8.543118
Sarlat814-877Coupland (2020)Sarlat-la-Canéda44.8898651.216381Sarzana768-814Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Sarzana44.111869.95886Saumeray843-878Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Saumeray48.25021.32157Sawmur-Thouars843-898Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Saverne47.51218-0.1704SaverneDuplessy (1985)Saverne47.618450.05801Savigné-sous-le-Lude814-877Coupland (2020)Savigný-en-Véron47.7205540.147106Savigny en Véron814-877Coupland (2020)Séranon43.7728236.704362Seiches sur le Loir751-814Coupland (2020)Séranon43.7728236.704362Sevilla region814-840Coupland (2020)Sigean43.02872.978539Silverdale800-898Coupland (2014)Silverdale51.6955785.303773Sigean768-814Coupland (2014)Silverdale54.167322-2.82505Minor Finds751-1027Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Sendre Bø54.167322-2.82505Strasbourg-Basel814-883Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Sandre Bø58.11016.68224Szabadbattyán826-950Huszár (1955)Szabadbattyán47.1179818.60228Szabadbattyán888-924Kovács (1989)Szabadbattyán47.1179818.60228Szabadbattyán902-947Huszár (1955)Szabadbattyán47.1179818.60228Szabadbattyán<				postela		
Sarzana 768-814 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Sarzana 44.11186 9.95886 Saumeray 843-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Saumuru 45.25027 1.32157 Saumur-Thouars 843-898 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Saumuru 47.1218 -0.1704 Saverne 847.9947 7.36602 Savigné-sous-le-Lude 47.61845 0.05801 Savigné-sous-le-Lude 843-898 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Savigny-en-Véron 47.578315 0.362977 Séranon 814-840 Coupland (2020) Séranon 43.772823 6.704362 Sevilla region 888-898 Parvérie (2018) Sevilla 37.393305 5.993535 's-Hertogenbosch 814-840 Coupland (2020) Sigean 43.0287 2.978539 Silverdale 800-898 Coupland (2020) Silverdale 54.167322 -2.82505 Minor Finds 751-1027 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Sardardegyháza 47.17785 18.69228 Szabadegyháza 884-954 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) <td< td=""><td>Sarlat</td><td>814-877</td><td>Coupland (2020)</td><td>Sarlat-la-Canéda</td><td>44.889865</td><td>1.216381</td></td<>	Sarlat	814-877	Coupland (2020)	Sarlat-la-Canéda	44.889865	1.216381
Saumeray 843-877 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Saumeray 48.25027 1.32157 Saumur-Thouars 843-889 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Saumur 47.1218 -0.1704 Saverne Duplessy (1985) Saverne 48.73947 7.36602 Savigné-sous-le-Lude 843-898 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Savigné-sous-le-Lude 47.61845 0.05801 Savigny en Véron 814-877 Coupland (2020) Savigny-en-Véron 47.25554 0.147106 Seiches sur le Loir 751-814 Coupland (2020) Séranon 43.772823 6.704362 Sevilla region 888-898 Parvérie (2018) Sevilla 37.39305 5.993535 's-Hertogenbosch 814-840 Coupland (2014) 's-Hertogenbosch 51.698578 5.303773 Sigean 768-814 Coupland (2014) Silverdale 54.167322 -2.82505 Minor Finds 751-1027 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Strasbourg/Basel 48.171 7.6473 Szabadbattyán 826-950 Huszár (1955) Szabadbatyán	Sarzana	768-814	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Sarzana	44.11186	9.95886
Saumur-Thouars 843-898 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Saumur 47.1218 -0.1704 Saverne Duplessy (1985) Saverne 48.73947 7.36602 Savigné-sous-le-Lude 843-898 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Savigné-sous-le-Lude 47.1218 -0.1704 Savigné-sous-le-Lude 843-898 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Savigné-sous-le-Loir 47.61845 0.06002 Seiches sur le Loir 751-814 Coupland (2020) Séranon 43.772823 6.704362 Sevilla region 888-898 Parvérie (2018) Sevilla 37.393305 -5.993535 's-Hertogenbosch 814-840 Coupland (2020) Sigean 43.0287 2.978539 Silgean 768-814 Coupland (2020) Sigean 43.0287 2.978539 Silgean 751-1027 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Sendre Bø 58.11019 6.88224 Sexabadetyán 826-950 Huszár (1955) Szabadbattyán 47.11798 18.3029 Szabadegyháza 888-924 Kovács (1989) Szabadegyháza <td< td=""><td>Saumeray</td><td>843-877</td><td>Morrison and Grunthal (1967)</td><td>Saumeray</td><td>48.25027</td><td>1.32157</td></td<>	Saumeray	843-877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Saumeray	48.25027	1.32157
SaverneDuplessy (1985)SaverneSaverne48.739477.36602Savigné-sous-le-Lude843-898Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Savigné-sous-le-Lude47.618450.05801Savigny en Véron814-877Coupland (2020)Savigny-en-Véron47.205540.147106Seiches sur le Loir751-814Coupland (2020)Séranon43.7728236.704362Sevilla region888-898Parvérie (2018)Sevilla37.393305-5.993535's-Hertogenbosch814-840Coupland (2020)Sigean43.02872.978539Silverdale800-898Coupland (2020)Sigean43.02872.978539Silverdale800-898Coupland (2020)Sigean43.02872.978539Silverdale800-898Coupland (2014)Silverdale58.110196.88224Strasbourg-Basel843-954Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Søndre Bø58.110196.88224Szabadbattyán826-950Huszár (1955)Szabadbattyán47.1179818.3629Szabadegyháza888-924Kovács (1989)Szekszárd46.26517920.140614Szekszárd902-924Coupland (2020)Tairega41.6485641.140707Taizy864-877Coupland (2020)Taizy49.519674.25832Teloché864-877Coupland (2020)Taizy49.519674.25832Teiché864-877Coupland (2020)Taizy49.519674.25832Teiché864-877Coupland (2020)Teiché<	Saumur-Thouars	843-898	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Saumur	47 1218	-0 1704
Savigné-sous-le-Lude 843-898 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Savigné-sous-le-Lude 47.61845 0.05021 Savigné-sous-le-Lude 814-877 Coupland (2020) Savigné-sous-le-Luie 47.61845 0.05801 Savigné-sous-le-Luie 814-877 Coupland (2020) Savigné-sous-le-Loir 47.578315 0.362977 Séranon 814-840 Coupland (2020) Séranon 43.772823 6.704362 Sevilla region 888-898 Parvérie (2018) Sevilla 37.393305 5.993535 Sigean 768-814 Coupland (2014) 's-Hertogenbosch 51.698578 5.303773 Sigean 768-814 Coupland (2014) Silverdale 54.167322 2.82505 Minor Finds 751-1027 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Søndre Bø 58.11019 6.88224 Szabadbattyán 826-950 Huszár (1955) Szabadbattyán 47.11788 18.3629 Szabadbattyán 902-944 Kovács (1989) Szabadbattyán 47.07845 18.69228 Szekszárd 902-944 Huszár (1955) S	Saverne	010 000	Duplessy (1985)	Saverne	48 73947	7 36602
Darkguessbard Darkage Darkage <thdarkage< th=""></thdarkage<>	Savigné-sous-le-Lude	8/13-808	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Savigné-sous-le-Lude	47 61845	0.05801
Darking en velocit Ortgrand (2027) Darking en velocit 41.2050/stress 0.14100/stress Seiches sur le Loir 751-814 Coupland (2020) Seiches sur-le-Loir 47.57831 0.36207 Serian 814-840 Coupland (2020) Serianon 43.772823 6.704362 Sevilla region 888-898 Parvérie (2018) Sevilla 37.393305 -5.993535 Sigean 768-814 Coupland (2014) 's-Hertogenbosch 51.69578 5.30773 Sigean 768-814 Coupland (2020) Sigean 43.0287 2.978539 Silverdale 800-898 Coupland (2014) Silverdale 54.167322 -2.82505 Minor Finds 751-1027 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Søndre Bø 58.11019 6.88224 Strasbourg-Basel 843-954 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Szabadegyháza 47.07845 18.6928 Szabadegyháza 88-924 Korács (1989) Szabadegyháza 47.07845 18.6928 Szedeg-othalom 902-924 Coupland (2014) Szeged 46.265179 <td>Savigny en Véron</td> <td>814-877</td> <td>Coupland (2020)</td> <td>Savigny-en-Véron</td> <td>47 205554</td> <td>0.147106</td>	Savigny en Véron	814-877	Coupland (2020)	Savigny-en-Véron	47 205554	0.147106
Schemes and reform First and the coupland (2014) Schemes and reform 41.516310 6.002371 Séranon 814-840 Coupland (2020) Séranon 37.72823 6.704362 Sevilla region 888-898 Parvérie (2018) Sevilla 37.393305 5.993535 Sigean 768-814 Coupland (2020) Sigean 43.0287 2.978539 Silverdale 800-898 Coupland (2014) Silverdale 54.167322 -2.82505 Minor Finds 751-1027 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Søndre Bø 58.11019 6.88224 Strasbourg-Basel 843-954 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Søndre Bø 58.11019 6.88224 Szabadbattyán 826-950 Huszár (1955) Szabadbattyán 47.17784 18.69228 Szedeg-othalom 902-924 Kovács (1989) Szabadegyháza 47.07845 18.69228 Szekszárd 902-947 Huszár (1955) Szekszárd 46.265179 20.140614 Szekszárd 902-914 Houszár (1955) Szekszárd 46.265179 20.1	Saiches sur le Loir	751-814	Coupland (2014)	Saiches-sur-le-Loir	47 578315	0.362977
Sevilla361-950Coupland (2020)Sevilla37.1922561.64502Sevilla region888-898Parvérie (2018)Sevilla37.393305-5.993535's-Hertogenbosch814-840Coupland (2014)'s-Hertogenbosch51.6985785.303773Sigean768-814Coupland (2020)Sigean43.02872.978539Minor Finds751-1027Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Sondre Bø58.110196.88224Strasbourg-Basel843-954Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Szabadbattyán47.1179818.3629Szabadbattyán826-950Huszár (1955)Szabadbattyán47.1179818.3629Szabadbattyán902-924Kovács (1989)Szabadbattyán47.0784518.69228Szekszárd902-947Huszár (1955)Szekszárd46.3477918.70626Tairega887-928Doménech-Belda et al. (2013)Tairega41.6485641.140707Taizy864-877Coupland (2020)Taizy49.519674.25832Techché864-877Hucher (1845)Teloché47.889870.26731Ter Apel900-911Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Ter Apel52.8783597.06381Ter Apel900-911Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Ter Apel52.878597.06381Ter Apel900-911Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Ter Apel52.878597.06381Ter Apel900-911Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Ter Apel52.878597.063981Ter Apel900-911M	Séranon	814 840	Coupland (2014)	Sérenon	43 779893	6 704362
Sevina region Sevina 51.395303 -5.301763 <	Serilla nomion	014-040	Coupland (2020)	Serallo	45.112625	5.002525
Shertogenbosch 514-540 Coupland (2014) Shertogenbosch 51059576 5.305775 Sigean 768-814 Coupland (2020) Sigean 43.0287 2.978539 Silverdale 800-898 Coupland (2014) Silverdale 54.167322 -2.82505 Minor Finds 751-1027 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Søndre Bø 58.11019 6.88224 Strasbourg-Basel 843-954 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Strasbourg/Basel 48.171 7.6473 Szabadbattyán 826-950 Huszár (1955) Szabadegyháza 47.07845 18.6929 Szabadegyháza 888-924 Kovács (1989) Szekszárd 46.265179 20.140614 Szekszárd 902-947 Huszár (1955) Szekszárd 46.265179 20.140614 Szekszárd 902-947 Huszár (1955) Szekszárd 46.28574 1.40707 Taizy 864-877 Coupland (2020) Taizy 49.51967 4.25832 Techché 864-877 Hucher (1845) Teloché 47.88987 0.26731 <td>a Hanta namb agab</td> <td>000-090</td> <td>Compland (2014)</td> <td>a Hontomonhoach</td> <td>57.595505 E1 COSE79</td> <td>-0.990000 E 202772</td>	a Hanta namb agab	000-090	Compland (2014)	a Hontomonhoach	57.595505 E1 COSE79	-0.990000 E 202772
Silgean 708-614 Coupland (2020) Silgean 45.0287 2.978539 Silverdale 800-898 Coupland (2014) Silverdale 54.167322 -2.82505 Minor Finds 751-1027 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Søndre Bø 58.11019 6.88224 Strasbourg-Basel 843-954 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Srabdustyán 47.07845 18.6629 Szabadbattyán 826-950 Huszár (1955) Szabadbetyán 47.07845 18.6629 Szedeg-othalom 902-924 Coupland (2014) Szeged 46.265179 20.140614 Szekszárd 902-947 Huszár (1955) Szekszárd 46.34779 18.70626 Tairrega 887-928 Doménech-Belda et al. (2013) Tarrega 41.648564 1.140707 Taizy 864-877 Hucher (1845) Teloché 47.88987 0.26731 Ter Apel 900-911 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Ter Apel 52.878359 7.663981 Ter Heijde 814-866 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Ter Apel 52.02903 4.164265 Ter Heijde 814-866 Morrison and Grunt	S-Hertogenbosch	014-040 769 914	Coupland (2014)	S-Hertogenbosch	01.090070 42.0287	0.000770
Shverdale Sold-Syse Coluptand (2014) Shverdale Shverdale State	Silgean	200-014	Coupland (2020)	Silgean	43.0207	2.9700009
Millor Filds $731-1027$ Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Søndre Bø58.110196.88224Søndre Bø814-883Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Strasbourg/Basel48.1717.6473Szabadbattyán826-950Huszár (1955)Szabadbattyán47.1179818.3629Szabadegyháza888-924Kovács (1989)Szabadegyháza47.0784518.69228Szedeg-othalom902-924Coupland (2014)Szeged46.26517920.140614Szekszárd902-947Huszár (1955)Szekszárd46.3477918.70626Tarrega887-928Doménech-Belda et al. (2013)Tarrega41.6485641.140707Taizy864-877Coupland (2020)Taizy49.519674.25832Teloché864-877Hucher (1845)Teloché47.889870.26731Ter Apel900-911Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Ter Apel52.8783597.063981Ter Heijde814-840Coupland (2020)Ter Apel52.029034.164265Terslev814-966Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Terslev53.747611.9693Thoiry875-894Haertle (1997)Thoiry48.865191.79463Thouars822-855Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Tiszaeszlàr48.0521.46667Tiszaeszlàr I814-950Kovács (1989)Tiszaeszlàr48.0521.46667Tiszaeszlàr II926-950Kovács (1989)Tiszaeszlàr48.0521.46667Tiszanána888-946Kovács (1989)Tisz	Minon Finda	000-090 751 1097	Momisson and Counthal (1067)	Silverdale	34.107322	-2.82303
Sondre Bø 814-883 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Søndre Bø 58.11019 6.88224 Strasbourg-Basel 843-954 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Strasbourg/Basel 48.171 7.6473 Szabadbattyán 826-950 Huszár (1955) Szabadbattyán 47.11798 18.3629 Szabadegyháza 888-924 Kovács (1989) Szabadegyháza 47.07845 18.69228 Szedeg-othalom 902-924 Coupland (2014) Szeged 46.265179 20.140614 Szekszárd 902-947 Huszár (1955) Szekszárd 46.34779 18.70626 Tarrega 887-928 Doménech-Belda et al. (2013) Tarrega 41.648564 1.140707 Taizy 864-877 Coupland (2020) Taizy 49.51967 4.25832 Ter Apel 900-911 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Teloché 47.88987 0.26731 Ter Heijde 814-840 Coupland (2020) Ter Apel 52.878359 7.063981 Ther Heijde 814-840 Coupland (2020) Ter Heijde 52.37476	Minor Finds	151-1027	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)		50 11010	6 00004
Strasbourg-Basel 843-93 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Strasbourg/Basel 48.171 7.6473 Szabadbattyán 826-950 Huszár (1955) Szabadbattyán 47.11798 18.692 Szabadegyháza 888-924 Kovács (1989) Szabadegyháza 47.07845 18.69228 Szedeg-othalom 902-924 Coupland (2014) Szeged 46.265179 20.140614 Szekszárd 902-947 Huszár (1955) Szekszárd 46.34779 18.70626 Tarrega 887-928 Doménech-Belda et al. (2013) Tarrega 41.648564 1.140707 Taizy 864-877 Coupland (2020) Taizy 49.51967 4.25832 Teloché 864-877 Hucher (1845) Teloché 47.88987 0.26731 Ter Apel 900-911 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Ter Apel 52.02903 4.164265 Terslev 814-840 Coupland (2020) Ter Heijde 52.37476 11.9693 Thoiry 875-894 Haertle (1997) Thoiry 48.86519 1.79463	Søndre Bø	814-883	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Søndre Bø	58.11019	6.88224
Szabadbáttyan 826-950 Huszar (1955) Szabadbáttyan 47.11798 18.3629 Szabadegyháza 888-924 Kovács (1989) Szabadegyháza 47.07845 18.69228 Szedeg-othalom 902-924 Coupland (2014) Szeged 46.265179 20.140614 Szekszárd 902-924 Huszár (1955) Szekszárd 46.34779 18.70628 Tarrega 887-928 Doménech-Belda et al. (2013) Tarrega 41.648564 1.140707 Taizy 864-877 Coupland (2020) Taizy 49.51967 4.25832 Teloché 864-877 Hucher (1845) Teloché 47.88987 0.26731 Ter Apel 900-911 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Ter Apel 52.878359 7.063981 Ter Heijde 814-840 Coupland (2020) Ter Heijde 52.37476 11.9693 Thoiry 875-894 Haertle (1997) Thoiry 48.86519 1.79463 Thoiry 875-894 Haertle (1997) Thoiry 48.86519 1.79463 Thouar	Strasbourg-Basel	843-954	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Strasbourg/Basel	48.171	7.6473
Szabadegyháza 888-924 Kovács (1989) Szabadegyháza 47.07845 18.69228 Szedeg-othalom 902-924 Coupland (2014) Szeged 46.265179 20.140614 Szekszárd 902-947 Huszár (1955) Szekszárd 46.34779 18.70626 Tarrega 887-928 Doménech-Belda et al. (2013) Tarrega 41.648564 1.140707 Taizy 864-877 Coupland (2020) Taizy 49.51967 4.25832 Teloché 864-877 Hucher (1845) Teloché 47.8987 0.26731 Ter Apel 900-911 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Ter Apel 52.878359 7.063981 Ter Heijde 814-866 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Ter Heijde 52.02903 4.164265 Thoiry 875-894 Haertle (1997) Thoiry 48.86519 1.79463 Thouars 822-855 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Thouars 46.977604 -0.21579 Tiel 898-922 Coupland (2011a) Tiel 51.88809 5.43069	Szabadbattyan	826-950	Huszar (1955)	Szabadbattyan	47.11798	18.3629
Szedeg-othalom 902-924 Coupland (2014) Szeged 46.265179 20.140614 Szekszárd 902-947 Huszár (1955) Szekszárd 46.34779 18.70626 Tarrega 887-928 Doménech-Belda et al. (2013) Tarrega 41.648564 1.140707 Taizy 864-877 Coupland (2020) Taizy 49.518967 4.25832 Teloché 864-877 Hucher (1845) Teloché 47.88987 0.26731 Ter Apel 900-911 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Ter Apel 52.87839 7.063981 Ter Heijde 814-840 Coupland (2020) Ter Heijde 52.37476 11.9693 Thoiry 814-966 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Terslev 55.37476 11.9693 Thoiry 875-894 Haertle (1997) Thoiry 48.86519 1.79463 Thouars 822-855 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Thouars 46.977604 -0.21579 Tiel 898-922 Coupland (2011a) Tiel 51.88809 5.43069 Ti	Szabadegyháza	888-924	Kovács (1989)	Szabadegyháza	47.07845	18.69228
Szekszárd 902-947 Huszár (1955) Szekszárd 46.34779 18.70626 Tarrega 887-928 Doménech-Belda et al. (2013) Tarrega 41.648564 1.140707 Taizy 864-877 Coupland (2020) Taizy 49.51967 4.25832 Teloché 864-877 Hucher (1845) Teloché 47.88987 0.26731 Ter Apel 900-911 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Ter Apel 52.878359 7.063981 Ter Heijde 814-840 Coupland (2020) Ter Heijde 52.37476 11.9693 Thoiry 875-894 Haertle (1997) Thoiry 48.86519 1.79463 Thoiry 875-894 Haertle (1997) Thouars 46.977604 -0.21579 Tiel 898-922 Coupland (2011a) Tiel 51.88809 5.43069 Tiszaeszlàr I 814-950 Kovács (1989) Tiszaeszlàr 48.05 21.46667 Tiszanána 888-946 Kovács (1989) Tiszanána 47.56111 20.52382	Szedeg-othalom	902-924	Coupland (2014)	Szeged	46.265179	20.140614
Tarrega887-928Doménech-Belda et al. (2013)Tarrega41.6485641.140707Taizy864-877Coupland (2020)Taizy49.519674.25832Teloché864-877Hucher (1845)Teloché47.889870.26731Ter Apel900-911Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Ter Apel52.8783597.063981Ter Heijde814-840Coupland (2020)Ter Heijde52.3747611.9693Terslev814-966Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Terslev55.3747611.9693Thoiry875-894Haertle (1997)Thoiry48.865191.79463Thouars822-855Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Thouars46.977604-0.21579Tiel898-922Coupland (2011a)Tiel51.888095.43069Tiszaeszlàr I814-950Kovács (1989)Tiszaeszlàr48.0521.46667Tiszanána888-946Kovács (1989)Tiszanána47.5611120.52382	Szekszárd	902-947	Huszár (1955)	Szekszárd	46.34779	18.70626
Taizy 864-877 Coupland (2020) Taizy 49.51967 4.25832 Teloché 864-877 Hucher (1845) Teloché 47.88987 0.26731 Ter Apel 900-911 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Ter Apel 52.878359 7.063981 Ter Heijde 814-840 Coupland (2020) Ter Heijde 52.07903 4.164265 Terslev 814-966 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Ter Heijde 55.37476 11.9693 Thoiry 875-894 Haertle (1997) Thoiry 48.86519 1.79463 Thouars 822-855 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Thouars 46.977604 -0.21579 Tiel 898-922 Coupland (2011a) Tiel 51.88809 5.43069 Tiszaeszlàr I 814-950 Kovács (1989) Tiszaeszlàr 48.05 21.46667 Tiszaeszlàr II 926-950 Kovács (1989) Tiszaeszlàr 48.05 21.46667 Tiszanána 888-946 Kovács (1989) Tiszanána 47.56111 20.52382	Tarrega	887-928	Doménech-Belda et al. (2013)	Tarrega	41.648564	1.140707
Teloché864-877Hucher (1845)Teloché47.889870.26731Ter Apel900-911Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Ter Apel52.8783597.063981Ter Heijde814-840Coupland (2020)Ter Heijde52.029034.164265Terslev814-966Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Terslev55.3747611.9693Thoiry875-894Haertle (1997)Thoiry48.865191.79463Thouars822-855Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Thouars46.977604-0.21579Tiel898-922Coupland (2011a)Tiel51.888095.43069Tiszaeszlàr I814-950Kovács (1989)Tiszaeszlàr48.0521.46667Tiszanána888-946Kovács (1989)Tiszanána47.5611120.52382	Taizy	864-877	Coupland (2020)	Taizy	49.51967	4.25832
Ter Apel900-911Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Ter Apel52.8783597.063981Ter Heijde814-840Coupland (2020)Ter Heijde52.029034.164265Terslev814-966Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Terslev55.3747611.9693Thoiry875-894Haertle (1997)Thoiry48.865191.79463Thouars822-855Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Thouars46.977604-0.21579Tiel898-922Coupland (2011a)Tiel51.888095.43069Tiszaeszlàr I814-950Kovács (1989)Tiszaeszlàr48.0521.46667Tiszanána888-946Kovács (1989)Tiszanána47.5611120.52382	Teloché	864 - 877	Hucher (1845)	Teloché	47.88987	0.26731
Ter Heijde 814-840 Coupland (2020) Ter Heijde 52.02903 4.164265 Terslev 814-966 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Terslev 55.37476 11.9693 Thoiry 875-894 Haertle (1997) Thoiry 48.86519 1.79463 Thouars 822-855 Morrison and Grunthal (1967) Thouars 46.977604 -0.21579 Tiel 898-922 Coupland (2011a) Tiel 51.88809 5.43069 Tiszaeszlàr I 814-950 Kovács (1989) Tiszaeszlàr 48.05 21.46667 Tiszanána 888-946 Kovács (1989) Tiszanána 47.56111 20.52382	Ter Apel	900-911	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Ter Apel	52.878359	7.063981
Terslev814-966Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Terslev55.3747611.9693Thoiry875-894Haertle (1997)Thoiry48.865191.79463Thouars822-855Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Thouars46.977604-0.21579Tiel898-922Coupland (2011a)Tiel51.888095.43069Tiszaeszlàr I814-950Kovács (1989)Tiszaeszlàr48.0521.46667Tiszaeszlàr II926-950Kovács (1989)Tiszaeszlàr48.0521.46667Tiszanána888-946Kovács (1989)Tiszanána47.5611120.52382	Ter Heijde	814-840	Coupland (2020)	Ter Heijde	52.02903	4.164265
Thoiry875-894Haertle (1997)Thoiry48.865191.79463Thouars822-855Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Thouars46.977604-0.21579Tiel898-922Coupland (2011a)Tiel51.888095.43069Tiszaeszlàr I814-950Kovács (1989)Tiszaeszlàr48.0521.46667Tiszaeszlàr II926-950Kovács (1989)Tiszaeszlàr48.0521.46667Tiszanána888-946Kovács (1989)Tiszanána47.5611120.52382	Terslev	814-966	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Terslev	55.37476	11.9693
Thouars822-855Morrison and Grunthal (1967)Thouars46.977604-0.21579Tiel898-922Coupland (2011a)Tiel51.888095.43069Tiszaeszlàr I814-950Kovács (1989)Tiszaeszlàr48.0521.46667Tiszaeszlàr II926-950Kovács (1989)Tiszaeszlàr48.0521.46667Tiszanána888-946Kovács (1989)Tiszanána47.5611120.52382	Thoiry	875 - 894	Haertle (1997)	Thoiry	48.86519	1.79463
Tiel898-922Coupland (2011a)Tiel51.888095.43069Tiszaeszlàr I814-950Kovács (1989)Tiszaeszlàr48.0521.46667Tiszaeszlàr II926-950Kovács (1989)Tiszaeszlàr48.0521.46667Tiszanána888-946Kovács (1989)Tiszanána47.5611120.52382	Thouars	822-855	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Thouars	46.977604	-0.21579
Tiszaeszlàr I 814-950 Kovács (1989) Tiszaeszlàr 48.05 21.46667 Tiszaeszlàr II 926-950 Kovács (1989) Tiszaeszlàr 48.05 21.46667 Tiszanána 888-946 Kovács (1989) Tiszanána 47.56111 20.52382	Tiel	898-922	Coupland (2011a)	Tiel	51.88809	5.43069
Tiszaeszlàr II 926-950 Kovács (1989) Tiszaeszlàr 48.05 21.46667 Tiszanána 888-946 Kovács (1989) Tiszanána 47.56111 20.52382	Tiszaeszlàr I	814-950	Kovács (1989)	Tiszaeszlàr	48.05	21.46667
Tiszanána 888-946 Kovács (1989) Tiszanána 47.56111 20.52382	Tiszaeszlàr II	926-950	Kovács (1989)	Tiszaeszlàr	48.05	21.46667
	Tiszanána	888-946	Kovács (1989)	Tiszanána	47.56111	20.52382

Appendix Table D.12: Carolingian Hoards, Part V

Hoard Name	Date	Reference	Location	Latitude	Longitude
Troyes	814-840	Coupland (2014)	Troyes	48.299055	4.077872
Troyes II	843-877	Coupland (2020)	Troyes	48.58345	3.81356
Tuscany	888-973	Ciampoltrini et al. (2001)	Tuscany		
Tytsjerksteradiel	814-855	Coupland (2020)	Burgum	53.195748	5.987155
Tzummarum I	819-855	Haertle (1997)	Tzummarum	53.238297	5.549116
Tzummarum II	855-865	Coupland (2020)	Tzummarum	53.238297	5.549116
Unknown	954 - 986	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	France		
Vale of York	898-922	Williams and Ager (2010)	Vale of York	54.20361	-1.36398
Valence	819-840	Haertle (1997)	Valence	44.93347	4.890808
Vallée de la Risle	814-877	Coupland and Moesgaard (2012)	Vale of Risle	49.424	0.725
Vercelli	768 - 814	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Vercelli	45.32255	8.41844
Verdun I	875-877	Haertle (1997)	Verdun	49.15952	5.382316
Verdun II	881-887	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Verdun	49.15952	5.382316
Vereb	858-024	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Vereb	47.31867	18.61802
Vernon	814-877	Coupland (2020)	Vernon	49.091052	1.483426
Vicq sur Gartempe	814 - 877	Coupland (2020)	Vicq sur Gartempe	46.721302	0.862012
Vire	843 - 877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Vire-Normandie	48.83919	-0.89
Vrigny	843-877	Haertle (1997)	Vrigny	48.08167	2.243889
Wagenborgen	814 - 877	Haertle (1997)	Wagenborgen	53.25713	6.93525
Westerklief I	814 - 877	Sarfatij et al. (1999)	Westerklief	52.89494	4.93322
Westerklief II	814 - 877	Besteman (2006)	Westerklief	52.89494	4.93322
Wiesbaden-Biebrich	717 - 814	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Wiesbaden-Biebrich	50.050115	8.237668
Wijk bij Duurstede I	793 - 822	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Wijk-Bij-Duurstede	51.971869	5.344562
Wijk bij Duurstede II	752 - 768	Van Es and Verwers (1980)	Wijk-Bij-Duurstede	51.971869	5.344562
Wijk bij Duurstede III	768 - 820	Van Es and Verwers (1980)	Wijk-Bij-Duurstede	51.971869	5.344562
Wijk bij Duurstede IV	823-840	Dijkstra (2005)	Wijk-Bij-Duurstede	51.971869	5.344562
Wijk bij Duurstede V	751 - 768	Coupland (2020)	Wijk-Bij-Duurstede	51.971869	5.344562
Wirdum	814 - 877	Coupland (2020)	Wirdum	53.149585	5.803308
Worms	814-840	Coupland (2020)	Worms	49.632241	8.36221
Yde	814 - 877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Yde	53.11143	6.58365
Yonne	814-840	Coupland (2014)	Yonne	47.89753	3.588695
York	751 - 887	Dolley (1965)	York	53.95333	-1.08342
Yronde	843 - 877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Yronde	45.6133	3.25481
Zelzate	814 - 877	Morrison and Grunthal (1967)	Zelzate	51.19753	3.81463
Zetel	768-793	Völckers (1965)	Zetel	53.4146	7.9699
Zillis	888 - 949	Zäch (2001)	Zillis	46.6355	9.44514
Zuidlaren	875-894	Haertle (1997)	Zuidlaren	53.09231	6.679414

Appendix Table D.13: Carolingian Hoards, Part VI

Signatures	Approx. Location Nomisma ID	Notes			
AHM	hamadhan				
AIRAN, AYLAN	hulwan	Eran-asankar-Kavad			
AM	amol	Amol, Khorasan			
APL, APR	nishapur				
ART, TART	ardashir_khurrah	TART: Tawwaj as dependency of Ardashir Khurra			
AT	adurbadagan				
AU, AW	$suq_al-ahwaz$	AU is used by Al-Ush, we interpret it as "AW", Hormizd-Ardashir			
AY, AYL	al-sus	Eran-khvarrah-Shapur. AYL: British Museum says "possibly referring to Susa."			
AS	ctesiphon	Following the coding in FLAME.			
BBA	ctesiphon	Court mint, probably at Ctesiphon (Gyselen)			
BCLA, BJRA, DS, DST	al-basrah	Mallon-McCorgray interprets BCLA as al-Basra. Accoring to Schindel (2005) BJRA is al-Basra.			
BISH, BYS, BYSH	bishapur				
BN, BRMKRMAN, DL, DR, GLM,	kirman	Multiple mints that are in Kirman province.			
KL, KLMAN, KLMANLCN, KR,					
KRAMAN H P, KRMAN, KRMAN					
W ST, KRMAN-GY, KRMAN-NAR,					
KRMAN-NAW, NAL, NAR					
D', DA, DAP	darabjird				
DAP	fasa				
GD	jayy				
GU,GW	gorgan	We follow Schindel (2005) in attributing GW to Gorgan (after Yazdegerd I). Gyselen (1977) attributes GU to Gorgan.			
HL	harat				
HWC	jundi_sabur				
LAM, RAM	ramhurmuz				
LD, RD	rayy				
LYW, RIU	rev-ardashir	Bivar (1970) associates RIU with LYW, and confirms Nö's interpretation as Rev-Ardashir			
MA	masabadhan				
MB, MY, PL	maysan				
ML, MR	marw				
NH, NIHJ, NYHC, WH, WYHC	ctesiphon*	NH, WH: Veh-Ardashir. On WYHC, Album (2011): "A mint in northern Iraq, ostensibly the treasury mint near Ktesiphon prior to the AH50s, and thereafter, for a series dated AH67-73, Arrajan". We follow Album (2011), Schindel (2005), and others in attributing it to Ctesiphon before AH50, then Arrajan.			
NHR	nahr_tira				
NIH, WYH	bihqubadh_af-asfal				
NIHJ	arrajan	Almost certainly the same as WYHC.			
NY, NYH	antiocheia_persis	NY: Nihawand. For NYH, Schindel (2005) suggests Nihawand.			
SHI	shiraz				
SK	zaranj, sijistan				
ST	istakhr				
SY	fars_shiraz	Unlocated mint, probably in Fars province (or Kirman, as has sometimes been suggested).			
TPWRSTAN	tabaristan				
YZ, ZR, GZ	yazd				

Appendix Table D.14: Sasanian mint codings

References

- ABDUL WAHAB, H. (2012): "al-Abbāsiyya," in *Encyclopaedia of Islam*, ed. by P. Bearman,T. Bianquis, C. Bosworth, E. van Donzel, and W. Heinrichs, Brill.
- AL BAKRI, M. D. (1973): "Kanz al-'Utayfiyah al-fiddi," al-Maskukat, 4.
- AL CHOMARI, A. A. (2020): Le commerce régional et international au Xe siècle en Syrie: D'après le trésor monétaire de Buseyra et d'autres trésors de l'époque, Archaeopress.
- AL-NAQSHBANDI, N. (1949): "Rare Islamic coins in the Iraq Museum," Sumer, 5, 199–202.
- ——— (1950): "The Zakho Treasure," *Sumer*, 6, 177–188.
- ——— (1951): "The Zakho Treasure," Sumer, 7, 165–172.
- ——— (1952): "The Zakho Treasure," *Sumer*, 8, 220–227.
- ——— (1954): "Khidr Elias Treasure," *Sumer*, 10, 180–196.
- AL 'USH, A.-F. (1954-1955): "Al-Kanz al-dhahabī al-umawī," Annales Archeologiques de Syrie, IV-V, 21–28.
- ——— (1972a): Kunz umm ḥajarah al-fiḍḍi (Trésor de monnaies d'argent trouvé à Umm Hajarah), Damascus.

— (1972b): The silver hoard of Damascus: Sasanian, Arab-Sasanian, Khuwarizmian, and Umayyad kept in the National Museum of Damascus, Damascus: Direction General of Antiquities and Museums.

------ (1982): Monnaies Aghlabides, étudiées en relation avec l'histoire des Aghlabides, Institut Français de Damas.

ALBUM, S. (1971): "An Umayyad hoard from Afghanistan," Museum Notes (American Numismatic Society), 17, 241–246.

— (2011): "Checklist of Islamic Coins, 3rd Edition,".

- ALFARO ASINS, C. (1992): "La colección de moneda hispano-árabe del Museo Arqueológico Nacional de Madrid," III Jarique de Numismática hispano-árabe. Actas (Museo Arqueológico Nacional. Madrid, 13-16 diciembre 1990), 39–75.
- ALVAREZ, M. D. L. A. P. (1993): "Tesorillo de monedas árabes de Moraleja (Cáceres)," Alcántara: revista del Seminario de Estudios Cacereños, 37–44.
- AMERICAN NUMISMATIC SOCIETY (2023): "MANTIS Database," https://numismatics.org/ collection, accessed: 2023-07-01.
- ARIZA ARMADA, A. (1988): "Un tesorillo de dirhames de Baena (Cordoba)," Arqueología Medieval, 2, 137–140.
- ARROYO ILERA, R. (1989): "Descripción y análisis de las monedas árabes de Sinarcas (Valencia)," in Actas del VII Congreso Nacional de Numismática, Madrid, Museo Casa de la Moneda, 467– 479.
- ARSLAN, E. A. (2005): "Il Repertorio dei ritrovamenti di moneta altomedievale (489-1002),".

ARTUK, I. (1966): Denizbaci definesi, Ankara: Türk Tarih Kurumu.

- ASENCIO, J. M. R. (1962): "Tesoro de dirhemes califales hallado en Jaén," Boletín del Instituto de Estudios Giennenses, 109.
- ÁVILA, R. C. AND A. R. H. PAREJA (1999): "Un conjunto monetario andalusí de plata emiral procedente de la Junta de los Ríos (Priego de Córdoba)," Antiquitas, 125–136.
- AYALA RUIZ, J. A. AND C. GOZALBES CRAVIOTO (1996): "Un tesorillo califal aparecido en la Cala de Mijas-Costa (Málaga)," *Gaceta Numismática*, 121, 61–76.
- BAIGL, J.-P., A. CLAIRAND, AND O. JEANNE-ROSE (1995): "Trouvailles récentes de monnaies carolingiennes dans les Deux-Sèvres: petits trésors et monnaies isolées," Bulletin de la Société française de numismatique, 50, 1152–1154.
- BALAGUER, A. M. (1999): Història de la moneda dels comtats catalans, vol. 2, Institut d'Estudis Catalans.

- BALDUS (2006): Didyma. III. Ergebnisse der Ausgrabungen und Untersuchungen seit dem Jahre
 1962. 3. Fundmünzen aus den Jahren 1962-1998, Deutsches Archäologisches Institut.
- BARTOLOMEI, I. A. (1857): "Description d'une trouvaille de 200 dirhems koufiques, faite aux environs de Tiflis, en 1857," Bulletin de l'Académie impériale des sciences de St. Petersburg, 3.
- BATES, M. L. (1978): "A hoard of dirhams found at Nippur," Excavations at Nippur, twelfth season, 126–138.
- BENOIT, P. AND P. BRAUNSTEIN (1983): Mines, carrières et métallurgie dans la France médiévale, Paris: Edition du CNRS.
- BERNAREGGI, E. (1977): "I tremissi longobardi e carolingi del ripostiglio di Ilanz nei Grigioni," Quaderni ticinesi di numismatica, VI.
- (1983): "Carolingian gold coins from the Ilanz hoard," in *Studies Philip Grierson*, 127–135.
- BESTEMAN, J. (2006): "Westerklief II, a second Viking silver hoard from the former island of Wieringen; with a contribution by Gert Rispling and Simon Coupland'," Jaarboek voor Munt-en Penningkunde, 93, 5–80.
- BIJSTERVELD, A., N. NEUFEGLISE, AND B. VAN DER VEEN (2000): "Een heilige plaats onder de grond. Aalst en zijn middeleeuwse kerk," *Brabants Heem*, 52, 81–92.
- BIVAR, A. D. H. (1970): "Appendix: The Sasanian Coin from Qūmis," Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland, 156–158.
- BLACKBURN, M. A. (1989): "The Ashdon (Essex) hoard and the currency of the Southern Danelaw in the late ninth century," *British Numismatic Journal*, 59, 13–38.
- BOMPAIRE AND DEPIERRE (1989): "le trésor carolingien de dijon, rue du chapeau rouge," Tech. rep., bulletin de la société française de numismatique.
- CANO ÁVILA, P. (1989): "Algunos dirhemes hallados cerca de Alcaudete (Jaén)," in VII Congreso Nacional de Numismática: Memoria, 489–503.
- (2014): "Hallazgo de dírhemes del califato Omeya de Al-andalus en Montellano (Sevilla)," Revista Numismática OMNI, 149–164.

(2016): "Hallazgo de dírhems del Califato omeya de al-Andalus en Bormujos (Sevilla),"
 in Patrimonio numismático y museos: actas XV Congreso Nacional de Numismática. Madrid,
 28-30 de octubre de 2014, Museo Casa de la Moneda, 1133–1148.

- CANO ÁVILA, P. AND C. M. GÓMEZ (2008): "Hallazgo de un tesorillo de dírhemes del imamato fatimí y del califato omeya de al-Andalus en El Pedroso (Sevilla). El Pedroso III," in *Moneda y* arqueología: actas XIII Congreso Nacional de Numismática, Universidad de Cádiz, 799–824.
- CANO ÁVILA, P. AND C. MARTÍN GÓMEZ (2006): "Hallazgo de un tesorillo de dírhemes del califato omeya de al-Andalus en El Pedroso (Sevilla)," in Actas XII Congreso Nacional de Numismática: Madrid. 25-27 de octubre de 2004, Real Casa de la Moneda, Fábrica Nacional de Moneda y Timbre, 443–464.
- CANO ÁVILA, P. AND C. I. MARTÍN GÓMEZ (2005): "Tesoro de dirhemes emirales hallado en La Rinconada (Sevilla)," in XIII Congreso Internacional de Numismática, Madrid, 2003: actas-proceedings-actes, Ministerio de Cultura, 1553–1566.
- CANO ÁVILA, P. AND C. I. MARTÍN GOMEZ (2011): "Hallazgo de dírhemes del emirato omeya de al-Ándalus en Niebla (Huelva)," in Ars metallica. Monedas y medallas: Nules-Valencia, 25-27 de octubre de 2010, Museo Casa de la Moneda, 817–834.
- CANTO GARCÍA, A. (1988): "Tesoro de moneda emiral del siglo II de la Hégira, conservado en el MAn [Museo Arqueológico nacional]," Actas I Jarique de Estudios Numismáticos Hispano-Árabes, Zaragoza, Institución Fernando el Católico, 147–162.

(1993): "Sobre un pequeño hallazgo de moneda emiral en Martos (Jaén)," Antiquitas,
 63–66.

(2001): "La moneda hispanoárabe y su circulación por Navarra," in La moneda en Navarra:
 Museo de Navarra, Pamplona: exposición 31 de mayo a 25 de noviembre de 2001, Fundación
 Caja Navarra, 73–82.

—— (2014): "El tesoro de Tarancón (Cuenca, 1893): nuevos datos e imágenes sobre el mismo," *Revista Numismática OMNI*, 21–64.

—— (2019): "Hallazgos de Moneda Andalusi y Documentacion," Tech. rep., Universidad Autónoma de Madrid.

- CANTO GARCÍA, A., R. CLAPÉS, AND W. JABŁOŃSKA (2020a): "Un hallazgo de monedas califales en el arrabal occidental de Córdoba,".
- CANTO GARCÍA, A. AND F. M. ESCUDERO (2012): "El tesoro de monedas árabes de Carmona y una rectificación de A. Vives Escudero," *Cuadernos de Prehistoria y Arqueología de la Universidad Autónoma de Madrid*, 38.
- CANTO GARCÍA, A., G. GARCÍA RUIZ, AND L. RUIZ QUINTANAR (1997): "Hallazgo de monedas califales de Marroquíes Bajos (Jaén)," Arqueología y territorio medieval, 4, 81–101.
- CANTO GARCÍA, A. AND W. JABLOŃSKA (2019): "Algunas precisiones sobre el hallazgo de dinares califales de la calle San Pedro (Murcia)," *Tudmir: Revista del Museo Santa Clara, Murcia*, 5–13.
- CANTO GARCÍA, A. AND E. MARSAL MOYANO (1988): "Hallazgo de moneda emiral de Iznájar (Granada)," *Al-Qantara*, 9, 427.
- CANTO GARCÍA, A. AND F. MARTÍN ESCUDERO (2007): "El hallazgo de moneda califal de Fontanar (Córdoba)," *Documenta & Instrumenta*, 129–156.
- (2009): "Hallazgos monetarios islámicos en Algeciras," *Caetaria: revista bianual de Ar-queología*, 125–130.
- CANTO GARCÍA, A., F. MARTÍN ESCUDERO, AND W. JABLONSKA (2020b): El hallazgo de monedas califales del Parque Cruz Conde (Córdoba), Museo Casa de la Moneda.
- CARDON, T., J. C. MOESGAARD, R. PROT, AND P. SCHIESSER (2008): "Le premier trésor monétaire de type viking en France. Denier inédit d'Eudes pour Beauvais," *Revue numismatique*, 6, 21–40.
- CARON, E. (1882): Monnaies féodales françaises, vol. 1, Rollin et Feuardent.
- CERRATO, C. B. AND A. M. ESQUIVEL (2019): "Conjunto de dírhams califales hallado en Zamora: estudio e interpretación," Archivo español de arqueología, 92, 287–306.
- CIAMPOLTRINI, G., E. ABELA, AND S. BIANCHINI (2001): "Lucca: un contesto con monete del X secolo dell'area dell'ex ospedale Galli Tassi," *Bollettino di Numismatica*, 153–166.

- CODERA Y ZAIDÍN, F. (1892): "Tesoro de monedas árabes, descubierto en Alhama de Granada," Boletín de la Real Academia de la Historia, XX, 442–449.
- (1913): "Monedas árabes orientales encontradas en Aragón," Boletín de la Real Academia de la Historia, LXIII, 552–556.

CORNU, G. (1983): Atlas du monde Arabo-Islamique à l'époque classique: IX.-X. siècles, Brill.

- COUPLAND, S. (1991): "The early coinage of Charles the Bald, 840-864," The Numismatic Chronicle (1966-), 121–158.
- (1995): "Bilan Société Française de Numismatique," Tech. rep., Société Française de Numismatique.

— (2010): "Le trésor de Bourgneuf-en-Retz et les monnaies de Melle au monogramme d'un roi Charles'," Bulletin de la Société française de numismatique, 65, 190–3.

- (2011a): "A checklist of Carolingian coin hoards 751-987," The Numismatic Chronicle, 171, 203–256.
- (2011b): "The Roermond coins reconsidered," Medieval and Modern Matters, 2, 25–50.
- (2014): "A supplement to the checklist of Carolingian coin hoards, 751-987," *The Numis*matic Chronicle (1966-), 174, 213–222.
- ----- (2018): "Les monnaies de Melle sous Louis le Pieux'," Mine, métal, monnaie, Melle. Les voies de la quantification de l'histoire monétaire du haut Moyen Âge, 259–278.

(2019): "Der Karolingschatz von Bassenheim," Tech. rep., Münzfunde aus Rheinland-Pfalz 36.

- ——— (2020): "A Second Supplement to the Checklist of Carolingian coin hoards, 751-987," *The Numismatic Chronicle*, 180, 259–289.
- COUPLAND, S. AND J. C. MOESGAARD (2012): "Trésors monétaires peut-être enfouis lors des premiers raids vikings dans la vallée de la Seine'," Bulletin de la Société française de numismatique, 67, 222–229.

- CRAVIOTO, C. G. (2016): "Tesorillo de monedas andalusíes de Castillejos de Quintana (Pizarra, Málaga)," in Patrimonio numismático y museos: actas XV Congreso Nacional de Numismática. Madrid, 28-30 de octubre de 2014, Museo Casa de la Moneda, 1353–1360.
- CRAVIOTO, C. G. AND J. A. AYALA (1995): "Un tesorillo de monedas del emirato independiente hallado en el Cerro de la Fuensanta (Antequera-Casabermeja-Colmenar. Málaga)," *Mainake*, 235–242.
- CRUYSHEER, A. AND B. J. V. DER VEEN (2015): "De Karolingische schatvondst Bikbergen 1992," Tech. rep., De Beeldenaar.
- CURTA, F. (2005): "Byzantium in Dark-Age Greece (the numismatic evidence in its Balkan context)," *Byzantine and Modern Greek Studies*, 29, 113–146.
- DAIM, F. AND E. LAUERMANN (2006): Das frühungarische Reitergrab von Gnadendorf (Niederösterreich), Verlag des Römisch-Germanischen Zentralmuseums.
- DAJANI, A. (1951): "A hoard of Byzantine gold coins from Awarta, Nablus," Annual of the Department of Antiquities, Jordan, 1, 41–43.
- DE BENEDITTIS, G. AND J. LAFAURIE (1998): "Trésor de monnaies carolingiennes du VIIIe siécle trouvé à Larino (Italie, Molise)," *Revue Numismatique*, 6, 217–243.
- DENAIS, J. (1908): "Catalogue illustré du musée de Beaufort-en-Vallée," Tech. rep.
- DHÉNIN, M. (1989): "Le trésor monétaire de Breuvery-sur-Coole (Marne)," Comptes rendus des séances de l'Académie des Inscriptions et Belles-Lettres, 133, 811–823.
- DHÉNIN, M. (2006): "Les monnaies carolingiennes d'Arpajon (anciennement Chartres), Essonne," Bulletin de la Société Française de Numismatique, 61, 226–230.
- DIJKSTRA, M. F. P. (2005): "'Middeleeuwse gulle gaven, greppels en waterputten. De opgraving Wijk bij Duurstede-David van Bourgondieweg.'," 26.
- DIMIAN, I. (1957): "Cîteva descoperiri monetare bizantine pe teritoriul RPR," Studii si cercetari de numismatica, 1, 189–216.

DOLLEY, M. (1965): "New Light on the Pre-1760 Coney Street (York) Find of Coins of the Duurstede Mint."," Jaarboek Voor Munt-en Penningkunde, 52, 1966.

DOMÉNECH BELDA, C. (1992): "Revisión de un hallazgo de monedas árabes de Elche (Alicante),"

----- (1997): "Circulación monetaria durante el período islámico en el País Valenciano," .

- DOMÉNECH BELDA, C. AND S. GUTIÉRREZ LLORET (2006): "Viejas y nuevas monedas en la ciudad emiral de Madīnat Iyyuh (El Tolmo de Minateda, Hellín, Albacete)," Al-Qanțara, 27, 337–374.
- DOMÉNECH BELDA, C. AND J. TRELIS (1990): "Hallazgos numismáticos de época islámica en Crevillente (Alicante)," III Jarique de Numismática Hispano-árabe.
- DOMÉNECH-BELDA, C. ET AL. (2013): "La circulation de monnaie carolingienne dans la péninsule ibérique. À propos d'un denier de l'atelier de Roda," *Revue Numismatique*, 6, 383–410.
- DUPLESSY, J. (1985): "Les trésors monétaires médiévaux et modernes découverts en France, vol. 1, 751-1223,".
- EUSTACHE, D. (1956): "Monnaies musulmanes trouvées à Volubilis," *Hespéris: Archives berbères* et bulletin de l'institut des hautes études-marocaines, XLIII.
- FAGERLIE, J. M. (1974): "A Byzantine "Sicilian" Hoard," in Studies George C. Miles, 175–183.
- FLAME (2023): "Framing the Late Antique and early Medieval Economy," https://coinage. princeton.edu/, accessed: 2023-07-01.
- FOMIN, A. V. AND L. KOVÁCS (1987): The tenth century Máramaros County ("Huszt"") dirham hoard, Magyar Numizmatikai Társulat.
- FONTENLA BALLESTA, S. (1998): "Un tesorillo de plata medieval del Tijan (Turre, Almeria)," Tech. rep., Axarquia 3.
- FÜEG, F. (2007): Corpus of the Nomismata from Anastasius II to John I in Constantinople, 713-976, Classical Numismatic Group.

- GACHET, A. (1993): Présentation d'un trésor monétaire des premiers temps de l'Islam : le trésor d'Afak.
- GEDAI, I. (1993): "The denars of Luis the Child in a grave find in Hungary," Numismatica e antichità classica, 273–278.
- GEISER, A. (1990): Un trésor de monnaies de Pépin le Bref trouvé à La Tour-de-Peilz (VD), nécropole du Clos d'Aubonne, Gazette numismatique suisse.
- GONZÁLEZ GARCÍA, A. AND D. MARTÍNEZ CHICO (2017): "Cuatro hallazgos aislados de dinares epigráficos latinos hispano-musulmanes en Jaén,".
- GRAÑEDA MIÑÓN, P. (2021): "Reexcavando en el Museo Arqueológico Nacional: los tesoros de Valencia del Ventoso (Badajoz) y Osuna (Sevilla)," Tech. rep., XVI Congreso Nacional de Numismática: Tesoros y hallazgos monetarios: protección, estudio y musealización (Barcelona, 28-30 de noviembre de 2018).
- GRIERSON, P. (1968): Catalogue of the Byzantine Coins in the Dumbarton Oaks Collection and in the Whittemore Collection, 2: Phocas to Theodosius III, 602-717, Dumbarton Oaks.
- (1973): Catalogue of the Byzantine Coins in the Dumbarton Oaks Collection and in the Whittemore Collection, 3: Leo III to Nicephorus III, 717-1081, Dumbarton Oaks.
- GUILLEMAIN, J. (1993): "Deux trouvailles de monnaies médiévales au nord de Lyon," Bulletin de la Société Française de Numismatique.
- GYSELEN, R. (1977): "Trésor de monnaies sasanides trouvé à Suse. I. Inventaire," Cahiers de la Délégation archéologique française en Iran, 7, 61–74.
- GYSELEN, R. AND L. KALUS (1983): Deux trésors monétaires des premiers temps de l'Islam, Bibliothèque Nationale de France, Paris.
- GYSELEN, R. AND A. NÈGRE (1982): "Un trésor de Gazīra (Haute-Mésopotamie): monnaies d'argent sasanides et islamiques enfouies au début du IIIe siècle de l'Hégire/IXe siècle de notre ère," *Revue Numismatique*, 6, 170–205.
- HAERTLE, C. M. (1997): Karolingische Münzfunde aus dem 9. Jahrhundert, Böhlau.

- HAKIEM, A. A.-A. D. (1977): "A critical and comparative study of early Arabian coins on the basis of Arabic textual evidence and actual finds," Ph.D. thesis, University of Leeds.
- HEBERT, R. J. (1966): "Notes on an Umayyad hoard from Khurāsān," Museum Notes (American Numismatic Society), 12, 157–163.
- HEIDEMANN, S., J. RIEDERER, AND D. WEBER (2014): "A Hoard from the Time of Yazdgard III in Kirmān," *Iran*, 52, 79–124.
- HEIDEMANN, S., T. SCHIERL, AND F. TEICHNER (2018): "Coins from the seaside. An Emiral silver coin hoard from a harbour settlement on the Cerro de Vila (Vilamoura, Algarve, Portugal)," *Al-Qantara*, 39, 169–224.
- HOGE, R. (1997): "A Parcel of Mainly'Abbāsid Gold Coins," The Numismatic Chronicle, 157, 239–247.
- HUCHER, E. F. F. (1845): Essai sur les monnaies frappées dans le Maine, de Gallienne.
- HUSZÁR, L. (1955): Das Münzmaterial in den Funden der Völkerwanderungszeit im mittleren Donaubecken, Akadémiai Kiadó.
- IBRAHIM, T. B. H. AND A. CANTO GARCÍA (1991): "Hallazgo emiral en Puebla de Cazalla (Sevilla)," Numisma: revista de Estudios Numismáticos, 69–86.
- ILISCH, L. (1979): "Ein Dirhamfund des frühen 10. Jahrhunderts aus der Gegend von Diyarbakir," Münstersche Numismatische Zeitung.
- (1990): "Whole and fragmented Dirhams in near eatsern hoards," in Sigtuna papers: proceedings of the Sigtuna symposium on Viking-age coinage, 1-4 june 1989, ed. by K. Jonsson and B. Malmer, Kungl. Vitterhets Historie och Antikvitets Akademien.
- (2005): "Der Steckborner Schatzfund von 1830 und andere Funde nordafrikanischer Dirhams im Bereich des Karlsreiches," in *Simposio Simone Assemani sulla monetazione islamica*, ed. by G. Gorini, Esedra.
- JEANNE-ROSE, O. (1996): "Trouvailles isolées de monnaies carolingiennes en Poitou: inventaire provisoire," Revue numismatique, 6, 241–283.

JOHNSTON, P. (1986): The archaeology of the Channel Islands, Phillimore.

- JUNGFLEISCH, M. (1949): "La trouvaille du cimetière de Sainte-Barbe à Babylone d'Egypte," *Revue Numismatique*, 11, 165–169.
- KASDAGLI, A.-M. (2018): Coins in Rhodes: From the monetary reform of Anastasius I until the Ottoman conquest (498 - 1522), Archaeopress.
- KHODZHANIYAZOV, T. AND L. TREADWELL (1998): "The Marv hoard of early Islamic dirhams," Iran, 36, 85–94.
- KIRKBRIDE, A. (1951): "Recent Finds of Arabic Coins," Annual of the Department of Antiquities, Jordan, 1, 17–19.
- KLUGE, B. (1993): "Fernhandel und Geldwirtschaft: Beiträge zum deutschen Münzwesen in sächsischer und salischer Zeit,".
- KOVÁCS, L. (1989): Münzen aus der ungarischen Landnahmezeit: archäologische Untersuchung der arabischen, byzantinischen, westeuropäischen und römischen Münzen aus dem Karpatenbecken des 10. Jahrhunderts, Akadémiai kiadó.
- LAFAURIE, J. (1965): "Deux trésors monétaires carolingiens: Saumeray (Eure-et-Loir), Rennes (Ille-et-Vilaine)," Revue Numismatique, 6, 262–305.
- LAFLI, E., C. LIGHTFOOT, AND M. RITTER (2016): "Byzantine coins from Hadrianoupolis in Paphlagonia," *Byzantine and Modern Greek Studies*, 40, 187–206.
- LAGUMINA, B. (1904): "Ripostiglio di monete arabe rinvenuto in Girgenti," Archivio storico siciliano, 29.
- LLOYD, S. (2023): "Post-Reform Umayyad Dirhams and their Mint Towns," https://www.angelfire.com/ny3/vaguebrit/, accessed: 2023-07-01.
- LOCKHART, L. (2012): "Dīnawar," in *Encyclopaedia of Islam*, ed. by P. Bearman, T. Bianquis, C. Bosworth, E. van Donzel, and W. Heinrichs, Brill.
- LOWICK, N. (1975): "An early tenth century hoard from Isfahan," *The Numismatic Chronicle*, 110–154.

(1983): "The Sinaw Hoard of Early Islamic Coins," *The journal of Oman studies*, 6, 199–230.

- LOWICK, N. AND J. NISBET (1968): "A hoard of dirhems from Ra's al-Khaimah," *The Numis*matic Chronicle, 231–240.
- MA'AYEH, F. S. (1962): "A Hoard of Omayyad Dinars from Orif," Annual of the Department of Antiquities, Jordan, 6/7, 76–97.
- MALEK, H. M. (1996): "A Hoard Group Of Drachms of the Dābūyid Ispahbads and Early'Abbāsid Governors of Țabaristān," *The Numismatic Chronicle*, 156, 175–191.
- MĂNUCU-ADAMEŞTEANU, G. (2016): Monede bizantine descoperite în Dobrogea: monede bizantine descoperite în mediul rural din nordul Dobrogei, Secolele VII-XV, Muzeul Municipiului București.
- MARCOS POUS, A. AND A. M. VICENT ZARAGOZA (1992): "Los tesorillos de moneda hispanoárabe del Museo Arqueológico de Córdoba," *III Jarique de Numismática Hispano-Árabe*, 192– 215.
- MARINHO, J. R. (1993): "As moedas hispano-muçulmanas da colecçao Justino Cumano numa carta de Pascual de Gayangos," Tech. rep., III Jarique de numismatica hispano-arabe.
- MARTÍN, M. V. AND S. P. MARTÍN (2002): "Del hallazgo de dirhames emirales en Domingo Pérez (Iznalloz, Granada)," *Al-Qanțara*, 23, 155–192.
- (2006): "Sobre el hallazgo emiral del Campo de la Verdad (Córdoba)," in Actas XII Congreso Nacional de Numismática: Madrid. 25-27 de octubre de 2004, Real Casa de la Moneda, Fábrica Nacional de Moneda y Timbre, 403–416.
- MARTÍN ESCUDERO, F. (2001): "El hallazgo omeya de Baena: un tesoro olvidado," in *IV Jarique de numismática andalusí*, Universidad de Jaén, 81–94.
- (2003): "Sobre el hallazgo de dinares del Hospital Militar de Zaragoza (1858)," in XI Congreso Nacional de Numismática: actas, Zaragoza, 2002, Real Casa de la Moneda, Fábrica Nacional de Moneda y Timbre, 257–268.

- (2011): "Las monedas de Al-Andalus: de actividad ilustrada a disciplina científica," Las monedas de Al-Andalus, 1–394.
- (2012): "Monedas que van, monedas que vienen... circulación monetaria en época de cambios," De Mahoma a Carlomagno. Los primeros tiempos (siglos vii–ix). XXXIX Semana de Estudios Medievales de Estella, 311–350.
- MARTÍN ESCUDERO, F., M. T. CASAL GARCÍA, AND A. CANTO GARCÍA (2023): "Feluses, dírhams y monedas en el arrabal de Šaqunda (Córdoba): análisis y clasificación tipológica,".
- MARTÍNEZ ENAMORADO, V. (2004): "Frontera de al-Andalus. El Valle del Tiétar en el contexto de la Tagr al-Awsat,".
- MCCORMICK, M. (2001): Origins of the European economy: communications and commerce AD 300-900, Cambridge University Press.
- METCALF, D. M. (1992): ""The Rome (forum) hoard of 1883," British Numismatic Journal, 62, 63–96.
- MILES, G. C. (1960): "A Hoard of Arab Dirhems from Algarve, Portugal," Museum Notes (American Numismatic Society), 9, 219–230.
- MINAROVICOVA, E. (2007): "Novoobjavený rímsky denár z hromad¬ného nálezu rímskych mincí z Vyškoviec nad Ipľom, okr. Levice," Tech. rep., Slovenska Numizmatika.

MIRNIK, I. A. (1981): Coin hoards in Yugoslavia, BAR Publishing.

MIŠKEC, A. (2002): Die Fundmünzen der römischen Zeit in Kroatien. Abt. 18. Istrien, von Zabern.

- MOESGAARD, J. C. (1997): "A hoard from the Blois region and the proto-feudal coinage of Blois,
 c. 920/40," The Numismatic Chronicle (1966-), 157, 196–205.
- (2003): "Le trésor de Saint-Taurin à Evreux (Xe siècle)," Cahiers Numismatique, 158, 23–40.
- —— (2010): "Le "maillon manquant" entre Quentovic et Rouen?" Bulletin de la Société française de numismatique, 65, 57–61.

- MORONY, M. G. (1982): "Continuity and Change in the Administrative Geography of Late Sasanian and Early Islamic al-'Irāq," *Iran*, 20, 1–49.
- MORRISON, K. F. AND H. GRUNTHAL (1967): *Carolingian Coinage*, New York: American Numismatic Society.
- MORRISSON, C. (2017): "Coinage in Byzantine Anatolia," in *The Archaeology of Byzantine* Anatolia. From the End of Late Antiquity to the Coming of the Turks, ed. by P. Niewöhner, Oxford University Press.
- MORRISSON, C. AND J.-N. BARRANDON (1988): "La trouvaille de monnaies d'argent byzantines de Rome (VIIe-VIIIe s.): Analyses et chronologie," *Revue numismatique*, 6, 149–165.
- MORRISSON, C., V. POPOVIĆ, AND V. IVANIŠEVIĆ (2006): Les Trésor monétaires byzantins des Balkans et d'Asie Mineure (491-713), Lethielleux.
- MOSSER, S. M. (1935): A bibliography of Byzantine coin hoards, New York: American Numismatic Society.
- MOTOS GUIRAO, E. AND A. DÍAZ GARCÍA (1985): "Tesorillo árabe de Tígnar," Miscelánea de estuios árabes y hebraicos. Sección Árabe-Islam. Vol. 34 (1985).
- MUSEO ARQUEOLÓGICO DE MURCIA (2014): "Tesoros. Materia ley y forma. Catalogue of the exhibition December 2014 to April 2015," Tech. rep., Museo Arqueológico de Murcia.
- MÚZEUM MÓRA FERENC (2002): Studia archaeologica VIII, Szeged: Múzeum Móra Ferenc.
- NAVASCUÉS Y DE PALACIOS, J. (1957): "Tesoro hispano-árabe encontrado en Trujillo (Cáceres)," Numario Hispánico, 6, 5–28.
- (1958): "Tesorillo de monedas de plata del califato cordobés y fatimíes," Numario Hispánico, 7, 207–210.
- (1961a): "Tesoro de Cihuela (Soria)," Numario Hispánico, X, 173–175.
- (1961b): "Tesoro de moneda califal," Numario Hispánico, X, 169–173.

- NIKOLAOU, Y. AND I. TOURATSOGLOU (2019): The Circulation of Byzantine Coinage in Mainland Greece and the Balkans. The Hoard Evidence: 5th - 15th centuries, Athens: Friends of the Numismatic Museum.
- NOMISMA (2023): "The Nomisma.org project," https://nomisma.org/, accessed: 2023-07-01.
- NOONAN, T. S. (1980): "When and how dirhams first reached Russia: a numismatic critique of the Pirenne theory," *Cahiers du monde russe et soviétique*, 401–469.
- OBERLÄNDER-TÂRNOVEANU, E. (2001): "From the Late Antiquity to the Early Middle Ages-the Byzantine Coins in the territories of the Iron Gates of the Danube from the second half of the 6th Century to the first half of the 8th Century," *Etudes byzantines et post-byzantines*, 4, 29–69.
- ORTEGA, M. R. R., J. B. ESTELLA, R. G. TORRES, AND M. M. M. MARQUÉS (2006): "Estudio de un conjunto monetario de época califal procedente del Valle del Guadajoz (Córdoba)," in Actas XII Congreso Nacional de Numismática: Madrid. 25-27 de octubre de 2004, Real Casa de la Moneda, Fábrica Nacional de Moneda y Timbre, 417–441.
- PALMA GARCÍA, F. AND R. SEGOVIA SOPO (2007): "Un tesorillo de moneda islámica aparecido en Morería (Mérida)," Tech. rep., Biblioteca Virtual Miguel de Cervantes.
- PARVÉRIE, M. (2014): "Corpus des monnaies arabo-musulmanes des VIII et IX siècles decouvertes dans le Sud de la France," *Revista Numismática OMNI*, 79–100.
- (2018): "La circulation des deniers de l'Aquitaine carolingienne en Al-Andalus; un réexamen des trésors 'Espanya-1, 2 et 3'," Bulletin de la Société numismatique de Limousin, 25, 4–16.
- (2019): "Supplément au corpus des monnaies arabomusulmanes découvertes en France," Revista Numismática OMNI, 283–294.
- PATY, E. (1848): "Étude archéologique sur Saint Calais et son canton," Tech. rep., Bulletin de la Société royale d'agriculture, science et arts de la Sarthe.

PELLICER I BRU, J. (1982): "Un tresor de dirhems àrabs a SC.-J." Acta numismàtica, 139–166.

(1985): "El tresoret de moneda àrab LR-P dels anys 331-418 AH," Acta numismàtica, 157–182.

- PEÑA MARTÍN, S. AND M. VEGA MARTÍN (2007): "La amonedación canónica del emirato omeya andalusí antes de Abd-al-Rahman II, según el hallazgo de dirhams de Villiciosa (Córdoba),".
- PENNAS, V. (1991): "Byzantine Monetary Affairs during the 8th, 9th, 10th and 11th Centuries,"D.phil thesis, University of Oxford.
- (1996): "Life in Byzantine Cities of Peloponnesos: The Numismatic Evidence (8th-12th Century)," in *Mneme Martin Jessop Price*, Hellenic Numismatic Society.
- PETRY, K. AND S. WITTENBRINK (2021): Der karolingische Münzschatzfund von Pilligerheck (Landkreis Mayen-Koblenz), vergraben nach 855, Verein der Münzfreunde für Westfalen und Nachbargebiete.
- POIARES, A. (2000): "Diremes califais encontrados ao norte de Mértola," Arqueólogo Português, 201–268.
- PRIEGO, M. C. (2020): "Estratos, vellones," feluses" y tremises: Estratigrafía y numismática en el yacimiento de la Vega Baja de Toledo (ss. VII-XV dC)," in *El sitio de las cosas: la Alta Edad Media en contexto*, Servicio de Publicaciones, 123–160.
- PRIEGO, M. C. AND L. O. ENCISO (2016): "Dírhams, feluses y contextualización arqueológica en el centro de la Península: nuevos hallazgos de época emiral (s. VIII-IX dC) en Recópolis," in Patrimonio numismático y museos: actas XV Congreso Nacional de Numismática. Madrid, 28-30 de octubre de 2014, Museo Casa de la Moneda, 1097–1114.
- PRIETO, A. (1934): "Tesoro de monedas musulmanas encontrado en Badajoz," *Al-Andalus*, 2, 299.
- PROFANTOVA, N. (2009): "Byzantine Coins from the 9th-10th century from the Czech Republic," in Byzantine Coins in Central Europe between the 5th and 10th century, ed. by M. Wołoszyn, Polish Academy of Arts and Sciences, Institute of Archaeology.
- PUERTAS, A. F. (1982): "Catálogo de los fondos numismáticos hispanomusulmanes del Museo de Cuenca," Cuadernos de la Alhambra, 115–142.
- REINHOLD FISCHER AUKTIONSHAUS (2010): "Auction 114," Tech. rep.
- RENOU, E. J. (1846): Description géographique de l'empire de Maroc, vol. 8, Imprimerie Royale.
- RODRIGUES MARINHO, J. (1995): "Um Achado de Dirhames do Emirado Ândalus em Castro Marim," O Arqueólogo Português IV, 13–15.
- RODRÍGUEZ PALOMO, D. AND F. MARTÍN ESCUDERO (2022): "Moneda en contexto arqueológico en Mārida (siglos VIII-IX). Estudio e interpretación," Arqueología y territorio medieval, 29.
- RONDIER, R. F. (1869): "Trouvailles de monnaies près de Chef-Boutonne," *Revue de l'Aunis, de la Saintonge et du Poitou*.
- ROYAL NUMISMATIC SOCIETY (1975): Coin Hoards, Volume 1, London.
- (1977): Coin Hoards, Volume 3, London.
- (1978): Coin Hoards, Volume 4, London.
- RUIZ ASENCIO, J. M. (1967): "Tesorillo de dirhemes del Emirato hallado en la Lantejuela," Tech. rep., nvmisma.
- Révész, L. (1996): "A karosi honfoglalás kori temetők : régészeti adatok a Felső-Tisza-vidék X. századi történetéhez," Tech. rep.
- SABATIER, J. (1862): Description générale des monnaies byzantines frappées sous les empereurs d'Orient depuis Arcadius jusqu'à la prise de Constantinople par Mahomet II, Rollin et Feuardent.
- SACCOCCI, A. (2005): "Ritrovamenti di monete islamiche in Italia continentale e in Sardegna (secc. VII-XVI)," in Simone Assemani Symposium sulla monetazione islamica. Simone Symposium on Islamic Coinage, 137–149.
- SACCOCCI, A. ET AL. (2004): "Il ripostiglio dall'area "Galli Tassi" di Lucca e la cronologia delle emissioni pavesi e lucchesi di X secolo," *Bollettino di Numismatica*, 36, 165–203.
- SAENZ-DIÉZ, J. I. (1993): "Museo municipal de Sevilla : fondos islamicos de la coleccion Gago," Tech. rep., III Jarique de numismatica hispano-arabe.
- SALIDO DOMÍNGUEZ, J., R.-L. GARCÍA LERGA, R. GÓMEZ OSUNA, E. GARCÍA ARAGÓN, M. BLANCO DOMÍNGUEZ, AND J. BARRIO MARTÍN (2020): "Un nuevo conjunto de monedas emirales del centro peninsular: los dírhams del yacimiento arqueológico de El Rebollar (El Boalo, Madrid),".

- SARAH, G., V. GENEVIÈVE, AND C. GUERROT (2016): "Le trésor carolingien découvert à Auzeville (Haute-Garonne) en 1878. Étude des monnayages toulousains de Charles le Chauve et de Pépin II d'Aquitaine," *Revue numismatique*, 6, 417–498.
- SARFATIJ, H., W. J. H. VERWERS, AND P. WOLTERING (1999): In discussion with the past: Archaeological studies presented to WA van Es, Foundation for Promoting Archaeology (Stichting Promotie Archeologie).
- SCHINDEL, N. (2005): "Sasanian coinage," Encyclopædia Iranica.
- SCHNEIDER, A. M. (1952): "Hirbet el-Minje am See Genesareth," Annales Archéologiques Syriennes, 2, 23–45.
- SCHULZE, M. (1984): "Das ungarische Kriegergrab von Apres-Lès-Corps. Untersuchungen zu den Ungarneinfällen nach Mittel-, West-und Südeuropa (899-955 n. Chr.) mit einem Exkurs zur Münzchronologie altungarischer Gräber," Jahrbuch des Römisch-Germanischen Zentralmuseums Mainz, 31, 473–514.
- SEARS, S. D. (1994): "A late Umayyad hoard from Nippur," The Numismatic Chronicle, 133–146.
- (2000): "An Abbasid Revolution Hoard from the Western Jazira (al-Raqqa?)," American Journal of Numismatics, 12, 171–193.
- SEGOVIA SOPO, R. (2006): "Tesorillo de moneda del Emirato Independiente hallado en Fuente de Cantos y su contextualización en las Guerras de Ibn Marwan contra la corte cordobesa," in VI Jornada de Historia de Fuente Cantos: Actas, Asociación Cultural Lucerna, 145–178.
- (2014): "El tesorillo numismático andalusí de la C/Santa Julia de Mérida (Badajoz): circulación y ocultación monetaria durante la" Fitna" del Califato de Córdoba," *Cuadernos emeritenses*, 1–396.
- SEGOVIA SOPO, R. AND A. V. JIMÉNEZ (2011): "Un inédito tesorillo de moneda emiral independiente hallado en el Teatro Romano de Mérida," in Ars metallica. Monedas y medallas: Nules-Valencia, 25-27 de octubre de 2010, Museo Casa de la Moneda, 795–816.
- SIMMER, A. (2000): "Le trésor carolingien d'Ebange-Florange," Les cahiers lorrains, 3–18.

- SLOVENSKÁ AKADÉMIA VIED. ARCHEOLOGICKÝ ÚSTAV (1979): Rapports du IIIe Congrès international d'archéologie slave: Bratislava, 7-14 septembre 1975, vol. 1, Veda.
- SOCIÉTÉ DE STATISTIQUE, SCIENCES, LETTRES ET ARTS DU DÉPARTEMENT DES DEUX-SÈVRES (1882): "Sur un trésor carlovingien provenant de Brioux," *Bulletins de la Société de statistique du département des Deux-Sèvres*, V.
- SOCIÉTÉ DES ANTIQUAIRES DE L'OUEST (1982): "Communications," Bulletins de la Société des antiquaires de l'Ouest, 6.
- SOLER BALAGUERO, M. (1993): "Las monedas arabes del gabinete numismatico del IEI," in *III Jarique de numasmitica hispano-arabe*.
- SOMBART, S. (2008): "Le trésor carolingien de Luzancy (77138), enfoui ou perdu vers 865-870'," Bulletin de la Société française de numismatique, 63, 128–9.
- SOPHOULIS, P. (2011): "Byzantine coin-circulation in early medieval Bulgaria (mid 8th early 9th c.),".
- TREADWELL, L. AND E. ROGAN (1994): "An Ottoman report of an Umayyad coin hoard: Jarash, 1898," Yarmouk Numismatics, 6, 20–29.
- TROUSSEL, M. (1942): "Monnaies d'argent (dirhams) idrissites et abbassides trouvées à Ouenza," Recueil des notices et memoires de la Société archaeologique de Constantine, 65, 105–123.
- UNAL, C. (2015): "The Tralleis Hoard and the Reflection of the Iconoclastic Idea in Byzantine Coin Iconography," American Journal of Numismatics, 27, 199–205.
- (2018): "Kavaklı Definesi: II. Constans Dönemine Ait Altın Sikkeler," Cedrus, 6, 465–483.
- URANGA, J. E. (1950): "El hallazgo de" dírhemes" del Emirato en San Andrés de Ordoiz (Estella, Navarra)," Príncipe de Viana, 11, 85–101.
- VALLE, A. M. (1987): "El tesoro califal de "Los Villares" (Caudete, Valencia)," Acta numismàtica, 177–196.
- VAN DER VELDE, H. (2008): "Cananefaten en Friezen aan de monding van de Rijn," ADC Monografie, 5, 536.

- VAN ES, W. A. AND W. J. H. VERWERS (1980): Excavations at Dorestad. 1: The Harbour, Hoogstraat, Amersfoort: Rijksdienst voor het oudheidkundig bodemonderzoek.
- VANDENBOSSCHE, É. AND S. COUPLAND (2012): "Une trouvaille de deniers carolingiens dans la region de Bray-sur-Seine," *The Numismatic Chronicle*, 172, 307–321.
- VÖLCKERS, H. H. (1965): Karolingische Münzfunde der Frühzeit, 751-800: Pippin, Karlmann, Karl der Große, I. und II. Münzperiode.
- VRYONIS, S. J. (1971): "An Attic Hoard of Byzantine Gold Coins (668-741) from the Thomas Whittemore Collection and the Numismatic Evidence for the Urban History of Byzantium (1963)," in *Byzantium: its internal history and relations*.
- WEBSTER, G., R. DOLLEY, AND G. DUNNING (1953): "A Saxon treasure hoard found at Chester, 1950," *The Antiquaries Journal*, 33, 22–32.
- WIECHMANN, R. (2004): "Karolingische Denare aus Bardowick–Münzumlauf an der nördlichen Peripherie des Frankenreichs," in Delectat et docet. Festschrift zum 100jährigen Bestehen des Vereins der Münzenfreunde in Hamburg, Hamburg, 13–44.
- WILLIAMS AND AGER (2010): "The Vale of York Hoard," Tech. rep., British Museum Objects in Focus.
- WILSON, J. (1989): "The gold hoard," in *Excavations at Capernaum I (1978-1982)*, ed. by V. Tzaferis, Eisenbrauns.
- WOŁOSZYN, M. (2009): Byzantine Coins in Central Europe between the 5th and 10th century, Polish Academy of Arts and Sciences, Institute of Archaeology.
- WROTH, W. (1908): Catalogue of the Imperial Byzantine Coins in the British Museum, Order of the Trustees, British Museum.
- ZÄCH, B. (2001): Kanton St. Gallen I: Mittelalterliche und neuzeitliche Münzfunde, Schweizerische Akademie der Geistes-und Sozialwissenschaften.
- ZÄCH, B. AND J. D. TABERNERO (2002): "Zwei Münzfunde des 9. und 10. Jahrhunderts aus dem Alpenrheintal: Lauterach (1868) und Chur (1997)," Schweizerische numismatische rundschau= Revue suisse de numismatique, 93–128.

ZUYDERWYK, J. AND J. BESTEMAN (2010): "The Roermond hoard: a Carolingian mixed silver hoard from the ninth century," *Medieval and Modern Matters*, 1, 73–154.